[Published: September 2021]

Safeguarding Children and Young People During the Covid-Pandemic

<u>Emergency Raising of Serious Concerns</u> are as a result of the proven risks of <u>serious</u> and <u>irreversible-harm</u> of health to children and young people carrying out any one of the three key procedures outlined in this document. This document serves the emergency raising of serious Safeguarding concerns for each procedure and is a <u>call for the immediate halting</u> and <u>full independent investigation</u> required to <u>prevent further harm</u> being carried out <u>against children</u> and to instead <u>re-establish the adequate Safeguarding</u> and protection of children.

Under section 175 of the Education Act 2002, <u>all schools and staff</u> have the responsibility to have arrangements in place to <u>Safeguard and promote the welfare of children</u>.

All those in a position of influence who do <u>not</u> **read and act** upon this document, are likely to become directly accountable, as well as personally liable, for any injuries (including deaths) of children and young adults within their Safeguarding remit.

Target Audience:

The target audience for this document are all those who hold any position of authority over or who have direct contact with children:

Schools - School Leaders and Teaching Staff are directly accountable for the safety and welfare of every child.

Non-Teaching Staff - Safeguarding is Everybody's Business and all staff have a Safeguarding responsibility.

Governors - Accountability is to the children, with continued focus on Safeguarding. Effectively challenging school leaders is an integral part of this role, as necessary.

Secondary Audience:

Local Authorities - All Staff that have any influence on the welfare of children and young people. All other leaders of groups and activities that have children and young people in their care to include, but not restricted to **Staff of Children's Care Homes, Children's Services** and **Police**.

Doctors, **Nurses** and **Medical Staff** promoting the measures, particularly the vaccine and **All Individuals Administering** the covid-vaccine.

Contents

Introduction	. 3
Safeguarding Children and Young People Against the Serious Safeguarding	
Concerns that have Developed During the Covid-pandemic	3
Covid Testing	4
Mask-wearing	8
Covid-vaccination	12
Conclusion	14
Glossary	17
Appendix 1	19
Appendix 2	37
Appendix 3	38

Introduction

As a direct result of the harm being caused by the covid-measures, outlined in this document, the sharing of this paper is paramount and demands that people *stop and think* about the reality of what is taking place all around us. Lessons learnt in history have clearly demonstrated two most relevant points that need to be considered for all Safeguarding concerns raised and should be noted well prior to reading this paper:

- 1) **People in positions of power or responsibility sometimes get it wrong** or are indeed the perpetrators and thereby have previously inflicted harm to the general public and children, on mass. **THALIDOMIDE**¹ is a classic example of this;
- Safeguarding has failed when the unthinkable has been cast aside and it is by failing to believing the unbelievable that our second lesson must also be considered.

"It is the most Basic Safeguarding Duty to Firstly Stop...
and Think...
In Order to Become Capable of Protecting Others.
We Need to Consider the Unthinkable...
And to be Fully Awake to See the Reality and Extent of Harm being Caused."

Safeguarding during this unprecedented time has been difficult and the realms, and parameters, that we are now working within have gone through a paradigm change. As a result, there are suddenly considerations at both a Local and National level that, in our lifetimes, we have not previously encountered.

Prudence and the ability to think rationally are essential factors if we are to actually protect those who are vulnerable and to keep children safe from any further harm. The pandemic situation has brought new considerations and questions to surface that, as advised, must be met with reasonable, scientific answers. Following the science will ensure that the safety of children in both the short and longer term is <u>not</u> further neglected.

Safeguarding Children and Young People Against the Serious Safeguarding Concerns that have Developed During the Covidpandemic

The purpose of this document is to *raise the alarm* and *initiate protective action* regarding <u>serious</u> and <u>immediate</u> <u>Safeguarding concerns</u> in all settings where children and young people should be safe. The immediate main concerns are the three covid-measures, discussed below.

It is the most basic Duty of Care to *fully consider the risks and benefits of any sanction that we are willing to endorse, actively encourage, coerce, force or even simply stand aside and witness; any act that may cause harm to even a single child is an offence. In this Safeguarding document, the observed harm to children, alarmingly, is on a Localised, National and International scale.*

Under section 175 of the Education Act 2002, <u>all schools and staff</u> have the responsibility to have arrangements in place to <u>Safeguard and promote the **welfare** of children</u> within the school's physical and cultural environment.

<u>Significant Safeguarding Concerns</u> exist for all children and young people adopting any of the key 'Covid-safety' practices outlined below, as each are now *proven to cause* serious health effects, both in the short and long-term. Furthermore, the two covid-practices that have been endorsed and promoted in school settings result in three of the four forms of **Abuse** being encountered by every child asked to participate.

Physical abuse is at the forefront of the concern, due to the repeated 'encouragement' and coercing of physical harm and poisoning in the school setting. Neglect and Emotional Abuse are also clear with both of the covid-measures endorsed in schools. It is for these reasons that the Safety (and any benefits) of the three measures below need serious, immediate and thorough attention to ensure that the protection and welfare of children is regained with urgent priority. This document raises urgent attention to the harm being encountered.

1. <u>Covid-testing Intrusion Method</u>; 2. <u>Mask-wearing</u> and; 3. <u>Covid-vaccination</u> carry the most significant risk of harm due to the fact that many of the effects caused here result in *physical or physiological damage* to the body and a significant proportion of this damage is *irreversible and potentially fatal*.

The Risks and Dangers to Children and Young People are very real and verified by both science, mathematics or historical example, as a minimum. These proven risks and dangers to health compare only to the also proven lack of benefits to any of the above three measures, yet our children are seemingly being subjected to sacrifice in an apparently desperate attempt to control a situation outside of their control. Never before in the history of mankind have we sacrificed the well-being of children in the wild hope that it might (against all scientific evidence) somehow help a crisis or possibly save some another hypothetical adult from ill-fate. The advice clearly tells us to follow the science. So, let us pay actual attention to the science, mathematics and facts, and begin to do what is right in order to again begin to protect the children and steer them away from, rather than into the path of harm as has been the case since these measures were promoted.

This paper shows that there is nothing beneficial to gain from continuing to implement these unsafe measures, but instead that very real and life-threatening dangers exist through this misinformed and blind continuation - which is also against the reality of the science, numbers and our very own history.

Accountability

Every person that works with vulnerable people, especially children and young people, has a Duty of Care to Safeguard them from harm, especially when the harm caused can reasonably be considered *significant or permanent*, as is verified throughout this document. It is the responsibility of every (member of staff) person in a Safeguarding capacity to *Record*, *Refer*, *Investigate* or *Escalate every concern* that is *raised*. Any person of responsibility knowingly ignoring a raised concern *will be held accountable* both professionally and personally due to (as a minimum) basic *Neglect* of care. Anyone to argue that they are simply 'carrying out instructions' should also note that this is not a valid defence and neither is claiming ignorance, as such, they will have *no justification or defence against any liability raised*.

This paper seeks to inform all those responsible to the most serious risks and dangers to health associated to the three Covid-pandemic measures that all cause significant harm.

1. Covid-testing - Intrusion Method

The Risks and Dangers of Covid-tests are twofold. The first harm lies in: i) <u>the swab</u> itself, found to contain <u>toxins and materials known to be harmful to human health</u> and; ii) the <u>invasive method of administration</u>, which if done as instructed, results in <u>Physical Damage to the Blood Brain Barrier</u>. Supporting evidence of the key concerns, as outlined below, are further detailed (including raised questions). **Ref.** APPENDIX 1 - 1.1. Covid-tests - Safety.

- i) Known Toxins in Swab Tips Include: a) Ethylene Oxide and; b) Lithium.
- a) **Ethylene Oxide** (EO) is especially known for being a **CARCINOGEN**² and a **hazard** to the **reproductive system**. Studies have shown clear links to include <u>DNA damage</u> and ultimately <u>lymphoma</u>, <u>leukaemia</u>, <u>stomach cancer</u> and <u>breast cancers</u>. EO's detrimental effect on the reproductive organs result in **sterilisation** (and <u>infertility</u>). Other studied health concerns include <u>respiratory dysfunction</u>, <u>neurological dysfunction</u>, and suspected <u>hematological</u> and <u>endocrine health effects</u>.

To add to the depth of Safeguarding concern, the *greatest impacts to health* are proven through exposure to EO under the following conditions:

- If Ethylene Oxide is **inhaled** and/or introduced to the **respiratory tract** (as <u>is</u> the case through insertion to the mouth <u>and</u> nose);
- When Ethylene Oxide is experienced through cumulative or repeated exposure, (<u>again</u>, as <u>is</u> the case, <u>twice weekly</u> or <u>greater</u>)
- If Ethylene Oxide comes into contact with **moisture**, (<u>again</u>, as <u>is</u> the case due to mouth environment and inducing moisture in the nasal passage through requiring the nose to be blown to begin the procedure).

With <u>all three conditions</u> above being met regularly, the likelihood of the predicted **damage** due to this <u>regular, repeated **poisoning**</u> from EO is **maximised**. Furthermore, when considering the fact that the exposure is being undertaken by <u>children</u> with developing minds and bodies, the actual **harm to health** is **further amplified**. **Ref.** APPENDIX 1 - 1.1.1. Covid-tests - Safety - Ethylene Oxide.

b) **Lithium is Highly Toxic to the Pineal Gland**. Lithium does not occur naturally in humans, but it has also been *isolated and identified in covid-tests*. *Lithium is a powerful drug* that was used to treat psychological (mental) disorders such as mania, bipolar disorder, schizoaffective disorder, psychosis, and manic depression as it <u>suppresses the pineal gland</u>. This translates to <u>altering and reducing brain function</u> and <u>reduces the ability to carry out critical thinking</u>.

In addition, Lithium compounds initially *irritate the nose and throat*, and *greater exposure* can cause a build up of *fluid on the lungs* and lead to *pulmonary oedema*.

When Lithium comes into contact with moisture it produces <u>corrosive</u> Lithium Hydroxide which will then damage or destroy the substance that it comes into contact with, by means of a chemical reaction. Such a potent and hazardous substance can only cause further harm, again through <u>Poisoning</u>, particularly when introduced to the mouth and nose, and again, especially when considering a child or young, developing person.

Ref. APPENDIX 1- 1 .1.2. Covid-tests - Safety - Lithium. A main function of the **Pineal Gland** includes sleep regulation, and therefore damage can lead to *poor sleep*, *mood swings*, *anxiety*, *depression and suicide*.

Other Harmful (Non-Disclosed) Construct and Particles identified in swab tips include: **Nylon hollow fibres** - these have been identified (instead of the usual cotton wool expected for use) in the swab material. These nylon fibres are rigid in comparison (to cotton wool) and the rubbing motion within the nasal cavity has been proven to be <u>abrasive</u> and leave these <u>nylon fibres lodged in the mouth and nasal cavity tissues</u>, resulting in <u>further **Physical Damage**</u>.

Hydrogel - is shown to crystallise, *react with living tissues*, again <u>target the pineal gland</u> and <u>attack red blood cells</u>. Hydrogel has been isolated within these hollow nylon tubes. Studies are also beginning to show that <u>hydrogel causes blood clots</u> illustrating yet another example of **Physical Harm** being caused.

Nano Particles - many covid-test swab tips have also now been found to contain <u>moving, wormlike, microscopic threads</u> as well as <u>microscopic, metallic particles</u>. **Ref.** APPENDIX 1 - 1.1.3. Covid-tests - Safety - Nylon Fibres, Hydrogel & Nanoparticles.

Swab Summary: EO and Lithium are two known toxins that have been identified on swab tips. Both EO and Lithium are known to inflict **significant**, **physical harm**, most notably through **Poisoning**. Therefore, this is where the **first clear and urgent Safeguarding concern** exists.

The precise nature and full extent of the harmful effects of the Nylon Fibres, Hydrogel and Nanoparticles identified hold additional and very serious *cause for concern*. Initial investigations have demonstrated that both *Physical harm* and *Physiological harm* are being caused by these substances, although the *full extent of damage caused is as yet unknown*. This results in the <u>second serious Safeguarding concern</u> and requirement for an *unbiased scientific investigation*.

ii) **Invasive Method Damages the Blood Brain Barrier** - the depth that the swab is inserted into the nasal cavity, combined with the twisting action of the swab in this position, results in a direct attack to this protective brain barrier. The barrier's task is to protect the brain from <u>neurotoxins</u>, <u>heavy metals</u>, <u>bacteria</u> and other <u>harmful foreign bodies</u>, but each time this action is carried out, the barrier is breached and instead of protecting, the brain barrier becomes open to all manner of invasions and direct <u>infections</u> of the brain.

This intrusive process can also <u>damage endocrine glands</u> leading to <u>muscle weakness</u> and <u>visual disturbances</u>. <u>Brain leaks</u> have also now occurred in a number of patients directly following covid-testing and most recently the reporting of at least <u>two deaths</u>. Summary: this invasive swab insertion process is a <u>physical attack</u> on the <u>human body</u>, beginning with (but not exclusive to) the <u>nasal cavity</u> and <u>Blood Brain Barrier</u>. The act of encouraging this testing method is primarily a form of **Physical Abuse**.

Covid-tests - ##FDA CLASS 1 RECALL3!!##

In June 2021, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (**FDA**³) made a **Class 1 Recall** of covid-tests. This is *the <u>most serious recall</u>* due to "<u>serious adverse health reactions</u> <u>or death</u>..." **Ref.** APPENDIX 1 - 1.1.4. Covid-tests - Safety - Class I Recall / Banning of Covid-tests.

