EN











for College Students Are Unethical, 98 Times Worse **Than Virus**

A team of nine experts from Harvard, Johns Hopkins and other top universities has published paradigmshifting research about the efficacy and safety of the COVID-19 vaccines and why mandating vaccines for college students is unethical.

By The Epoch Times

Miss a day, miss a lot. Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. It's free.

By Jennifer Margulis and Joe Wang

A team of nine experts from Harvard, Johns Hopkins and other top universities has published paradigm-shifting research about the efficacy and safety of the COVID-19 vaccines and why mandating vaccines for college students is unethical.

This 50-page study, which was published on The Social Science Research Network at the end of August, analyzed the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and industry-sponsored data on vaccine adverse events and concluded that mandates for COVID-19 boosters for young people may cause 18 to 98 actual serious adverse events for each COVID-19 infection-related hospitalization theoretically prevented.

The paper is co-authored by Dr. Stefan Baral, an epidemiology professor at Johns Hopkins University; surgeon Dr. Martin Adel Makary, a professor at Johns Hopkins known for his books exposing medical malfeasance, including "Unaccountable: What Hospitals Won't Tell You and How Transparency Can Revolutionize Health Care;" and Dr. Vinayak Prasad, a hematologist-oncologist, who is a professor in the University of California, San Francisco Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics as well as the author of over 350 academic and peer-reviewed articles.

But among this team of high-profile international experts who authored this paper, perhaps the most notable is Salmaan Keshavjee, M.D., Ph.D., current director of the Harvard Medical School Center for Global Health Delivery and professor of Global Health and Social Medicine at Harvard Medical School.

Keshavjee has also worked extensively with Partners In Health, a Boston-based non-profit co-founded by the late Dr. Paul Farmer, on treating drug-resistant tuberculosis, according to his online biography.

Risking disenrollment

As the study pointed out, students at universities in America, Canada and Mexico are being told they must have a third dose of the vaccines against COVID-19 or be disenrolled.

Unvaccinated high school students who are just starting college are also being told the COVID-19 vaccines are "mandatory" for attendance.

These mandates are widespread. There are currently 15 states which continue to honor philosophical (personal belief) exemptions, and 44 states and Washington, D.C. allow religious exemptions to vaccines.

But even in these states, private universities are telling parents they will not accept state-recognized vaccine exemptions.

Based on personal interviews with some half a dozen families, The Epoch Times has learned that administrators at some colleges and universities are informing students that they have their own university-employed medical teams to scrutinize the medical exemptions submitted by students and signed by private doctors.

These doctors, families are being told, will decide whether the health reasons given are medically valid.

5 ethical arguments against mandated boosters

Though rarely reported on in the mainstream media, COVID-19 vaccine boosters have been generating a lot of controversy.

While some countries are quietly compensating people for devastating vaccine injuries, and other countries are limiting COVID-19 vaccine recommendations, the United States is now recommending children 12 and older get Pfizer-BioNTech's Omicron-specific booster, and young adults over the age of 18 get Moderna's updated shot.

At the same time, public health authorities in Canada are suggesting Canadians will need COVID-19 vaccines every 90 days.

Against a backdrop of confusing and often changing public health recommendations and booster fatigue, the authors of this new paper argue that university booster mandates are unethical.

They give five specific reasons for this bold claim:

- 1. Lack of policymaking transparency. The scientists pointed out that no formal and scientifically rigorous riskbenefit analysis of whether boosters are helpful in preventing severe infections and hospitalizations exists for young adults.
- 2. Expected harm. A look at the currently available data shows that mandates will result in what the authors call a "net expected harm" to young people. This expected harm will exceed the potential benefit from the boosters.
- 3. Lack of efficacy. The vaccines have not effectively prevented the transmission of COVID-19. Given how poorly they work — the authors call this "modest and transient effectiveness" — the expected harms caused by the boosters likely outweigh any benefits to public health.
- 4. No recourse for vaccine-injured young adults. Forcing vaccination as a prerequisite to attend college is especially problematic because young people injured by these vaccines will likely not be able to receive compensation for these injuries.
- 5. Harm to society. Mandates, the authors insisted, ostracize unvaccinated young adults, excluding them from education and university employment opportunities. Coerced vaccination entails "major infringements to free choice of occupation and freedom of association," the scientists wrote, especially when "mandates are not supported by compelling public health justification."

