



## MEDIA RELEASE

Date: Sept 22 2022

For more information:

Contact: Alan Brough

Email: [alan.brough@canadahealthalliance.org](mailto:alan.brough@canadahealthalliance.org)

### **Canadian Leaders Issue Letters to End Coercive and Unscientific Policies**

On Sept 12, 2022, numerous post-secondary institutions in Ontario were issued an Open Letter (*Appendix A*) and Evidence Package (*Appendix B*) via registered mail. The undersigned Canadian Leaders represent medical, health, rights and freedoms, and parent organizations in Canada. The letters were sent in response to these institution's 2022-2023 Covid-19 policies. The leaders are calling for the immediate removal of all Covid-19 policies that infringe upon the legal and ethical right of students, faculty, and support staff to medical choice and individual sovereignty.

Included in the documents is Pfizer's Post Authorization Adverse Events Report (*Appendix C*). The report includes nine pages of adverse events experienced in the three months following the release of the vaccines.

Mandating the injection of an experimental genetic technology, whose long-term impact is unknown, puts students and their parents in an untenable situation. Students are being asked to choose between the unnecessary risk of adverse reactions including bodily injury, disability, and even death and forfeiting a post-secondary education and chosen career.

It is the view of these leaders that the coercive policies are contrary to law, contrary to the scientific and clinical evidence, and not consistent with how institutions globally are responding to COVID-19. To persist with such coercion is legally and ethically flawed.

It is our expectation that Canadian universities and colleges serve as beacons of critical thinking, ethics, scientific and moral integrity, and where the fundamentals of life, liberty and individual rights are supported and upheld.

**Recipients:** [University of Guelph](#), [University of Toronto](#), [University of Waterloo](#), [Western University](#), [Queens' University](#), [Ontario Tech University](#), [Seneca College](#), [York University](#).

#### **Attachments:**

**Appendix A: Open Letter to Western University**

**Appendix B: Evidence Package**

**Appendix C: Pfizer's Post Authorization Adverse Events Report**

**Appendix D: Open Letters to seven (7) other Universities and Colleges**



## Appendix A: Open Letter to Western University

Sept 08 2022

Alan Shepard  
President and Vice-Chancellor  
Western University  
Stevenson Hall, Suite 2107  
1151 Richmond Street  
London ON N6A 5B8  
Email [alan.shepard@uwo.ca](mailto:alan.shepard@uwo.ca)

Take Action Canada  
202 – 115 Danforth Avenue  
Toronto, ON M4K 1N2  
[info@takeactioncanada.ca](mailto:info@takeactioncanada.ca)

Dear Mr. Shepard

**RE: CALL TO ACTION**

We are writing to you in your capacity as President and Vice-Chancellor of Western University. This letter is in response to Western University's Covid-19 policy. <https://www.uwo.ca/coronavirus/>

We represent various medical, health, rights and freedoms, and parent organizations in Canada. Our unanimous position is that Western University is not only violating the most fundamental rights of Canadians but is putting our youth at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

The evidence is now clear that a statistically significant number of students receiving the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections will be seriously harmed, and some may die. How can Covid-19 directives that risk such harm be consistent with a university's fiduciary duty to protect students?

Experimental mRNA gene therapy injections put students and their parents in an untenable situation. Consequently, they must choose between accepting the serious risk of bodily injury and or disability, as well as possible death or continue their education.

**Are you and the Board of Governors aware of the following?**

- The scientific evidence does not support the claim of Covid-19 vaccine efficacy or safety.
- The Covid-19 injections are not a vaccine as they do not prevent infection or transmission. They are more accurately a medical injection treatment.
- None of the Covid-19 injections have completed Phase III clinical trials and thus are still regarded as experimental.
- The long-term safety of this injected genetic technology is unknown.
- The short-term safety data has demonstrated the risk of the injections to youth is substantially higher than the risk of Covid-19.
- Tal Zaks, chief medical officer of Moderna, Inc. confirmed mRNA injection for Covid-19 **can** change the genetic code.
- Canada has a criminal ban on anything that affects our GENOME since the HUMAN GENOME has to be protected as specified in the Principals of the Assisted Human Reproduction Act S.C. 2004, c. 2.