Any future request or continued encouragement of the covid-testing following the knowledge imparted in this document immediately crosses into a direct act of **Child**Safeguarding Children During the Covid-pandemic - 30th August 2021

Abuse through Neglect. Anyone continuing to encourage this process becomes fully complicit with intent to cause Physical Harm to children. To be clear, this testing method is in complete breach of Safeguarding guidelines that (in educational settings in particular), seek to ensure the Protection of Children against Harm by Adults. As soon as any due consideration is given, these Serious Safeguarding Concerns suddenly become obvious and transparent, generating an immediate need to halt and to widely, and urgently, communicate the halting of this practice.

Alternative Option and Perceived Benefits Versus Risk Assessment of Covid-Testing
The Science and FDA clearly demonstrate the risks of the covid-tests and <u>known toxins</u>
<u>and threats to human health</u> have been highlighted. However, if (against all of the
evidence) it is still considered 'necessary' to carry out a Covid-test, an <u>alternative safe</u>
<u>way of administering</u> is essential, such as a spit sample. **Ref.** APPENDIX 1 - 1.1.5.
Covid-tests - Safety - A Safer Alternative to the intrusive Test Method.

The risks of using the tests are significant and are proven to cause harm, as suggested by the FDA's *Class I Recall* and numerous other independent scientific studies. Any previously perceived benefits should be immediately cancelled by serious nature of a Class I Recall. In addition to the alerted health risks, the reliability of both the Lateral Flow tests and the **PCR**⁴ tests have also been called into question. The Emergency Use of covid-tests has been revoked. *Ref.* APPENDIX 1 - 1.1.6. Covid-tests - Safety - Emergency Use.

Safeguarding Against Risk and Harmful Consequences

Following the facts presented in this section of the document, any further act of requesting, encouraging, coercing or demanding <u>any</u> child to carry out covid-testing now becomes a significant Safeguarding breach. It is also in breach of the UK's **COMMON LAW**⁵ - 'Cause no harm' which stands above and beyond any Act or Mandate. In any Safeguarding role, it is imperative that we must always strive to 'first do no harm' and then 'to protect' through instead remembering to promote the welfare of children. These serious Safeguarding concerns are supported by scientific fact and evidence that fully substantiate the concerns made. Anyone with any level of Safeguarding responsibility to a child who opts to ignore the concerns presented will become <u>directly accountable to the consequences of being complicit</u>.

Call to Action

The facts presented in this document call for <u>immediate halting</u> of this procedure to prevent any further harm to children and young people;

In parallel, the many unanswered questions around the stated toxins, other swab substances and the physical harm being caused need to be investigated independently with urgency and due diligence.

There is a call to *wake up* and uphold the Safeguarding responsibility in regard to the very real dangers against human health, in part illustrated by the critical *Class 1 Recall of Covid-tests*, and other information shared above and in the Appendices. It is imperative to ensure that *every child is removed from contact with any measure known to cause significant harm*. This statement is further supported by overwhelming evidence from reputable (non-biased) medical professionals and scientists.

Class 1 Recall - https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-recalls/innova-medical-group-recalls-unauthorized-sars-cov-2-antigen-rapid-qualitative-test-risk-false-test

https://covid19briefings.com/2021/06/15/fda-issues-second-class-1-recall-in-two-weeks-for-unauthorized-covid-19-test/

Covid-test Conclusions

From a Safeguarding perspective, the covid-testing procedures firmly fall into the category of **Physical Abuse** through inflicting repeated **Physical Harm** and **Physiological Damage** through **Poisoning**.

- We need to <u>remember to first protect and Safeguard the welfare of children</u> above and beyond the flippant sacrificing of <u>their</u> health and wellbeing to this proven harmful and ineffective measure during this pandemic crisis.
- The covid-testing procedure is now known to cause <u>significant harm to the recipient</u>; has been recalled by the FDA and; is proven to be highly inaccurate in favour of false positives.
- Furthermore, there is <u>no scientifically supported benefit</u> to carrying out the tests via the intrusive method over an external 'spit' sample;
- Encouraging or coercing any child to carry out a covid-test (that involves any intrusion, such as the mouth or nasal cavity) is a <u>clear breach of basic Safeguarding conduct</u> and encouraging or promoting the testing-measure is in itself a form of <u>Physical Abuse</u>, <u>Emotional Abuse</u>, and <u>Neglect</u>. Common Law stands above any Act or Mandate and was created to ensure that <u>no harm is caused</u>.

NB: The appendices provide more details information regarding the risks, benefits and efficacy of covid-tests.

2. Mask-Wearing

The Risks and Dangers of regular, prolonged mask-wearing result in significant harm being caused to the wearer, including <u>death</u>. The adverse health effects come from and include the *inability to breathe efficiently or freely* which in turn results in: i) Dangerously depleted Oxygen (O₂) levels; ii) Hazardous Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) levels and; iii) the dangerous build up of bacteria. Ref. APPENDIX 1 - 2. Mask-wearing

i) **Oxygen Depletion** - Any form of prolonged mask-wearing (particularly by a non-professional) serves only to put the wearer at full risk through almost immediate **Oxygen depravity** resulting in <u>reduced Oxygen in the blood and to the brain</u>; <u>restlessness</u>; <u>sleeplessness</u>; <u>facial rashes</u>; <u>increased risk of covid</u>; <u>bacterial and fungal infections</u> and; ultimately <u>death</u>.

Oxygen Deprivation Causes Permanent Neurological Damage - https://stateofthenation.co/?p=32812

17 Ways That Masks Can Cause Harm - https://ratical.org/PandemicParallaxView/MasksAreHarmful-Meehan2020.html

One of America's key covid-advisors, **ANTHONY FAUCI**⁶, has told the public "not to wear masks." This is the second clear, example of crucial information not reaching the British people.

Significant Adverse Effects on Breathing -

Oxygen levels drop (almost immediately) to *dangerous levels* - After less than 30 seconds of mask-wearing Oxygen (O₂) levels drop from 'normal' to 'depleted' levels as outlined below:

- 20-21% O₂ represents the <u>normal</u> level of air quality healthy conditions.
- **19.5% 0**₂ is the minimum required government safety level (COSHH & OSHA)
- 17.4% O_2 is the environment behind the mask within <u>30 seconds</u>. O_2 levels are <u>depleted</u> to well below the advised safety levels of 19.5% Oxygen, resulting in an **hazardous atmosphere**. Remaining in this hazardous environment for any length of time results in: <u>reduced Oxygen to the brain</u> and <u>reduced brain function</u>. Early signs of concerns for health include <u>headaches</u>, <u>dizziness</u>, <u>feeling faint</u> or <u>nausea</u>.

19.5% is the Usual Low Level Alarm Setting of Most O₂ Detectors - Even for adults, below this level Oxygen is considered to be <u>deficient</u> and <u>Breathing Apparatus</u> is <u>recommended</u>. Below 19.5% O₂ the first signs of <u>hypoxia</u> begin; <u>decreased ability to work strenuously</u>; may induce early symptoms in individuals with <u>coronary</u>, <u>pulmonary</u> or <u>circulatory problems</u> leading to <u>increased respiration</u>; <u>increased pulse</u>, <u>impaired muscular co-ordination</u>, <u>perception and judgement</u>. Regular wearing of masks is also shown to lead to **cancer** due to the <u>reduced</u> O₂ in the blood.

Environments where levels are below 19.5% Oxygen require Breathing Apparatus.

19.5-20% is fit for respiration - as long as <u>no other contaminants</u> are <u>present</u>. However <u>dangerous levels of CO₂</u> are <u>also present</u>.

Summary: the levels of Oxygen are almost <u>instantaneously depleted to **hazardous** levels when wearing a mask</u>. Numerous adverse health effects result. Nobody should be working in an environment with less than 19.5% O₂ - as declared by government guidelines. **Depleted O₂ levels** below 19.5% is a **hazardous environment** that causes **serious risk to health.** However, we are **forcing children** on a daily basis to **endure toxic conditions** in the region of **17.4% Oxygen**. These dangerously low levels of Oxygen under a mask result the next <u>serious Safeguarding concern</u>. **Ref.** APPENDIX 1 Mask-Wearing - 2.2. Health & Safety & 2.3. Safe Breathing Levels.

ii) Carbon Dioxide - is also being inhaled at toxic levels

Mask-wearing causes people to *inhale dangerous levels of CO*₂ at up to *six times the safety limit*, again within a few short seconds and well above the 5,000ppm workplace exposure limit. Measurements *breathed through masks are at best between 7,000ppm and 8,000ppm CO*₂ for an adult and *this figure increases significantly in children*. It is shown that the younger the child, the higher the levels of CO₂ reached and therefore the greater the risks and danger to health.

CO₂ is a gas that is **hazardous to health** and it results in side effects that include, (but are not confined to) <u>respiratory stimulation</u>, <u>increased heart rate</u> and <u>increased blood</u> <u>pressure</u>.

250-400ppm CO₂ is *normal* ambient in outdoor air;

400-1000ppm CO₂ represents **good** air quality in indoor spaces;

- 1-2000ppm CO₂ is considered **poor air** and leads to *complaints of* <u>drowsiness</u> and <u>loss of</u> mental acuity;
- 2-5000ppm CO₂ results in **headaches**, **sleepiness** and air considered to be stagnant, stale and stuffy; the effects are **poor concentration**; **loss of attention**; **increased heart rate** and slight **nausea**;
- 5,000ppm CO₂ represents the *workplace exposure limit for adults* is 8 hours;

Above 5,000ppm limit = *headaches*, *unconsciousness* and *death* can result.

CO₂ Summary - Dangerous levels of CO₂ are rapidly established when wearing a mask. The figures are <u>in excess of 7,000ppm within a few short seconds</u>. The <u>combination</u> of <u>dangerous levels of CO₂ and <u>hazardous levels of Oxygen</u> greatly amplify the concern for adverse health effects, especially in young (or already vulnerable) people, and are further heightened for those with any pre-existing health or heart conditions. This raises the next significant Safeguarding concern due to the **dangerously high levels of Carbon Dioxide**.</u>

iii) **Bacterial Pneumonia** - Mask-wearing forces an inability to naturally breathe out bacteria present in the lungs. The <u>bacteria that should be eliminated</u> becomes <u>trapped on the mask</u> material and is subsequently re-inhaled; as breathing through the mask continues, the bacteria builds up and can easily lead to <u>bacterial infections</u> in the upper respiratory tract; <u>Bacterial Pneumonia</u> and ultimately <u>death</u> are scientifically predicted to <u>become the common outcome</u>. The risks increase as time periods are extended and/or repeated as the fatal outcome of **SPANISH FLU**⁷ clearly demonstrated. The significant chance of death through bacterial infections is another <u>critical Safeguarding concern</u> for children and young people that relates to mask-wearing. **Ref.** APPENDIX 1 - Mask-Wearing 2.1. Historical facts & 2.2. Health & Safety

A rapid increase in patient numbers with bacterial pneumonia infections in regular maskwearers is now being reported and both mathematics and history clearly demonstrate the high level of risk associated with mask-wearing, resulting in mass fatalities through this method of secondary poisoning.

Summary - mask wearing prevents the crucial elimination of bacterial through restricted exhalation from the lungs and instead bacteria becomes trapped on the mask leading to it being breathed back in. With regular, prolonged use there is a high risk of this resulting in upper respiratory tract infections, particularly bacterial pneumonia and ultimately death. The above three <u>effects of mask-wearing all individually raise serious Safeguarding concerns due to the **Physical harm** being caused through **Poisoning**.</u>

Lithium has also been identified in re-usable face-masks during the covid-pandemic. **Parasitic worms** have been also been found in the reusable face-masks. The nature of these worm-like threads requires further investigation, but it is suspected that they are highly likely to further contribute to additional adverse health effects.

Benefits of Masks

The actual difference in risk of spreading covid whether wearing a mask or not are proven to be absolutely negligible and therefore the wearing of masks for this purpose is <u>without</u> actual benefit.

Mask-wearing is said to 'stop the spread' of covid. However, the same voices clearly, and repeatedly, state that there is **no benefit** to mask-wearing in stopping the spread of Covid and that this measure is **not effective**. These contradictions are explored in more detail. **Ref.** APPENDIX 1 - 2.4. Mask-Wearing - Benefits

It is clear that there is an *insurmountable risk of harm* that is <u>not</u> being shared including dangerous levels of Oxygen, Carbon Dioxide and Bacteria that can all lead to serious adverse health effects.

The coercion or demanding of <u>any</u> child or young person to wear a mask for <u>any</u> length of time or under any repeated condition is the next breach of basic Safeguarding conduct and of the UK's Common Law that demands of us all to cause **no** harm. The

Psychological Damage caused is another consideration requiring full, unbiased investigation. Coupled with this the masks are not at all effective in preventing transmission. *Ref.* The CDC report - Masking Children Doesn't Stop Covid Transmission-https://americanfaith.com/masking-children-doesnt-stop-covid-transmission-cdc-report/

Mask-Wearing Summary

When knowledge of the actual harm that mask-wearing is causing is communicated to any individual with a responsibility for the safety of children, the demanding or encouraging of any child or young person to wear a mask thereafter (either repeatedly or for any length of time) becomes a clear breach of the Safeguarding code. It becomes obvious that Physical Abuse is being carried out; any further coercion again then spills out into both Emotional Abuse and Neglect; through the failure to adequately act to remove children from the harmful scenario, whilst investigating (or referring appropriately) the Safeguarding concern. The proven, significant risks to health of mask-wearing demonstrate that significant harm is being caused through any prolonged, repeated or regular use. The combination of all three aspects of **Poisoning** relating to **hazardous** levels of Oxygen and of Carbon Dioxide and the trapping of bacteria that can readily lead to severe respiratory illness all combine to demonstrate serious Safeguarding concerns due to the Physical Harm being caused. If there is any notion or perceived justification to disregard the aforementioned health risks directly associated with maskwearing, and instead attempts are made to continue any encouragement of mask-wearing a clear and direct act of **Physical Abuse** towards children is now known to be taking place.