The consequences of non-compliance include being unenrolled, losing internet privileges, losing access to the gym and other athletic facilities and being kicked out of campus housing, among other things.

These punitive approaches, according to the authors, have resulted in unnecessary psychosocial stress, reputation damage, loss of income and fear of being deported, to name just a few.

Download for Free: Robert F. Kennedy's New Book — 'A Letter to Liberals'

22,000 to 30,000 previously unaffected young adults must be vaccinated to prevent just 1 hospitalization

The lack of effectiveness of the vaccines is a major concern to these researchers. Based on their analysis of the public data provided to the CDC, they estimated that between 22,000 and 30,000 previously uninfected young adults would

need to be boosted with an mRNA vaccine to prevent just a single hospitalization.

However, this estimate does not take into account the protection conferred by a previous infection. So, the authors insisted, "this should be considered a conservative and optimistic assessment of benefit."

In other words, the mRNA vaccines against COVID-19 are essentially useless.

Mandated booster shots cause more harm than good

But the documented lack of efficacy is only part of the problem. The researchers further found that per every one COVID-19 hospitalization prevented in young adults who had not previously been infected with COVID-19, the data show that 18 to 98 "serious adverse events" will be caused by the vaccinations themselves.

These events include up to three times as many booster-associated myocarditis in young men than hospitalizations prevented, and as many as 3,234 cases of other side effects so serious that they interfere with normal daily activities.

At a regional hospital in South Carolina, the desk clerk sported a button that read: "I'm Vaccinated Against COVID-19" with a big black check mark on it.

"What about the boosters?" a hospital visitor asked. "It's starting to seem like we need too many shots."

"It does seem like a lot," the clerk agreed. "It's hard to know what to do." But she did have some advice for the visitor: "Just keep reading and educating yourself, so you can make an informed decision."

This new paper is essential reading for anyone trying to decide if they need more vaccines. The authors concluded their study with a call to action. Policymakers must stop mandates for young adults immediately, be sure that those who have already been injured by these vaccines are compensated for the suffering caused by mandates, and openly conduct and share the results of risk-benefit analyses of the vaccines for various age groups.

These measures are necessary, the authors argued, to "begin what will be a long process of rebuilding trust in public health."

May the force be with brave scientists

The two co-first authors, Dr. Kevin Bardosh and Dr. Allison Krug, both thanked their families for supporting them to "publicly debate Covid-19 vaccine mandates" in the acknowledgments section of the paper.

As we wrote in May, an increasing number of scientists and medical doctors are speaking out about the dubious efficacy and disturbing safety issues surrounding these fast-tracked COVID-19 vaccines. They do so fully aware of the personal and professional risks involved. They deserve our encouragement and support.

Reprinted with permission from The Epoch Times.

Jennifer Margulis, Ph.D., is an award-winning journalist and author of "Your Baby, Your Way: Taking Charge of Your Pregnancy, Childbirth, and Parenting Decisions for a Happier, Healthier Family."

Joe Wang, Ph.D., was a molecular biologist with more than 10 years of experience in the vaccine industry. He is now the president of New Tang Dynasty TV (Canada) and a columnist for the Epoch Times.

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Children's Health Defense.

SUGGEST A CORRECTION



The Epoch Times

The Epoch Times' mission is to bring a truthful view of the world free from the influence of any government, corporation or political party.

Sign up for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. CHD is planning many strategies, including legal, in an effort to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those already