- The principle of free and informed consent must be promoted and applied as a fundamental condition of any medical intervention.
- Human individuality and diversity, and the integrity of the HUMAN GENOME, must be preserved and protected.
- Changing anything in the HUMAN GENOME as with the injection of a spike protein via the experimental mRNA gene therapy technology has criminal offence considerations.
- The United States Food and Drug Administration was compelled by court order to release Pfizer data concerning safety and efficacy of Covid-19 (BNT162b2). *The attached Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report includes 9 pages of known adverse events.*
- Canadian National Report on Immunization, 1996 states: *“Unlike some countries, immunization is not mandatory in Canada; it cannot be made mandatory because of the Canadian Constitution.”*
- The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1982 recognizes the inherent legal right of Canadians to exercise free power of choice and thus to refuse medical treatment without disadvantage or prejudice. The Supreme Court of Canada recognized this inherent right under Section 7 of the Charter ii) Right to liberty.
- **Informed consent** cannot technically be provided since the vaccine manufacturers have never provided the actual vaccine contents even to the purchasers.
- The COVID-19 INJECTION PHARMACOVIGILANCE REPORT prepared by the World Council for Health, reveals sufficient evidence on all pharmacovigilance databases examined in this report to establish a *concerning safety signal* about experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.
- Negative impact of facemasks [https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1\\_Petty\\_New\\_Hampshire\\_Legislature\\_Presentation\\_January\\_27\\_2022.pdf](https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1_Petty_New_Hampshire_Legislature_Presentation_January_27_2022.pdf)
- Neurosurgeon Russell Blaylock warns that masks create serious health risks to the wearer. <https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/>

**Attached is ‘The Evidence’, a fully referenced document in support of the above information.**

### **Violations of Nuremberg Code**

We also find it rather disconcerting that Western University endorses, and further intends to mandate effective January 9<sup>th</sup>, 2023, experimental mRNA genetic technology in this 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary year of the completion of the Nuremberg Trials, which resulted in the Nuremberg Code.  
[https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/policies\\_procedures/section3/mapp311\\_covid19.pdf](https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/policies_procedures/section3/mapp311_covid19.pdf)

### **The moral significance of the Nuremberg Code cannot be overstated:**

- The Nuremberg Code is the most authoritative, internationally recognized document in the history of medical ethics.
- This landmark document was formulated in response to the evidence of medical atrocities committed by Nazi physicians and scientists.
- The Code sets forth moral boundaries for research involving human beings.
- The Nuremberg Code rejects the ideology of Eugenics and **unequivocally** asserts the primacy and dignity of the individual human being – as opposed to *“the greater good of society.”*

- **The Nuremberg Code defined foundational, universal, moral and legal standards;** affirming fundamental human rights. These human rights apply to every human being.
- The Code sets limits on the parameters of permissible medical experiments.
- The objective of the Nuremberg Code is to ensure that medicine never again deviates from the precautionary ethical principle, “*First, do no harm.*”
- The Nuremberg Code has served as a blueprint for subsequent national and international codes of human rights – to ensure that:
  - the rights and dignity of human beings are upheld.
  - to ensure medical doctors never again engage in morally abhorrent experiments.
- The first of 10 ethical principles lays down the foremost ethical requirement – which is spelled out in great detail:

*“The voluntary consent of the human subject is **absolutely essential**”.*

*“This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force... constraint or coercion; **and should have sufficient knowledge & comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.***

*This... requires that before the acceptance... of an affirmative decision by **the experimental subject...** [he] should be [informed of] the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences & hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.*

*The **duty and responsibility** for ascertaining the quality of the consent **rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment.** It is a personal duty & responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.”*

Given the lack of data to allow for fully informed consent of EXPERIMENTAL mRNA gene therapy injections endorsed and or mandated by Western University, it is clear that those responsible are in violation of the Nuremberg Code.