Call to Action:

The facts presented in this document call for *immediate halting* of this highly hazardous 'safety' measure to prevent any further harm to health in children and young people;

Mask-wearing Conclusions

We need to remember to first protect and Safeguard the welfare of children above and beyond sacrificing their health and wellbeing to this ineffective measure that is proven to cause significant harm to health.

- There is <u>no scientifically supported benefit</u> to mask-wearing in stopping the spread of Covid (or beyond the controlled conditions of an operating theatre);
- Encouraging or coercing any child to wear a mask either regularly, repeatedly or for any length of time with the knowledge enclosed within becomes a clear <u>breach of basic</u> <u>Safeguarding guidelines</u>;
- As a responsible adult or member of staff, <u>any further act of encouraging or promoting</u> the wearing of masks (after this document has been received) <u>becomes a deliberate act of Physical Abuse, Emotional Abuse and Neglect towards each and every child</u>.
 Common Law stands above any Act or Mandate and was created to ensure that no harm is caused. Again, any consideration that 'following instruction' is of greater significance or holds higher regard to Safeguarding will be no defence against the act of *knowingly causing harm to a child*.

NB: The appendices provide more detailed information regarding the risks and benefits of mask-wearing.

3. Covid-vaccination

The Risks and Dangers of the Covid-vaccine are proven and documented through one of the three OFFICIAL REPORTING SYSTEMS⁸. The fundamental concerns with the covid-vaccine are: i) the Ingredients; ii) the fact it is a Planned Experiment (into 2023) and; iii) the known (and unknown) Adverse Health Reactions with those recorded already amounting to a *Colossal Number of Deaths*.

This section demonstrates, by scientific evidence, that covid-vaccines are **not safe** and are **not effective** for use on children or young people.

Whilst covid-vaccines are at present not a measure being promoted to children in all school settings, there is much debate on the younger population and vaccination. Therefore, there still exists a very real threat of harm as a direct result of the actual health risks and dangers of the covid-vaccine and the potential encouragement of them through the Educational setting. The *vaccine is proven to be harmful to human health* and the risks are proven and clearly demonstrable.

Furthermore, adverse reactions are more prominent across diminishing age groups and therefore the covid-vaccine is particularly harmful to younger generations and especially those of child-bearing age and younger. In short, as the age bands get progressively younger, so does the harm caused increase significantly. As a result, this section includes an overview of some of the key facts regarding the covid-vaccination. *Ref.* APPENDIX 1-3. Covid-vaccine.

"Children are 50 times more likely to die from the vaccine than covid itself." States former Pfizer Executive - Dr Mike Yeadon

The Pfizer trials showed 86% adverse reactions in children

VAERS® data shows reported injuries surpass 7,000 in 12-17 year olds

i) Covid-vaccine Ingredients include substances known to be toxic to humans, such as Aluminium and Ethylene Alcohol, Lithium, Graphene Oxide and Polyethylene Glycol. The Spike Protein is a *cytotoxin* proven to cause harm to the human body through damaging the immune system by activating and damaging blood platelets. This outlines the next *serious Safeguarding concern*. Further details of covid-vaccine ingredients are detailed. *Ref.* APPENDIX 1 - 3.1. Covid-vaccine - 3.1.1. Ingredients & 3.1.2. Age Restrictions and Discrepancies.

ii) Experimental-vaccine

The fact that the covid-vaccines continue in the experimental phase into 2023 is another key reason to deter from pushing towards children. In addition to the **21,000 recorded** (and 50,000-200,000 estimated) short-term deaths and **2.7 million reported injuries** referred to above, longer-term health concerns are yet to be realised. Therefore, details of the full extent of the actual risk assessment remain far from complete, yet the numbers remain a disturbing reality in need of *urgent investigation alongside the <u>urgent halting</u> of the rollout to children or young people. This becomes another <u>serious Safeguarding</u> <u>concern</u>. Ref. APPENDIX 1- 3.2. Covid-vaccine -Experimental Vaccine.*

https://awakeneduk.wordpress.com/2020/12/08/covid-19-mrna-vaccine-bnt162b2/

iii) Adverse Health Reactions-

There have been an alarming number of covid-vaccine deaths and injuries. Earlier this year, in four months more people died of the Covid-vaccine than all other vaccines combined over a 15 year period. The Swine Flu vaccination was halted after 25 deaths. The vaccine is now known to migrate and accumulate in all major organs of the body, including the brain, the ovaries and the testes.

Below is a small sample of some of the reported side effects of the covid-vaccine:

Deaths - over <u>21,000 deaths</u> and <u>2.7million injuries</u> were <u>reported</u> - June 15th 2021.

Blood clots leading to <u>heart myocarditis</u> in an <u>estimated 4% of young people</u> and becomes <u>more common as the age bands descend</u>.

Inducing sterility and <u>reduced fertility</u>, <u>miscarriage</u>, <u>disrupted menstrual cycles</u> <u>Deafness</u>, <u>nerve damage</u>, <u>paralysis</u>, <u>convulsions</u>,

Damage to the <u>immune system</u>; <u>red blood cells</u>; the <u>reproductive organs</u> and general organ failure.

Ref. APPENDIX 1- 3.3. Covid-vaccine Deaths and Injuries.

The covid-vaccine rollout is in *direct breach of the* **NUREMBERG CODE**⁹, established after World War II to *prevent human experimentation*. All ten elements of the Nuremberg Code are broken by this vaccine roll out. Any rollout of the vaccine becomes the *most urgent and critically serious Safeguarding concern*

Ref. APPENDIX 1 - 3.4. Vaccine History, Consequences & Liability.

Facts and Benefits

It is <u>illegal to require</u> people to receive vaccinations. The <u>covid vaccinations</u> are <u>not safe</u> and furthermore the <u>covid-vaccines</u> <u>cause significant harm</u> to health that includes death. In addition, it has been written and stated numerous times that <u>the covid-vaccines</u> <u>were not meant for and were not designed for children</u>. However, this fact seems to have been largely disregarded of late as there is still a definite push for the rollout to include children. Statements include that there is "<u>no data available for under 18's</u>" so it is interesting to note that suddenly the vaccines are being marketed towards children, even though there is an ever growing evidence of <u>great risk of harm</u> and <u>no perceived benefit</u> for the child or for any other person that the child may come into contact with.

To be clear, the covid-vaccine:

- Does not prevent anyone from catching covid
- Does not prevent anyone from spreading covid
- Does <u>not</u> protect anyone against covid actual exposed Absolute Risk Reduction of Covid with Pfizer is 0.84% and 1.28% for Astra Zeneca
- Has no tangible health benefits
- Is *far inferior to* our natural *immune system* in fighting covid (and any covid-variants)
- <u>Causes Damage</u> to the immune system
- Causes serious adverse health effects

The Science shows that the Covid-vaccines carry <u>no health benefits</u>. They do <u>not work</u> and they are <u>not effective</u>. Furthermore, since the vaccination programme began, the vast <u>majority of hospitalised covid-patients are now double-vaccinated</u>. The immune system alone is a far superior, tried and tested and 100% safe alternative. **Ref.** APPENDIX 1 - 3.5. Covid-vaccine Efficacy Facts.

Alternative Covid Treatments

There are a variety of alternative covid-treatments that are proven safe and highly effective. The most notable of these include natural immunity through *our own Immune System*. *Ref.* APPENDIX 1 - 3.6. Covid-vaccine Safe Alternative Covid-treatments.

Lack of INFORMED CONSENT¹⁰

Another key concern of the covid-vaccine is the *complete lack of Informed Consent*. Instead, the very tangible *risks and dangers of the covid-vaccine, as clearly laid out across the three Official Reporting Systems worldwide, are simply not being communicated or worse still are being hidden and censored*. The risks to human health need to be shared with anybody who genuinely wishes to 'volunteer' and take part in this *vaccine experiment*. The **Nuremberg Code** which serves to protect all men, women and children states this clearly. Anyone who chooses to ignore the very *real and proven health and safety concerns* relating to the covid-vaccines, but instead chooses to still encourage its rollout with the knowledge shared in this document, again becomes blatantly *complicit in harming children*. *Ref.* APPENDIX 1 - 3.7. Covid-vaccine - Misinformation and Discrepancies.

Covid-vaccine summary

There are *significant concerns* regarding *serious and irreversible harm with the Covid-vaccines*. Knowledge of the actual harm that the covid-vaccinations cause is clear and indisputable with any brief glance into the Official **Adverse Reporting Systems** or independent research of scientific evidence. The proven, significant health risks and danger to life of the covid-vaccines demonstrate that *significant harm* is being caused yet the push of the vaccine continues to escalate regardless. *Ref.* APPENDIX 1 - 3.8 Independent Research & 3.9. Covid-vaccine - Pharmaceutical Facts.

https://www.lifesitenews.com/conference-stop-the-shot/stop-the-shot-part-3/

Unproven, non-scientific or hypothetical, suggestive benefits to adults should not result in any justification to vaccinate and subsequently cause harm to children. The administration, forcing, coercing, bullying or encouraging of any child to take a covid-vaccination is again a blatant breach of the Children's Act, the fundamental Safeguarding Code, Common Law and the Nuremberg Code. Any deliberate breaching (including breaching with knowledge) holds the severest of consequences and all those continuing to participate will be *fully accountable and liable*.

Safeguarding summary

With just a small glimpse into this knowledge, the endorsement of the vaccine towards any child or young person is a clear breach of Safeguarding conduct. Administering, promoting or conditioning any child to partake in these experimental vaccines is a form of **Physical Abuse** through poisoning, **Emotional Abuse** and **Neglect**. **Everyone has a Safeguarding responsibility** to **protect and promote the welfare of children**. This is over and above any frail and unfounded attempt to protect other individuals to the detriment, harm and ultimately sacrifice of children.

NB: The appendices provide more details information regarding the risks and benefits of covid-vaccines.

CONCLUSION

During these unprecedented times, different parameters of Safeguarding have surfaced. The usual alarm bells seem to have been significantly dampened down and, as a result, covert abuse towards children has largely become 'the norm' through these covid-measures that we have been conditioned to accept without thought or question. However, it is imperative to stop and think in order to become re-open to the reality of these new and very real risks and dangers. It is particularly crucial at this time to stand up for the basic human rights of children in order to protect them from any further harm. The risks and dangers of these, and other, covid-measures need to be freely and urgently communicated to all staff in schools, governors, parents and other organisations that have a duty of care towards children as well as the wider community to ensure that safe living, working and schooling conditions are restored. *Ref.* APPENDIX 1 - 3.10. Covid-vaccine - Safeguarding Discrepancies.

The three key risks and dangers discussed: covid-testing, mask-wearing and; the covid-vaccination are all direct acts of Physical Abuse through poisoning (causing both short-term, temporary and permanent damage) and all demonstrate very serious and real threats to the health of every child and young people exposed to any one of these 'apparent safety-measures.' The spoken rhetoric claims to be the only source of direction and guidance, when the truth lies in the actual science that has not been reasonably considered at all or shared. There is an overwhelming body of evidence (in direct contrast to the covid-measures that are being enforced) that categorically proves that the covid-measures are far from safe and furthermore that instead the measures each individually carry a high risk to health. The collective risk to health further compounds the reality and extent of the dangers.

This document serves to Safeguard and protect children and young people from any further harm, as well as to specifically point out that any further encouragement of the vaccine rollout (with due knowledge as contained within this document and the extended reading beyond) disregards and violates: i) The Education Act; ii) Keeping Children Safe in Education; iii) Safeguarding Children; iv) the UK's Common Law and; v) the International Nuremberg Code.

Anyone choosing to ignore the actual facts contained within this document - which are formed from actual numbers; science; history; statements made; professional objections raised to the above processes; ongoing lawsuits; actual deaths and actual injuries (past and present); and gross conflict of interest in the promoters of the measures - becomes truly responsible for their own contribution in enabling harm to continue. Furthermore, that person becomes complicit in intentionally causing harm to children and young people instead of (and over and above) their actual remit to protect children. Moreover, full accountability will follow regarding all violations made.

Reasonable Questioning & Investigation

To simply follow instruction without questioning the proven harms that are being revealed, translates to the true Neglect of the most basic Duty of Care within the Safeguarding role. Every member of Staff or Volunteer in any Safeguarding capacity will be held accountable for their part in the harming of children, by a minimum of Neglect through complacency. It is not sufficient to simply take for granted the information that is fed to us; we must check the detail behind the actual dangers versus any perceived benefit that has been suggested and instead carry out an accurate, detailed and balanced risk assessment with the safety of the child at the centre.

We must not remain delusional for it is time to stand up and protect against further harm: An adequate risk assessment is not carried out <u>unless</u> there is <u>comprehensive</u> <u>consideration in regard to the repeated harm being inflicted upon the children</u> during these times.

This investigation process must be formed independently and must not be governed or influenced by those who hold <u>any</u> Conflict of Interest or those willing to cause harm to minors as a matter of 'following instruction' - this is a <u>failing to carry out the</u>

<u>Safeguarding duty</u>. To date, we have been grossly misinformed about the risks and dangers of the Covid-measures and as a result, we have been encouraging and facilitating great harm to our children. We must awaken to the dangers in order to carry out the Safeguarding role and protect.

However, no rationally thinking individual can reasonably deny or disregard the information held within this document, whilst at the same time thinking themselves to play any role in Safeguarding children; when the reverse is the reality and abuse, through complicity, is actually being carried out. *Ref.* APPENDIX 1- 3.8. Covid-vaccine - Independent Research.

It is time to stop, think and stand up in order to protect children from any further harm rather than blindly continuing to serve the innocent into the hands of those who are (in some way) profiting from the harm being caused. Failure to step in to protect at this crucial time will result in mass and individual consequence.

The <u>Safeguarding role serves only to protect the vulnerable from harm</u> and, first and foremost, to <u>protect children</u> from the harm intentionally, or ignorantly, being caused by adults. We must be *fully awake and open to the realities of the risks and dangers* in order to remove them. Standing aside whilst others continue to cause harm to children is in strong violation of the acts and laws that are in place for the protection of children.

This paper calls for <u>everyone</u> responsible to now <u>stand up and protect</u> all children within their care in line with (rather than against) The Education Act; Keeping Children Safe in Education; Safeguarding Children; Common Law and; the Nuremberg Code to ensure that no further harm is done. This document raises the alarm on immediate and serious Safeguarding concerns being carried out, or encouraged, in settings where children and young people should feel, and be, safe. The key concerns being the three covid-measures discussed in detail. Therefore, it is suggested that <u>any</u> person of responsibility who chooses to simply disregard, ignore or even attempts to silence these concerns, but instead continues in <u>any</u> way to simply encourage <u>any</u> one of the three measures discussed, needs to be <u>bypassed</u> or <u>removed</u> from their position of authority over any child or young person within their care.

The ignoring or disregarding of this document that outlines significant Safeguarding concerns becomes an *immediate act of Neglect* in the Safeguarding role. Furthermore, any person choosing to bypass these concerns is proven *no longer capable of either critical or reasonable thinking* and therefore, has become *incapable of protecting the children* within their care. Moreover, they have become a direct risk to every child or young person within their line of authority, as they are now complicit in *encouraging over preventing* Child Abuse.

Summary of the Three Main Covid-Measures

The scientific findings show that each of the three covid-measures: covid-testing; mask-wearing and; covid-vaccination cause significant harm to health, but in parallel serve no significant benefit in preventing or protecting from covid as detailed further in the appendices and further independent research.

Therefore, the question must be raised: "why have we been coercing children to carry out these harmful measures?" To 'follow instructions' that are repeatedly proven to cause harm to children is a direct act of child abuse.

NB: Further evidence and reading regarding the detail and background of harm being caused can be found within the Glossary and Appendices.

Stop, Think and act to Keep our children safe.

Glossary

- 1. Thalidomide was a widely used sedative drug prescribed to pregnant women to relieve nausea in the late 1950's and early 1960's. It was advertised as "completely safe." However, it became a worldwide tragedy, as it was later found that Thalidomide caused irreversible damage to the foetus, and thousands of children were born with severe congenital malformations, particularly to the arms and legs. It was removed from widespread clinical use in 1962.
- 2. Carcinogen a substance that is known to cause or produce cancer.
- 3. The FDA (US Food and Drug Administration) works with U.S. Government partners, including the CDC¹¹ and International partners to address the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) pandemic and it has been central to the direction of the covid-measures on a worldwide scale. It should be noted that there exists is a <u>strong</u> <u>conflict of interest between the FDA and the pharmaceutical companies who manufacture the vaccines including indisputable and vast sums of money exchanges</u>. The FDA is reported to receive 45% of its annual budget from the pharmaceutical industry. As a result, <u>the FDA cannot</u> and is <u>not capable</u> of providing any degree of independent health advice that would in any way conflict with the covid-vaccine programme. The FDA also claimed not to know that the Spike protein was 'biologically active.'
- 4. PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) Tests. The PCR process puts each sample taken through <u>multiple cycles</u> in order to greatly <u>magnify</u> what is actually present. Cycles set <u>above a certain threshold will consistently give a positive result</u>. The PCR sequencing for covid-testing has been proven to be set well above this scientifically agreed threshold, thereby resulting in vast numbers of false positives; this number is estimated in the region of 97% false positives. This in turn dramatically slews the figures of individuals thought to have (had) covid. However, it is this same grossly inaccurate measure that has greatly contributed to the entire perception of this covid-pandemic.
- 5. Common Law was established in 1215 under the Magna Carta, when the common laws and right of the British people were written down: Law of the Land. The Magna Carta was created before Parliament and it lays the foundation of our society. Governments cannot change it, only the people. UK Common Law states that a sovereign man or woman has equal right with everyone else and <u>Everyone</u> is <u>honour bound</u> to keep <u>four basic rules</u> that include: "Cause No Harm"

- 6. Fauci Dr Anthony Fauci, Key Health Adviser on Covid, also remains Director of the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID). Again, Fauci carries significant Conflict of Interest with the pharmaceutical companies that manufacture covid-vaccines. Therefore, the ability to provide independent health advice regarding covid-vaccines is clearly obstructed. In addition, Fauci has proven, indisputable history with the Wuhan Institute of Virology (WIV) and previous harmful vaccination programmes including the AIDS / HIV catastrophe. Fauci has been involved in Gain of Function research with regard to bat corona viruses and the WIV. Gain of Function is biological research aimed at increasing the virulence and lethality of pathogens and viruses. https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/fauci-2012-video-gain-of-function-experiments-benefit-society/
- 7. **The Spanish Flu Pandemic, 1918**. Similarly, during this pandemic, the people were forced to wear masks to 'stop the spread.' **50 million deaths** resulted, with over **45 million deaths** due to secondary **Bacterial Pneumonia infections** that came about as a direct result of **wearing masks**. Fauci concluded that the vast majority of deaths resulted from the measure of wearing masks.
- 8. Official Reporting Systems for reporting covid-vaccine Injuries:
 - I) <u>VAERS</u> The US Vaccine Reporting System showed <u>13,608 Deaths</u> and <u>1,409,662 Injuries</u> (up to 13th August 2021) <u>openvaers.com</u>
 - II) The UK's reporting system, <u>MHRA Yellow Card</u> showed reported <u>1,596 Deaths</u> (up to 11th August 2021) https://yellowcard.ukcolumn.org/yellow-card-reports
 - III) <u>European Medicines Agency</u>, EudraVigilance showed 16,535 <u>Deaths and</u>
 1,750,275 <u>Injuries</u> up to July 1st 2021 https://adrreports.eu/en/disclaimer.html;
 - Reported injuries and deaths are unanimously considered only to represent between 1% and 10% of the Actual figures. Therefore, more realistic figures would be expected to be between 10 times and 100 times greater than those officially stated here across all three reporting systems.
- 9. **Nuremberg Code** The Nuremberg Code was established under legal, International Law in 1947 (following the atrocities of World War II). Its purpose is to <u>prevent any future human experimentation</u> or violation of human rights. The push of the <u>covid-vaccine rollout violates all ten aspects of the Code</u>. The consequences for knowingly breaching the code are even more significant and any statement in line with: I was 'just carrying out orders' holds <u>no legal defence</u>. Consequences of breaching the Nuremberg Code include life-long prison sentences and execution.
- 10. Informed Consent The Nuremberg Code states that Informed Consent is required to protect people from intentional harm. Informed Consent means that a person needs to be made fully aware of all of the known risks. If the risks are not clearly communicated, it is not possible to make an informed decision and Informed Consent cannot be given regarding participation. Informed Consent has not been in place regarding covid-vaccination, since relevant information has been withheld, particularly on Covid-vaccine Deaths and Injuries. Withholding relevant information regarding the known risks to health is a clear breach of the Nuremberg Code. All offences that stand against the Nuremberg Code are punishable in line with this International Law. https://21stcenturywire.com/2021/08/23/video-everything-youneed-to-know-about-informed-consent-its-your-right/
- 11. The **CDC** The Centre for Disease Control (and Prevention) This American organisation again has been centre in leading advice on a world-wide scale regarding the health measures and advice around covid. The CDC aims to stop the spread of contagions and vector-borne diseases, and to address bioterrorism threats. However,

- the CDC also harbours strong Conflict of Interest with the key pharmaceutical companies through its vast financial ties with main manufacturers of covid-vaccines.
- 12. **Comorbidity** The Comorbidity number illustrates the number of illnesses that a person carries that are likely to result in death. A comorbidity of 2.9 shows that there were 2.9 other pre-existing, life-threatening conditions that a patient was already likely to die from.
- 13. **Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE)**. SAGE advises the UK Government on covid-measures. It is widely accepted that key individuals involved in endorsing the covid-measures also carry a strong conflict of interest politically. This most notably includes radical political backgrounds and views in the form of *Communism*.

Appendices 1, 2 & 3

The Appendices provide: 1 Additional Background Information; 2 Tables of Evidence and; 3 Links and further reading from independent* sources in full support of the 'Safeguarding Our Children During the Covid-pandemic' document.

Appendix 1

1. GENERAL COVID FACTS & INCONSISTENCIES

The scientific facts below have been shared by Official Advisers of the covid-pandemic around the world and these statements are based on actual scientific evidence. However, many of these facts have been lost amongst the contradictory narrative to science, but that continues to be pushed down by highly-biased organisations and individuals who hold a clear Conflict of Interest, particularly with regard to the promotion and profit of covid-vaccines.

- Asymptomatic Fact 'Any individual who is not showing any covid-symptoms is known to be of <u>no</u> risk of spreading covid' <u>Question</u>: - Why are healthy people being treated as if they are dangerously diseased?
- Risk Assessment Fact 'The risk of serious illness from covid is paralleled only to serious illness from influenza' <u>Question</u>: - "Why is so such time, money and effort being actively and persistently spent on promoting fear and paranoia of covid?"
- Influenza Fact 'Influenza figures worldwide have shrunk to almost zero during the covid-pandemic' Question: "Why have the influenza death figures virtually disappeared during covid?"
- Influenza Fact 'Influenza is a known seasonal illness and as such the deaths are reset to zero each year covid figures have remained and been presented as cumulative from the beginning' <u>Question</u>: "Why is the covid-count not being reset to zero at the end of each winter, especially when the figures appear do contain the missing deaths from influenza?"
- Covid-Death Fact 'The majority of recorded covid-deaths were in people who died with an existing COMORBIDITY¹² of 2.9 This translates to this deceased group each having 2.9 independent illnesses that were already life threatening' Question: "Why has the genuine and actual number of covid-deaths in previously healthy individuals not been made clear?"

- Global Death Fact 'Deaths from covid at the time of introducing the covid-measures were far below a vast number of other categories of death including Suicide, Alcoholism and Seasonal Flu' <u>Question</u>: "Why has such focus, fear, chaos and confusion been targeted at an illness illustrating significantly less deaths than thes other illnesses including seasonal flu?" *Ref.* Appendix 2 Globalisation Deaths Perspective Chart and Link
- Transmissibility Fact 'Independent studies have shown that there has not a single case of children passing coronavirus to adults' <u>Question</u>: "Why would there be any perceived benefit or reason to vaccinate any child and instead sacrificing the health of that child?"
- Recovery & Survival Fact 'Other research has shown that there is a 99.997% chance of recovery from Covid and a July study shows that the risk to covid-death is two in a million' Question: "Why are there such severe measures and restrictions being forced in place for a disease that is very similar to influenza?"
- Serious Health Effects 'The chances of any serious health effects resulting from covid are paralleled by seasonal influenza' <u>Question</u>: "Why have such fearsome measures been put into place for a disease with such a low mortality rate, such as flu?"
- Mask Fact 'the Covid-measures are paralleled only to the time of the Spanish Flu pandemic similarly, everyone was forced to wear masks, but ultimately this resulted in the deaths for over 90% of the people the wearing of masks is a known killer'
 Question: "Why are men women and children again being encouraged to wear masks that serve virtually no benefit in preventing the spread, but the wearing of masks is known to be fatal?"

Question: Why are the covid-measures so mis-aligned to the actual risks of covid? https://rumble.com/vkqoec-w.h.o.-concedes-the-covid-virus-is-just-like-the-common-flu-500000-american.html

https://dailyexpose.co.uk/2021/06/29/lisbon-court-rules-that-only-0-9-of-verified-cases-died-of-covid-19-not-17000-as-portuguese-government-claimed/

1.1. COVID-TESTS - SAFETY

1.1.1. **Ethylene Oxide** is a stated as 'safe' by Public Health England (PHE). However, EO is known to cause harm to human health and the Division of Occupational Health and Safety, (DOSH Hazardous Alert) states "*this extremely hazardous toxic chemical poses a severe risk to human health*." When EO comes into contact with moisture, the result in **Polyethylene-Glycol**, the main constituent of antifreeze. US Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) demands that Mandatory Signs be displayed in Regulated Areas for EO and must clearly state: "*Cancer and Reproductive Hazard*." EO is also a *known sterilising agent*.

The rhetoric states that EO is a known cleaning substance and therefore *it is ok* - However, three thoughts should be considered: a) the official and overwhelming fact that *it is a hazardous chemical* (as per the above extracted information); b) *Bleach* is also a *known cleaning chemical*, but probably fewer people would feel comfortable repeatedly putting this into children's mouths and noses twice a week without question and simply on the basis that "they have been told to do so" and finally; c) Why has information stating 'Ethylene Oxide' been removed from many test packages and what was the motivation to do this?

New EO regulations are expected in 2022.

- 1.1.2. **Lithium** is a powerful *brain-altering* drug. It is also a conductor of electricity and becomes *corrosive* when in contact with moisture.
- 1.1.3. **Nylon Fibres, Hydrogel & Nanoparticles** The full extent of harm that these undisclosed particles cause to the human body are, as yet, unknown. However, all have been isolated from the test swab tips. Both the wormlike and metallic particles have been evidenced to travel (from the mouth and nasal cavity area) throughout the body.
- 1.1.4. Class I Recall / Banning of Covid-tests On 11th June 2021 the FDA made a Class 1 Recall of over 300 different types of Covid-tests. The FDA categorises this recall as the *most serious recall* as: "serious adverse health reactions or death..." are expected outcomes. The FDA's recommendation is that they are "thrown in the trash" Both Innova and Randox covid-tests have been declared unsafe for use, although the full extent of the dangers have as yet not been disclosed or fully understood in the longer-term.

Class 1 Recall: "Reasonable probability that using the drug will cause serious adverse health consequences or death."

https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-recalls/innova-medical-group-recalls-unauthorized-sars-cov-2-antigen-rapid-qualitative-test-risk-false-test

https://truth11.com/2021/04/30/an-analysis-of-test-sticks-from-covid-pcr-tests-by-a-hospital-in-slovakia-confirms-genocide-pdf/

1.1.5. A Safer Alternative to the Intrusive Test Method

There is <u>no supporting evidence</u> for the intrusive method of testing from either a medical, scientific or logical perspective. Even if we still choose to blindly ignore the urgency and seriousness of the Class 1 Recall and; disregarding the gross inaccuracies of the tests (as seems to be the case in the UK), there still exists a responsibility to uphold our Safeguarding duty with regard to the very real dangers of these Covid-tests against human health. At the very least, this demands <u>finding a safe way</u> of carrying out any future testing, e.g. **spit test**.

To completely <u>remove the risk of any physical or physiological damage</u> to anyone still determined to carry out a test, a minor amendment to the administration would prove, at least equally, effective through a 'spit sample.' This method will ensure that the swab does not come into contact with any part of the body and therefore would at least <u>remove the element of physical harm</u>.

1.1.6. **Emergency Use** - Covid-tests were *made available under Emergency Use* **Authorisation** (EUA) by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and have <u>never been approved for use</u>.

The UK purchased 380 million of these tests during this emergency authorisation. When challenged on the purchase of covid-tests, John Bell of **SAGE**¹³ UK stated "we had to buy before we knew they worked."

NB: These tests are <u>still in use in the UK</u> with the Class I Recall still not communicated to the British people.

1.2 COVID-TESTS - RISKS, BENEFITS AND ACCURACY

1.2.1. **Covid-tests**:

- Are proven to be **harmful to humans**
- Do **not** distinguish between variants
- Cannot and do not distinguish between influenza and covid
- Are proven to be unreliable and highly inaccurate. Specifically, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)'s Covid-tests are confirmed to be 'grossly inaccurate' by the FDA
- Are *repeatedly proven* to produce *grossly high percentages* of *false positives*. The actual level of accuracy of the PCR testing procedure is thought to be (at best) between just 3% and 7%. In reality, this conservatively translates to *up to 97% false positives* of Covid results
- **Gross contamination** has also been taking place in the 'laboratory' settings where test results are being 'processed', thus further magnifying the number of false positives
- PCR tests have been noted as being 'as dangerous for humans as inhaling asbestos'
- The Emergency Use Authorisation of covid-test has also now been revoked

The conclusion is that the <u>tests are being used in complete disregard</u> of the <u>actual</u> <u>science</u>. The view is that covid-testing is carried out disingenuously due to its known high inaccuracies; PCR samples taken are multiplied beyond reasonable cycles and therefore contribute greatly to producing false positives. As a result, there is virtually <u>no</u> <u>benefit</u> in the testing procedures. The <u>covid-tests are also proven to be dangerously</u> <u>harmful to human health</u>, also as indicated by the FDA's Class I Recall.

Almost from the beginning, the covid-tests have been centre in determining the status of the pandemic and the test results are fundamentally what the pandemic has been based upon. However, the tests have been known and proven to be significantly flawed with respect to accuracy and as a result, a grotesque inflation of figures has existed from the very beginning. A sensible question to raise might be: "Would the pandemic status ever have arisen if accurate testing had been used instead of resulting in the covid-measures that are proving harmful to health?"

We have been asked to 'follow the science.' However, in the case of covid-testing, the science appears to have been disregarded and replaced with the use of this highly inaccurate covid-test practice. In turn, these highly inflated results have been used as the basis to instigate, and continue, the state of International Emergency. Therefore the obvious **Question:** "If the PCR tests *had been* reliable and therefore produced covid cases in the region of 3% or less than those stated to be true, would there have still been a state of International Emergency with all of the covid-restrictions put in place?"

Dr Kary B Mullis - Nobel Prize Winner in Chemistry and Inventor of the PCR Technique - https://walkinverse.com/dr-kary-mullis-slams-fauci/

https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/britain-has-millions-of-coronavirus-antibody-tests-but-they-don-t-work-j7kb55g89

https://dailyexpose.co.uk/2021/06/29/lisbon-court-rules-that-only-0-9-of-verified-cases-died-of-covid-19-not-17000-as-portuguese-government-claimed/

1.2.2. **No isolation** of the covid-virus has been achieved during the entire pandemic. This deems it impossible to distinguish between any 'variants.'

1.2.3. **Transmissibility** - There is zero scientific reasoning to demand the swab to deeply penetrate the nasal cavity. Science shows that Covid aerosols would still readily travel through all regular masks; therefore, by definition of science and reason, a **spit sample would very readily evidence the presence of covid** - especially when taken immediately after blowing the nose.

1.3. UNANSWERED QUESTIONS

Once we stop and begin to think, only then can we actually consider the perspective of logic and reason. Then we can actually *choose to follow the scientific facts, basic mathematics and history* presented to us. Until now we have been blindly following instruction without any consideration, thought or attention to the actual harm being caused. *Covid-tests are grossly unreliable*, they have *no diagnostic quality* and most significantly, they are *dangerous to human health*.

Therefore, the following questions need to be asked:

- Question 1: Why are these tests being pushed so heavily in the UK and to our children, especially when there are such <u>harmful consequences</u>, including death?
- Question 2: Why is there no supportive, scientific reason for the intrusive and dangerous method of administering the tests, which includes the direct <u>attack to the</u> <u>blood brain barrier</u> and when Covid is also said, in entire contradiction, to be so transmissible?
- Question 3: Why has the <u>carcinogenic Ethylene Oxide</u> (EO) <u>information been</u> <u>removed</u> from many test kit boxes?
- Question 4: Why is there still <u>no communication in the UK</u> of the tests having a <u>Class I Recall</u> by the FDA and, more importantly, why are they still being used in the UK when they are considered so significantly harmful to human health and '<u>may cause death?</u>' (380 million tests were initially purchased by the UK and it is estimated that <u>1.2 million</u> tests are administered in the UK daily this represents serious harm being carried out daily on a gargantuan scale)
- **Question 5:** Is covid-testing also being used covertly to *sample DNA without consent*?

There needs to be <u>immediate individual and collective action</u> of <u>all those carrying a</u>
<u>Safeguarding responsibility</u> to stand up and to actively <u>prevent further harm</u> from continuing.

Reference articles and links - https://www.ukcolumn.org/topic/coronavirus

2. MASK-WEARING

2.1. MASK-WEARING - HISTORICAL FACTS

During the Spanish Flu pandemic in 1918, the people wore masks to 'stop the spread'. 50 million people died and <u>over 90% died of Bacterial Pneumonia infections as a direct result of wearing masks</u>. In 2008, Anthony Fauci's - Major Article paper on Spanish Flu concluded that the 'majority of deaths likely resulted from secondary infections' and the 'mortality rate was elevated predominantly due to increased bronchial pneumonia.' Deaths were almost never caused by the influenza virus itself, but as a result of **Bacterial Poisoning**.

2.2. MASK-WEARING - HEALTH AND SAFETY

There have now been mounting cases of **severe acute Bacterial Pneumonia** being reported, with <u>Bacterial Pneumonia</u> suddenly on the rise in patients who regularly wear masks.

Mask-wearing for disease containment or infection control is <u>only recommended for use</u> <u>by medical professionals</u> in operating theatres of hospitals. **Ref.** Below, Mask-wearing 2.4. Benefits

2.3. SAFE BREATHING LEVELS

Normal Oxygen content in air is <u>20-21%</u> which is considered <u>good quality</u>. Below this, air is deemed to be adequate, provided that the Oxygen content does not fall below 19.5%. Manufacturers usually set Gas Monitors to trigger the alarm when Oxygen falls below 19.5%.

Environments where levels are below 19.5% Oxygen require Breathing Apparatus.

The authority for the 19.5% value for Oxygen in air stemmed from Section 55 of the Mines and Quarries Act, where mine air is deemed not to be suitable if Oxygen content falls below 19.5%. Also reference the Confined Space Regulations and References to Oxygen Percentage Levels in the L101 ACoP/Guidance to the Confined Space Regs, paragraph 27.

The UK's Control of Substances Harmful to Health Regulations (COSHH) & the US Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulation 1910.146 *entry is not permitted when the Oxygen levels fall below 19.5%*, yet we are *forcing children on a daily basis to endure toxic conditions in the region of 17.4% Oxygen*.

Carbon Dioxide in occupied spaces is expected to be within the range of <u>400ppm to</u> <u>1,500ppm</u> and the government's Health and Safety Executive (HSE) site states that "You should <u>take action to improve ventilation</u> where <u>CO₂ readings are consistently higher</u> <u>than 1,500ppm</u>." However, <u>children</u> are being <u>forced to breathe in toxic conditions</u> every time they wear a mask as <u>CO₂ levels</u> are <u>well in excess of 7,000ppm</u>.

Identifying Poorly Ventilated Areas - https://www.hse.gov.uk/coronavirus/equipment-and-machinery/air-conditioning-and-ventilation/identifying-poorly-ventilated-areas.htm

Other health effects from mask-wearing include facial eruptions, such as allergic contact dermatitis. Reports are showing that these conditions are developing in over 50% of regular mask-wearers.

2.4. Benefits

Official statements openly admit that *masks* 'do <u>not</u> stop the spread' and it has regularly been stated and proven fact that for any average member of the public there is **no** scientific benefit to wearing masks during this covid-pandemic.

The benefits to mask-wearing are noted only for <u>Surgeons or Medical Staff working in operating theatres</u>. Within this professional hospital setting, room conditions are adjusted and regulated to improve the air quality. <u>Oxygen levels are increased</u> and the air cooled to compensate for the hazardous quality of air directly attributable to the wearing of sterile, surgical masks. The adjusted room conditions are necessary to compensation for the physiological restrictions of the poor air quality breathed whilst wearing a mask.

Mask-wearing for disease containment or infection-control beyond this setting is <u>not</u> <u>recommended</u>, offers <u>virtually</u> <u>no benefit</u> and furthermore <u>induces harm</u> to the wearer.

To add further to the risk of mask-wearing during this pandemic, blue masks have been found to be packed in contaminated and unhygienic conditions, thereby further increasing the risk of initiating secondary infection.

The micro-environment behind the mask becomes almost <u>instantaneously hazardous</u> to health and <u>promotes severe harm including death</u> through Oxygen depravity, Carbon Dioxide poisoning and bacterial toxicity.

The <u>masks</u> are serving only to <u>poison</u> the very <u>air</u> that we are breathing in!

"All things are poison and nothing is without poison, only the dose permits something not to be poisonous."- Paracelsus 1493-1541

2.5 Unanswered Questions -

It has been repeatedly stated that <u>asymptomatic people are **not** infectious</u>; they <u>cannot</u> <u>spread covid</u> and; they are of <u>**no** threat to spreading covid</u>. Therefore, the following questions require immediate response to prevent further harm caused by the wearing of masks:

- **Question:** "Why are the mask-wearing measures in place when they are known to inflict unnecessary harm?"
- Question: "Why is the known harm caused by mask-wearing not being communicated?"
- Question: "Why is mask-wearing being repeatedly enforced when it is proven, and stated by covid-officials, to serve <u>no benefit</u> in controlling or containing covid?"

 Question: "Why is the mask-wearing measure in complete contradiction to the intrusive test method?" NB: The highly intrusive covid-test process suggests that covid can only be identified deep within the nasal cavity the two measures of deep cavity penetration and mask-wearing demonstrate polar opposites in regard to the actual transmissibility of covid. The two measures are not congruent, but in fact are in direct conflict with each other and are therefore, in direct conflict to all scientific reasoning.
- Question: "Why do 'important' groups of people not need to wear masks (or take the
 covid-vaccine; social distance or have any restrictions imposed upon them) are these
 groups of people, such as G7 Attendees, somehow naturally exempt from catching and
 spreading covid and if so, why is this incredible 'secret safety recipe' not being shared
 with the general public?"

Conclusion Regarding Masks - https://awakening.s3.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/17175930/Masks-Final.pdf
https://truthcomestolight.com/dr-vernon-coleman-masks-cause-cancer/

3. COVID-VACCINE

3.1. VACCINE SAFETY AND FACTS -

3.1.1. Covid-vaccine Ingredients -

The covid-vaccines contain substances known to be toxic to humans, as well as non-disclosed ingredients. Several harmful substances identified from covid-vaccines include:

The Spike Protein, Lithium, Graphene Oxide and Polyethylene Glycol (PEG).

Spike Protein - has been identified as a *cytotoxin* that *causes harm to the human body* and it is now known to accumulate in various organs of the body and cause all manner of health issues including *damaging the immune system* and *acting against natural immunity*.

Graphene Oxide (GO) again, as found in the covid-test swabs, is a powerful poison. Highly significant quantities of these toxic nanoparticles have been isolated from the covid-vaccines. GO is the main ingredient in **Hydrogel**. GO is also known for its *magnetic qualities* and its *ability* to be *highly integrative with neuron (brain) cells* and it is also a strong *conductor of electricity*.

Commonly used vaccine ingredients present in covid-vaccines include **Aluminium** a known neurotoxin and **Ethylene Alcohol.**

Whilst listed above are just a handful of ingredients identified in the covid-vaccines, it should be noted that it is difficult to list all of the harmful ingredients actually present in the vaccines, as the pharmaceutical companies do <u>not legally need to disclose</u> every ingredient. Independent tests in this area are ongoing to identify other suspected ingredients previously found to be commonplace in vaccines, such as include: **Mercury** - a <u>highly toxic liquid metal</u>; poisoning can result from <u>inhalation of vapour</u>, ingestion or <u>absorption</u> through the skin. **Thimerosal** is a potentially <u>toxic preservative</u> commonly used in vaccines and; **Triton X-100** - a <u>detergent used in spermicides</u>;

https://www.notonthebeeb.co.uk/post/what-is-really-in-the-c19-vaccines?utm_campaign=16250ad5-cb1a-429e-9690-

<u>ed22061ac6ba&utm_source=so&utm_medium=mail&cid=6693cf76-31e9-4d08-9edd-c96037916ad1</u>

https://www.institutefornaturalhealing.com/2021/02/coronavirus-vaccines-heres-whats-in-them/

https://worldresearchalliance.com/dr-jane-ruby-myocarditis/

Other Ingredients - aside from the known toxic ingredients, the ethics and moral decisions associated with some of the other ingredients such as the *aborted*, *human foetal cells* should also be considered alongside the psychological impact of being 'encouraged' to partake in this trial, against beliefs or religious preferences. Further reading is recommended to these additional concerns.

3.1.2. Age Restrictions and Discrepancies -

Pfizer's covid-vaccine information clearly states that it is <u>not</u> for children or under 18's However, Pfizer then recommends for children over the age of 12, even a though it is the Pfizer vaccine that carries the <u>highest number of deaths in adults</u> (approx 65% up to July 30th VAERS) and the <u>highest number of adverse reactions</u>, (86% adverse reaction in children, such as myocarditis) during the earlier trial phase.

Astra Zeneca manufactured the covid-vaccine (Vaxzevria) for "adults aged 18 years and over" and Safety and Efficacy has not been studied in under 18's - Ref. Method of administration - https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/vaxzevria-previously-covid-19-vaccine-astrazeneca-epar-product-information_en.pdf
Statement: "COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca is not recommended for children aged below 18 years. No data are currently available on the use of COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca in children and adolescents younger than 18 years of age."

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/regulatory-approval-of-covid-19-vaccine-astrazeneca/information-for-uk-recipients-on-covid-19-vaccine-astrazeneca

The above demonstrates yet more example of <u>inconsistent and opposing information</u> regarding the use, efficacy and safety of covid-vaccines. Although clearly stating that the vaccines are <u>not for use in under 18's</u>, the government website states that there is <u>no choice of vaccine</u>. This could significantly add to the dangerous consequences for children, however the vaccine roll out continues without regard to this knowledge or of the inherent harm being caused. As a result of the knowledge held, the continued roll out to children and young people becomes a criminal act punishable to all those complicit.

3.2. EXPERIMENTAL-VACCINE

The experimental phase of the covid-vaccine has been planned into 2023. Ordinarily, when drug trials are carried out, the volunteers are provided with the knowledge of risk and so hold Informed Consent to decide whether they wish to take part. The withholding of the consequences including the horrifying number of Deaths and Injuries demonstrate a complete disregard to inform at all. When relevant information is withheld, the risks cannot be understood and this is a clear breach of the **Nuremberg Code**. As previously stated, the Nuremberg Code was set up to *prevent and protect against human experimentation* following the atrocities that were taking place during World War II. Instead, these experimental vaccines are being more forcibly endorsed across the world with the passing of time.

3.3. COVID-VACCINE DEATHS & INJURIES -

There is virtually no mention of covid-vaccine deaths or injuries through the main channels of communication and instead the actual figures are grossly and disingenuously withheld from public view.

The three reporting systems show Injuries and Deaths to be between 1% and 10% of the Actual Injuries and Actual Deaths (this estimate is by statement of the actual Reporting Organisations themselves, to include the UK's MHRA Yellow Card). Therefore, it is openly recognised that the *majority of Deaths and vast majority of Injuries are not reported*. However, a conservatively estimated *21,000 covid-vaccine Deaths* and *2.7 million covid-vaccine Injuries* have still been reported. *13,627 covid-vaccine Deaths* and *623,341 covid-vaccine Injuries* to 20th August 2021 in the USA's reporting system alone openvaers.com

Figures could be significantly higher and a recent exposé suggests numbers in the region of **45,000 - 50,000 Deaths in the USA** alone.

Regarding the actual figures relating to covid-vaccine deaths and injuries, there is either a deliberate attempt to hide them or alternatively any narrative is dismissive of and simply states that the 'deaths were coincidental' or that these people had previous health issues.

Here are just a sample of reports and stories (more references and links can be found in Appendix 3):

Myocarditis - https://worldresearchalliance.com/dr-jane-ruby-myocarditis/ Covid-jabs and Collapsing Athletes - https://www.conservativewoman.co.uk/covid-jabs-and-the-case-of-the-collapsing-athletes/

June 2021, four previously healthy British Airways (BA) pilots died in the same week following covid-vaccination - https://www.bitchute.com/video/6eig6e1DnaJw/ Healthy 13 year old boy dies - https://www.bitchute.com/video/6eig6e1DnaJw/ Healthy 13 year old boy dies - https://www.bitchute.com/video/6eig6e1DnaJw/ Healthy 13 year old boy dies - https://www.bitchute.com/w/healthy-13-year-old-boy-died-3-days-after-receiving-2nd-covid-shot/

Warning for Teenagers as Vaccine Deaths Overtake Covid-Deaths - https://www.conservativewoman.co.uk/warning-for-teenagers-as-vaccine-deaths-overtake-covid/

NB: The Swine Flu vaccine was halted after 25 deaths.

Other Injuries -

These include, but are in no way exclusive to: *Blood Clots; Convulsions; Deafness; Heart Myocarditis; Nerve damage; Paralysis; Immune System damage; Red Blood Cell damage; Reproductive Organs damage and general Organ Failure; Induced Sterility; Reduced Fertility; Infertility; Miscarriage; Disrupted Menstrual Cycles; Bell's Palsy; Capillary Leak Syndrome; Guillain-Barré syndrome...*

The covid-vaccine is now proven to impact fertility with a high percentage of young women miscarrying, (over 90% in the first trimester) and a minimum of 60-70% of women who have taken the vaccine now rendered infertile and incapable of bearing children. Women are also now repeatedly reporting menstrual problems after covid-vaccines, including interference with menstrual cycles, either delays or heavy bleeding. The science evidences the accumulation of covid-vaccines in the ovaries (and testes in males resulting in reduced sperm count). These side effects directly support the evidence of sterilisation.

Nearly 11,000 deaths reported to CDC - https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaers-deaths-injuries-reported-cdc-covid-vaccines-moderna-pregnant-women/

A few other concerns regarding covid-vaccine injuries include:

- Organ failure following cytokine storm induced by the vaccine;
- A 2.6 fold increase in Parkinson's disease amongst vaccinated people;
- The US has add a warning regarding heart inflammation in teens and young adults from Pfizer and Moderna vaccines;
- Peter Doshis's testimony at FDA hearing: "the harms outweigh benefits in Pfizer trial of 12-15 year olds and ethically people cannot defend to harm people through vaccination to benefit others."

Covid-vaccine Death Transparency - https://dailyexpose.co.uk/2021/08/16/dr-tess-lawrie-responds-to-mhra-be-transparent-about-vaccine-deaths-and-suspend-the-covid-19-vaccination-programme/

Expect Covid-vaccine Child Fatalities - https://www.notonthebeeb.co.uk/post/300-child-fatalities

3.4. VACCINE HISTORY, CONSEQUENCES & LIABILITY -

Until the covid-pandemic, it had always been right and normal to halt vaccination programs if a significant number of adverse reactions resulted. For instance, with **Swine**Flu the vaccination roll out was halted when 25 people died.

Previously when even a *small amount of deaths* have resulted from trials, the vaccine has been *immediately halted*. This has not been the case with the Covid-vaccine, which despite the atrocities of recorded numbers of deaths and injuries, the promotion of this vaccine simply continues cited as the *only way of returning to freedom*. The vaccine 'marketing' is in complete disregard to known deaths, injuries and human health and the promotion of the covid-vaccine can therefore be considered to be nothing but *tyrannical*.

Instead of creating a safe way forward, the covid-measures are all producing direct consequence to health and life. In addition, the measures are all in complete

contradiction to any rational thinking, medical ground or ethics. All injuries are dismissed as 'coincidence' or swept under the carpet. Again, the question of "Why?" must be asked and also where has the basic level of humanity gone to push ahead with the slaughter and injury of thousands of innocent people, especially when the vaccine roll-out is marketed on the basis of *keeping people safe*.

It truly is time to *wake up* to the basic contradictions being coerced in Safeguarding and Health and to see the actual harm than has been manifesting everywhere. Next, there needs to thought given to rationalise and think of the true level of consequences that are being played out. The actual facts are astounding and the exact opposite of the reality of protection. Again, anyone who is comfortable to continue the endorsement of the vaccine-rollout to children is devoid of any regard for health and safety nor has any regard for human quality; furthermore, they clearly no longer possess the ability to think independently or rationally, but instead are employees of perpetrators.

Holocaust Survivors see Another Holocaust - https://dailyexpose.co.uk/2021/08/26/ holocaust-survivors-send-open-letter-to-the-mhra-demanding-an-end-to-the-covid-19-vaccine-roll-out-because-they-are-seeing-another-holocaust-unfold-before-their-eyes/

NB: Pfizer do <u>not</u> need to disclose any information surrounding covid-vaccine Deaths or injuries for <u>10 years</u>.

3.5. COVID-VACCINE EFFICACY FACTS

It is widely accepted and now clearly proven by science and mathematics that the covid-vaccines <u>do **not** work to protect against Covid</u>.

The Risk Reduction (efficacy) of covid with the Pfizer vaccine is shown to be 0.84% and is 1.28% for Astra Zeneca. The actual science that shows that covid-vaccines are highly ineffective in the prevention of risk to covid or its spread. As stated previously, the vaccines are ineffective in preventing contraction of covid or the transmission of covid with Absolute Risk Reduction averaging at 1% across Astra Zeneca and Pfizer. The effectiveness of a drug sitting in the region of 1% is by no means reasonable justification to vaccinate children, especially when the vaccine companies clearly state that they are not designed for children.

Hundreds of vaccinated Indonesian health workers get covid after being vaccinated - https://www.theepochtimes.com/hundreds-of-vaccinated-indonesian-health-workers-get-covid-19-dozens-in-hospital 3862749.html

Fauci states that the vaccine could make the virus stronger - https://bestnewshere.com/fauci-admits-antibodies-from-covid-19-vaccines-actually-make-the-virus-stronger/
HMS Queen Elizabeth - Covid outbreak on fully vaccinated ship - https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-57830617

3.6. SAFE ALTERNATIVE COVID TREATMENTS

There are a variety of alternative, proven covid-treatments that *are* safe and highly effective. The most notable is the Immune System; Vitamin D; Vitamin C and prescription drugs such as **Hydroxychloroquine** (**HCQ**) and **Ivermectin**. HCQ and Ivermectin are two examples of highly effective and safe (alternative) treatments for Covid.

Question: "Why are these alternative, safe, proven remedies not being promoted for covid treatment?" "Why were HCQ and Ivermectin banned from use for treatment of covid at the beginning and during the pandemic, especially as they were readily and successfully administered for similar viral infections prior to the pandemic?"

Hydroxychloroquine - https://www.americasfrontlinedocs.com/references/

Natural News - Natural Immunity 13 Times More Effective - https://www.naturalnews.com/2021-08-31-natural-immunity-13times-more-effective-vaccines-delta.html

3.7. COVID-VACCINE MISINFORMATION AND DISCREPANCIES

Inaccurate rhetorical statements regarding the vaccine that have contributed to the damage and confusion have included:

- Misinformation: "vaccines are safe" Although the concept of vaccines do hold obvious advantages, many vaccines have been scientifically and mathematically proven to have caused significant adverse health effects. In Truth: All vaccines carry some degree of risk to health and adverse side effects have always been present with vaccines.
- Misinformation: "the covid-vaccine is 100% safe" No drug manufactured has ever been able to claim that vaccines are completely safe this claim is at best a gross case of misinformation. This claim was later retracted. In Truth: No vaccine has ever been 100% safe.
- Misinformation: "it's no different to any other vaccine and it's the same as the flu vaccine" These types of vaccines have never been given to the general public before. The full impact and longer term effects are still largely unknown. In Truth: Covid-vaccines are very different to other vaccines, as they contain gene-altering components.
- Misinformation: "Informed Consent is being given" Informed Consent has not been given at all and to the contrary adverse effects have remained in the shadows. <u>In Truth</u>: No information is being shared regarding the risks and therefore no informed decision can be reached.
- Discrepancy: "the vaccine is voluntary" However, the persistence of deliberate and organised: coercion, persuasion, bribery, blackmail, shame and guilt are just a few examples of the ruthless psychological methods being applied to 'encourage' everyone to 'volunteer.' This psychological bullying against the People has subsequently led to increase peer-pressure and persuasion (from those already vaccinated) towards those who have already 'chosen not to be involved in the experiment.' This peer-pressure begins to demonstrate the true depth and breadth (and current success) of the psychological methods being applied, as never before have people had such a keen, highly opinionated and vocal view regarding the personal and private health choices of others. The psychological marketing methods used to promote these vaccines have targeted people of all ages, from all backgrounds and from all angles. The Reality:

 Psychological techniques are continuously being applied to ensure that no-one will decline the vaccine. Ref. APPENDIX 3 3.12. Fear, Chaos and Confusion

3.7.1. General Covid-vaccine Information

The majority of readily accessible research is in some way funded or sponsored by the pharmaceutical companies who themselves manufacture the covid-vaccines. This, again, demonstrates strong Conflict of Interest with regard to the vaccines and their actual benefits assessed against the safety aspects. Therefore, it is hardly surprising that the vast majority of concerns and ill-effects do not get a mention, even though these concerns are being raised by independent medical and scientific professionals.

In arguably the most successfully vaccinated country in the world, Israel's Israeli People's Committee (IPC) has stated that the Pfizer vaccine "damages every system in the body."

New regulations came in to ensure that vaccine companies have zero liability for covid-vaccination deaths or injuries.

3.7.2. Unanswered Questions

Fact: It is <u>illegal</u> to require people to receive vaccinations. This statement comes directly from the UK Government and Parliament.

- Question: Why are the number of covid-vaccine deaths not being publicised?
- Question: Why are the number of other covid-vaccine injuries not being shared?
- **Question:** After so many covid-vaccinated people have already died (or been irreversibly injured), why has the vaccination program not been halted?
- **Question:** Why have actual deaths during this pandemic been lower than previous years both locally and globally [Freedom of Information Request]?
- **Question:** Why have the influenza figures dropped to between zero and 2% during the covid pandemic?
- **Question:** Why are safe, proven, alternative treatments not being communicated or are being censored and in some case even banned?
- Question: Why, if vaccinations are expected for all, will some. eg. Members of Parliament (MP's) be exempt from covid-vaccination and vaccine passports? Again, if MP's do carry a natural immunity, why is this secret-health information not being shared with the general public?

3.8. INDEPENDENT RESEARCH

General observations have shown that those seeking to ask questions, raise concerns or share information regarding the risks and harm associated with the covid-measures, and especially the vaccine, are largely being silenced.

3.8.1 Mainstream Media

Independent research is only possible through sources that are genuinely willing to provide honest reporting that gives a balanced (rather than biased) view of a situation. Main Stream Media (MSM) sources such as the BBC, CNN and Google, Youtube, Facebook and Twitter are proven to heavily censor any narrative that attempts to provide any alternative view or raise questions regarding the covid-measures. This includes censoring or disregarding those questions raised that are based on scientific fact and actual data, such as the covid-vaccine deaths and injuries from the three **Official Reporting Systems**. It is interesting to note the strong financial connections to the pharmaceutical manufacturers and vaccine advocates.

The MSM, and other organisations that persistently promote the harmful covid-measures, again all hold the same indisputable links with those heavily involved in vaccination production, research, funding or distribution. Furthermore, they have profited from supporting practices that are proven to be harmful to human health, most notably the covid-vaccine. In summary, MSM has been serving to facilitate and protect those profiting from the vaccination rollout instead of providing balanced, factual reporting. This, in turn, has resulted in much significant harm being caused directly to the public and the children instead of delivering truth that would serve to protect.

These organisations claim that they are simply removing 'misinformation' when in reality they are censoring science, mathematics, recent history and facts surrounding the harmful nature of the covid-measures.

FaceBook removed 18 million pieces of content cited as 'misinformation' - **Question:** "Why does a social media platform feel the need, not only remove information that includes deaths and injuries resulting from covid-vaccinations, but also instead to heavily market and promote the covid-vaccine?" The Conflict of Interest and funding sources again provide insights to this question.

3.8.2. **Fact Checkers** have become an important way to verify information, also known as Fake News. However, again it is important to note what funding links actually sit behind the 'Fact Checkers' and whether they are truly independent and un-biased or if again a Conflict of Interest actually lurks in the background. Notably, the Fact Checkers that serve only to disprove any concerns regarding the covid-measures are certainly not serving the public interest.

The claims are that the covid-measures are in place to *protect the people*. However, in reality it is these very measures that are *bringing harm to the people*. *Ref.* APPENDIX 1- 3.10. Covid-vaccine - Safeguarding Discrepancies.

Many reports and resources regarding the actual concerns of covid-measures continue to be removed.

Freedom of Information MHRA YellowCard Actual Reported Injuries - https://dailyexpose.co.uk/2021/08/14/f-o-i-request-reveals-adverse-reactions-to-the-covid-19-injections-are-17-5-times-higher-than-adverse-reactions-to-all-other-vaccines-combined-since-2010/

Those who oppose the covid-vaccine have even been 'moved out of the way' - https://freewestmedia.com/2021/07/17/coincidence-three-presidents-dead-after-blocking-distribution-of-covid-vaccines/

Along with other organisation already referred to, such as the CDC and the FDA, the **World Health Organisation (WHO)** has also driven covid-measures and restrictions on a world-wide scale. Again though, the WHO holds highly-biased views regarding the promotion of vaccines based on strong Conflict of Interest and again indisputable financial ties to the key pharmaceutical organisations. It has been noted that the WHO receives around 50% of its budget through private investors, again demonstrating the vested interest and close ties to the pharmaceutical sector, and Big Pharma itself. However, adding to the confusion, the WHO recently recommended <u>AGAINST covid-vaccines for children and adolescents</u> in light of the evidence of post-vaccine myocarditis.

It is for the reasons in this section that it becomes imperative to find sources that are truly non-biased, that is, they have no incentive to promote the agendas of others, but instead only possess the desire to report the truth for the benefit of the people.

3.8.3. Legitimate Independent Information

These truly independent sources are the only way to protect the people and the children. Such organisations include **The UK Column**, **the Great Barrington Declaration** and **America's Frontline Doctors**.

Legitimately independent information channels that do not censor information regarding covid include <u>bichute.com</u>, <u>rumble.com</u>, <u>odysee.com</u> and Wikileaks.

A realistic view of the **Civil Action** taking place around the world can be found via these channels, including the frequency and extent of worldwide marches against the harm being brought about by the covid-measures.

Many genuine, unbiased journalists around the world have also begun sharing 'truth bombs' to help reveal the unpublicised dangers of the covid-measures.

Ref. APPENDIX 3 - Links and further reading from truly independent sources.

3.9. PHARMACEUTICAL & MEDICAL FACTS -

With just a minimal amount of research, it can be readily seen that the Pharmaceutical Industry holds a far from perfect record regarding the guaranteed safety of use of their products. The two examples below are pulled from news articles in the summer of 2021:

Pfizer have recently recalled Chantix, its anti-smoking drug, due to a cancer-causing chemical - https://www.reuters.com/business/healthcare-pharmaceuticals/pfizer-halts-distribution-anti-smoking-drug-after-finding-carcinogen-2021-06-24/

Johnson and Johnson (J&J) have recently recalled their famous (and widely-used in vast numbers of households) **Johnson's Baby Powder** due to its carcinogenic qualities. The damages associated with approximately 25,000 law suits to a sum of \$2 Billion for talc litigation and settlements. J&J continue to insist that their products are safe - https://www.asbestos.com/news/2021/02/24/johnsons-billions-talc-settlements/

Covid-vaccine - Zero Liability

A recent change has resulted in Covid-vaccine companies holding **zero liability** *to any* harm or injury caused following vaccination. Similarly, Doctors are also *not liable* for any harm caused by covid-vaccines.

Furthermore, the **Purchase Agreement** for Covid-vaccine states that 'the purchaser acknowledges the <u>long-term effects and efficacy of the vaccine are not currently known</u> and there may be <u>adverse effects of the vaccine that are not currently known</u>.' In summary, the pharmaceutical companies (and purchasers) acknowledge that they do not know what the consequences will be or what will happen from a health perspective after administration of the covid-vaccine. That is, they do not know the future consequences or benefits.

Suppression of Safe, Effective Covid-Treatments

The suppression (and in some cases the banning) of drugs proven to be highly effective and proven safe in fighting covid, such as Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and Ivermectin has and is still taking place. This is in direct conflict of claiming to put people's health and safety first, when instead the harmful consequence of the covid-vaccine are being encountered. And as stated before and as proven through the Official Reporting Systems, has vaccine has already taken thousands of lives. https://www.stopworldcontrol.com/cures/

Question: Why have these safe effective alternatives to the vaccine been suppressed?

3.10. SAFEGUARDING DISCREPANCIES & OTHER SAFEGUARDING CONCERNS -

It is coming to light that some of the very people of influence involved in driving the apparent 'safety' of children and young people, instead are heavily involved in promoting and causing harm through the covid-measures. At best, they are blindly encouraging agendas that cause both direct and indirect harm.

Therefore, a call to action is needed to *reinstate the actual* **Health and Safety** of children both in and out of school. The risks and benefits of the covid-measures need to be considered fully instead of simply allowing, and in some cases encouraging, these harmful measures to continue.

How will School Staff and Governors support and challenge these Safeguarding discrepancies and concerns in order to protect the children within their care? It is the action taken to protect and Safeguard a child that matters and <u>any adult can be considered to be abusing or neglecting a child simply by failing to act or protect from them from harm.</u> Knowingly inducing illness is of course a known form of Physical Abuse, as, clearly, is Poisoning. All three covid-measures described in this document are examples of Physical Abuse through Poisoning and all three measures are known to cause illness. Furthermore, any use of bullying tactics, due to authority, to continue this physical harm on any child is in itself a fully accountable act of child-abuse.

"The basic requirement that children are kept safe is universal." - Victoria Climbie Inquiry.

Other Safeguarding concerns surrounding children have been raised and include the manifestation of some of these concerns within school environments. It needs to be accepted that some in authority are disingenuous in their role and are willing to cause harm to others - otherwise, the need for Safeguarding would barely exist. It is also important to note that leaders and noted authorities sometimes get it wrong and, as necessary, acting to protect children through challenge becomes essential.

Good Job Reference to Sexual Predator - https://uk.news.yahoo.com/school-apologises-giving-good-job-135336952.html?guccounter=1
UK Column Articles - https://www.ukcolumn.org/topic/children
https://www.ukcolumn.org/article/nottingham-common-purpose-effect-re-visited

3.10.1. Action - Next Steps and Appropriate Escalation

This document raises the alarm on immediate and serious Safeguarding concerns being carried out, or encouraged, in settings where children and young people should feel, and be, safe. The key concerns being the three covid-measures discussed in detail. Therefore, it is suggested that <u>any</u> person of responsibility who chooses to simply disregard, ignore or even silence these concerns, but instead continues in any way to simply encourage any one of the three measures discussed, needs to be bypassed or removed from their position of authority over any child or young person within their care. Any act of ignoring this document now becomes an immediate act of Neglect in the Safeguarding role. Furthermore, it becomes proof that the person is no longer capable of either critical or reasonable thinking and therefore, has become incapable of protecting the children within their care. Moreover, they have become a direct risk to every child or young person within their line of authority, as they are now complicit in encouraging Child Abuse through knowingly keeping the children within their care within the direct risks and dangers discussed. This document calls for a full (and unbiased, independent) investigation of those promoting the harmful covid-measure and a full exposé of the Conflict of Interests.

It is often a basic lack of understanding that prevents *timely, protective action and the adequate Safeguarding of children*. Different people will take on board the information held within this document to varying degrees - some will be content to accept everything and others will naturally be highly sceptical and need to verify for themselves. However, the underlying fact remains that every point made; every individual cited and every truly independent organisation referred to are *all raising Safeguarding concerns* regarding the three covid-measures and each one of these harmful measures are being applied to children. The raising of these *Serious Safeguarding Concerns* is to awaken those with

any Safeguarding Responsibility to these danger, and thereby to bring about an <u>urgent</u> and immediate halting.

The sheer volume and extent of whistleblowers worldwide demands full consideration to the vast volumes of information demonstrate these same concerns. To ignore all of the lines of enquiry, the statistics, the science and the facts that all point to the great prospect of harm being caused becomes a blind disregard of the Safeguarding duty. To merely continue to follow the instruction from those promoting or carrying out actual harm, implies either a personal agenda through some Conflict of Interest or personal gain coupled with a refusal to act in the time of need and by default a refusal to protect children. Subsequently, those individuals become part of the Safeguarding concern due to their Neglect of Duty and complicity in the causing of harm to children and they will eventually be brought to justice.

Think the unthinkable - don't think "What if the findings are all wrong?" think "What if any of these findings are right?" and ACT to protect children from harm by adults.

3.11. WHISTLEBLOWERS -

It is widely recognised that it is the employees that are often the first to realise that there is something seriously wrong higher up the chain of command. Whilst it may be easier to ignore a concern rather than act upon a suspicion of malpractice, simply following through with procedures when harm to children is at the centre is an offence. As such, many people with growing suspicions to the safety of the covid-measures have raised sufficient concerns that show that anyone continuing to promote harmful measures are themselves fully accountable. Whistleblowing policies and procedures have been put in place to enable the alarm to be raised at any level within an organisation and especially when the concern is harm to a child. Leaders and Councils *should* be open to the necessary and highest possible standards of openness, probity and accountability to ensure that children are duly protected from harm, so that any abuse is, ideally, prevented or subsequently halted with immediacy and the highest matter of urgency. When a leader is not open to the possibility of harm being carried out, Whistleblowing procedures and policies become necessary tools in order to protect the children from further harm and to ensure appropriate investigation occurs that addresses the abuse being carried out.

Every educational establishment will have its own policies and procedures. Whistleblowers serving to protect the vulnerable from harm come from all walks of life.

Dr Samuel White - UK NHS Doctor Resigns - https://www.covid19assembly.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Letter-to-Sir-Simon-Stevens.pdf

Dr Charles D. Hoffe - Canada - Miscarriage and Infertility - https://www.bitchute.com/video/U0aZDYan6Pgi/

Dr Reiner Fullmich - https://rumble.com/vkqoec-w.h.o.-concedes-the-covid-virus-is-just-like-the-common-flu-500000-american.html

3.12. FEAR, CHAOS AND CONFUSION -

The covid-pandemic has largely been driven through instilling fear, chaos, confusion and future hope in the people. When people are in this state, virtually all solutions offered are welcomed with unthinking, obedient trust. The solution promoted for all is the covid-vaccine: regardless of age; health; background or the likelihood of becoming seriously ill through covid; regardless of its lack of efficacy; regardless of the fact that transmissibility remains constant; regardless of the fact that a vaccinated person is as likely to contract

covid with the vaccine as without it and; regardless of the thousands of deaths and millions of injuries from the covid-vaccine.

The UK Government and Houses of Parliament do state that <u>vaccination cannot be</u> <u>forced</u>. However, all ethics, morals and reason have been replaced by the persistent coercion of the vaccine seemingly <u>at any cost</u> to the people (and children). In addition, the reality is that the covid-pandemic has significantly widened the special concessions gifted to 'important' people of significance - who now seem to be exempt from virtually all covid-measures including the planned proof of covid-vaccination. This implies that these 'elite' carry special immunity against illness, contraction and transmission...again, this unlikely and confusing state of affairs seems to have been readily accepted (or unknown) by the people in general. This illustrates yet another example of confused, blind acceptance. The science and logic makes no sense in the continued promotion of the vaccine.

https://rumble.com/vkqoec-w.h.o.-concedes-the-covid-virus-is-just-like-the-common-flu-500000-american.html

However, if we can possibly consider that the health of the people is *not* actually at the centre and that some other and largely covert motive is driving the vaccination programme, then the promotion of the vaccine suddenly begins to make sense. To create such willing participation for the covid-measures including most notably, the covid-vaccine, the people have been subjected to daily fear-mongering and mixed messages that create chaos, and confusion.

Huge sums of financial sums have been invested, especially in recent years, focused on changing the behaviour of people through methods such as Applied Behavioural Psychology (ABP) used to drive and control policy change with the **Behavioural Insight Team** who work with SAGE UK. **MindSpace** seeks to influence behaviour of the British people through policies and with a **Common Purpose**.

Behavioural Insight Team - the UK's Behavioural Insights Team has been heavily involved in promoting of the covid-measures — https://www.insights-second-team-leading-uk-governments-covid-19-response
The **Mindspace** document provides further information on some of their intentions - https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/sites/default/files/publications/MINDSPACE.pdf

Common Purpose - https://www.ukcolumn.org/search?keywords=common+purpose

Question: "Has ABP been used to ramp up fear simply to encourage people to accept the covid-measures?"

3.13. WORLDWIDE LEGAL ACTION

All over the world, Legal Action is being filed against those individuals and institutions who are pushing the covid-measures, includes multiple Class Action Law Suits. A handful are listed below and further examples are referenced in Appendix 3:

Advocate Me - https://www.advocateme.com.au/covid-class-action
Alberta, Canada - Lifted restriction as there is no material evidence of Covid - https://stateofthenation.co/?p=77517

Dr Ben Edwards - Senate Committee on State Affairs - Testimony, (May 2021) - https://tjwebbmd.com/2021/05/20/have-the-covid-19-vaccines-really-killed-4178-people-my-response-to-a-former-waco-chief-resident/

County Administration Center - https://youtu.be/h8x8r7VDn5o

3.14. LOCAL INFORMATION -

The Freedom of Information Request has been used to gain Actual Statistics from Government run organisations, such as hospitals. Information drawn through this route have repeatedly shown that the *Number of Deaths during 2020 did not exceed* the Number of Deaths in previous years and (to the contrary of a pandemic situation) regularly showed that the *Number of Deaths were actually lower in 2020 than the years leading up to 2020*. The transparency of these Reduced Numbers of Deaths through the Freedom of Information Requests strongly suggests the *complete absence* of a pandemic when considering basic mathematics, science and reason. The question then becomes, why were the first covid-pandemic measures imposed upon the people in March 2020? *Ref.* APPENDIX 2 Table 1 Global Deaths Perspective

Appendix 2

DEATHS IN PERSPECTIVE

Table 1 Global Deaths Perspective

GLOBAL DEATHS	1 st JANUARY 2020 - 30 th MARCH 2020 -	Source: www.worldometer.info
35,016	Coronavirus	
75,645	Mothers During Childbirth	
118,980	Seasonal Flu	
240,056	Malaria	
262,441	Suicides*	
330,367	Traffic Accidents	
411,415	HIV/AIDS	
612,105	Alcohol	
1,223,439	Smoking	
2,009,990	Cancer	
3,177,081	Communicable Disease	
10,402,251	Abortions	

The figures stated in the table above demonstrate the Number of Deaths across key factors and illnesses.

At the beginning of the pandemic measures it is clearly shown that the number of covidrelated deaths were significantly below the other categories listed. There was also a reduction in overall Death Rates from 2019 to 2020, and also from 2020 to 2021. However, a pandemic should show greatly increased figures over this time period.

https://dailyexpose.co.uk/2021/06/29/lisbon-court-rules-that-only-0-9-of-verified-cases-died-of-covid-19-not-17000-as-portuguese-government-claimed/

*Depression rates and as a direct result suicides in young people have skyrocketed during the pandemic.

Appendix 3

Links and further reading from independent, unbiased sources with Conflict of Interest, financial or otherwise:

1. COVID-TESTS

Class 1 Recall -

https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/coronavirus-covid-19-and-medical-devices/removal-lists-tests-should-no-longer-be-used-andor-distributed-covid-19-faqs-testing-sars-cov-2

https://odysee.com/@RottenPolitics:a/Lateral-Flow-Tests-Have-Been-Recalled-Why-Are-We-Still-Using-Them-1:5

Dr Kary B Mullis - Nobel Prize Winner in Chemistry and Inventor of the PCR Technique - prizehttps://walkinverse.com/dr-kary-mullis-slams-fauci/

2. MASK-WEARING

Face-masks and Graphene Oxide https://dailyexpose.co.uk/2021/07/10/the-real-pandemic-covid-19-or-graphene-oxide-poisonous-nano-material-found-in-covid-vaccines-and-face-masks/

https://americanfaith.com/video-carlson-blasts-oregon-mask-mandate-on-every-level-the-order-is-ridiculous-and-yet-thanks-to-covid-the-governor-is-now-god/

Spanish Flu - Over 90% Deaths Directly Attributed to Mask-wearing - https://blogs.loc.gov/now-see-hear/2020/04/the-talking-machine-industry-and-the-spanish-fluepidemic-of-1918/?loclr=blogadm

Dr Vernon Coleman - https://vernoncoleman.org/search?keywords=mask-wearing
Dr Dan Stock - <a href="https://theplantstrongclub.org/2021/08/09/dr-dan-stocks-presentation-to-the-mt-vernon-school-board-in-indiana-over-the-futility-of-mask-mandates-and-covid-19-protocols-hancock-county-patriots-indiana/

3. COVID-VACCINATION

Calls for halting the covid-vaccine rollout are at individual, organisational, National and International levels due to the serious harm being caused. The Safeguarding call is due to mounting Deaths and Injuries being reported through:

- British Reporting System Yellowcard https://yellowcard.ukcolumn.org/yellow-card-reports 1,165,636 reported covid-vaccine adverse Injuries and 1,609 reported Deaths
- European Reporting system European Medicines Agency, EudraVigilance https://adrreports.eu/en/disclaimer.html
- US reporting system openvaers.com

Risks versus Benefits - https://www.ukcolumn.org/article/banned-paper-doctors-risk-versus-benefit-assessment-of-covid-jabs

Myocarditis - https://worldresearchalliance.com/dr-jane-ruby-myocarditis/
https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaers-cdc-covid-vaccine-injury-reports-jump-27000-one-week/

https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaers-deaths-injuries-reported-cdc-covid-vaccines-moderna-pregnant-women/

Children 50 times more likely to die of the vaccine - https://bestnewshere.com/former-pfizer-exec-children-are-50-times-more-likely-to-die-from-coronavirus-vaccine-than-from-the-virus-itself/

86% adverse reaction in children - <a href="https://truth11.com/2021/05/31/shocking-86-of-children-suffered-an-adverse-reaction-to-the-pfizer-covid-vaccine-in-clinical-trial/https://awakenedworld.co.uk/2021/06/25/vaccine-update-report-from-hart-group/

Natural News - Natural Immunity 13 Times More Effective - https://

www.naturalnews.com/2021-08-31-natural-immunity-13times-more-effective-vaccines-delta.html

www.doctors4covidethics.org

People's Union of Britain - PUB action - https://thefreedomcycle.com/covid/pub.html

4. KEY LINKS AND GENERAL READING TO *INDEPENDENT WEBSITES AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Since early in the pandemic, there has been growing numbers of group and individuals from all over the world who are fighting for the safety and rights of children and young people. Listed below is just a small sample of organisations and individuals with the same sole purpose of Safeguarding others through sharing some of the facts regarding the covid-measures, that are not being openly shared with the people. All are striving to raise the alarm on the harmful measures:

4.1. British Links -

The UK Column - UKColumn.org

Not on the Beeb - notonthebeeb.co.uk

The Conservative Woman - https://www.conservativewoman.co.uk/category/covid19/

The Great Barrington Declaration - https://gbdeclaration.org

Together Organisation - https://togetherdeclaration.org/about/

UK Medical Freedom Alliance - https://www.ukmedfreedom.org

Dr Vernon Coleman - vernoncoleman.org

Dr Michael Yeadon - Former Pfizer CEO - https://awakening4321.wordpress.com/2021/06/05/dr-michael-yeadon/

Dr. Roger Hodkinson - University of Cambridge (Canada based) - https://worldresearchalliance.com/fact-check-rebuttal-doctor-roger-hodkinson/
Mark Sexton - Retired Policeman - https://www.conservativewoman.co.uk/this-retired-police-constable-standing-up-to-the-government-vaccine-fanatics-is-a-hero/
The HART (Heart Advisory and Recovery Team) Group - https://www.conservativewoman.co.uk/this-retired-police-constable-standing-up-to-the-government-vaccine-fanatics-is-a-hero/
The HART (Heart Advisory and Recovery Team) Group - https://www.conservativewoman.co.uk/this-retired-police-constable-standing-up-to-the-government-vaccine-fanatics-is-a-hero/

4.2. Worldwide/USA Advocates for Sharing Risks and Concerns Covid-measure and Links - It should be noted that these individuals are speaking out solely to share actual scientific evidence and most of the organisations listed were also created for the same reason. Key advocates, papers, reference materials, video clips can be found regarding the findings and a handful are referred to below:

Prof. Sucharit Bhakdi; Dr Byram Bridle; Dr Sean Brooks; Dr Richard Fleming; Dr. Reiner Fullmich; Dr. Simone Gold; Dr Tess Lawrie; Dr Carrie Madej; Dr Robert Malone - Inventor of mRNA; Dr Peter McCullough - Professor of Medicine; Dr James Meehan; Luc Montagnier - Virologist & Nobel Prize winner; Dr Kary B Mullis - Nobel Prize Winner; Dr Quay; Dr Sherri Tenpenny.

Dr Reiner Fullmich - https://rumble.com/vkqoec-w.h.o.-concedes-the-covid-virus-is-just-like-the-common-flu-500000-american.html

Karen Kingston - Pfizer Former Employee & Current Pharmaceutical & Medical Advice - stewpeters.tv

Dr Jane Ruby - https://worldresearchalliance.com/dr-jane-ruby-myocarditis/ Industry Analyst Confirms Poison Covid-vaccine - https://www.brighteon.com/1282eb29-a787-4dc6-9987-c7fffdae3036

American Faith - <u>americanfaith.com</u>
Awake Canada - <u>awakecanada.org</u>
Children's Health Defense - <u>https://childrenshealthdefense.org</u>
Global Research - globalresearch.ca

Clive Palmer - Australia - High Court Action Against Prime Minister against covid-passport.

*Independent websites and sources that use scientific research through channels that hold **no** Conflict of Interest with respect to endorsing and following the narrative.

5. Examples of Further Reading and Links for Proofing the Importance of Proactive Safeguarding

The Radium Girls - (circa. 1922) and the Tuskegee Syphilis Experiment - (1942-1972) are two further example in history where significant harm was caused. Both resulted in causing the deaths of workers and volunteers, respectively. However, at the time there was the denial that any ill-effect was taking place or that any harm would result. Moreover, in the case of Syphilis Experiment, the volunteers thought that they were receiving a treatment to restore health.

https://freewestmedia.com/2021/08/25/american-pro-vaxx-journalist-changes-his-tune-on-the-jabs/

Save Us Now - saveusnow.org.uk

Safeguarding Children - Public Child Protection Wales - publicchildprotectionwales.org