Given that experimental mRNA gene therapy injections do not prevent infection nor transmission, their long-term safety profile is unknown, and as these injections are still EXPERIMENTAL, it is time to refrain from endorsing and or mandating that students, faculty, and support staff stay up to date on Covid-19 vaccinations and boosters.

Indeed, it is our view that the above directives are contrary to the science, inconsistent with how other institutions are responding and morally flawed.

It is the expectation of signatories below that you avoid and or immediately remove any and all Covid-19 directives KNOWN to place students, faculty, and support staff at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

We look forward to your considered and timely response.

Sincerely,

Tanya Gaw, Action4Canada

Kristen Nagle, Canadian Frontline Nurses  
Alan Brough, Canadian Health Alliance  
Amanda Forbes, Children's Health Defence Canada  
John Carpay, Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedom  
Sheldon Munroe, Students Against Mandates  
Ryan Penn, Take Action Canada  
Ted Kuntz, Vaccine Choice Canada  
Eddie Cornell, Veterans4Freedom  
Andrej Litvinjenko, Taking Back Our Freedoms

## **ATTACHMENTS**

1. The Evidence – fully referenced supporting documentation
2. Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report

### **Cc:**

#### **Florentine Strzelczyk**

Provost and Vice-President  
Western, University  
1151 Richmond Street  
London ON N6A 5B8  
Email [florentine.strzelczyk@uwo.ca](mailto:florentine.strzelczyk@uwo.ca)

#### **Keith Gibbons**

Chair, Board of Governors  
Western University  
1151 Richmond Street.  
London, ON N6A 3K7  
[kgibbon5@uwo.ca](mailto:kgibbon5@uwo.ca)

#### **Louise Koza**

Acting Director - Health, Safety and Well-being  
Support Services Building 4159  
Western University  
1151 Richmond Street.  
London, ON N6A 3K7  
Email [lkoza@uwo.ca](mailto:lkoza@uwo.ca)

#### **Lynn Logan**

Vice-President, Operations and Finance  
Western University  
1151 Richmond Street.  
London, ON N6A 3K7  
Email [vpof@uwo.ca](mailto:vpof@uwo.ca)

#### **Nigmendra Narain**

President, Faculty Association  
Western University

1151 Richmond Street.  
London, ON N6A 3K7  
Email [nnarain@uwo.ca](mailto:nnarain@uwo.ca)

**UWOSA Executive Committee**

c/o Nikki Grozelle  
Western University  
1151 Richmond Street.  
London, ON N6A 3K7  
Email [info@uwosa.ca](mailto:info@uwosa.ca)

**David Lametti**

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada  
Constituency Office Montréal  
6415 Monk Blvd.  
Montréal, Quebec  
H4E 3H8  
Email [david.lametti@parl.gc.ca](mailto:david.lametti@parl.gc.ca)

**Mark Wright**

Mediation Arbitrator  
2140 A Queen St. E.  
Toronto, ON M4E 3V7  
Email [mark@mark-wright.ca](mailto:mark@mark-wright.ca)



Open Letter to Western University

## Appendix B: Evidence Package



# The Evidence

Aug 30.22

University management is asked to closely review the following fact based evidence:

## **1. Denial of Natural Immunity**

Queen's University guidelines that recommend, encourage and require experimental mRNA gene therapy injections ignore the natural immunity of students who have recovered from Covid-19. <https://jennifermargulis.net/peter-mccullough-md-compassion-crisis-in-medicine/>

## **2. Violation of Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms**

University and college Covid-19 mandates and requirements that coerce students, violate the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms:

- Section 2(a) of the Charter, which provides the fundamental freedom of conscience to all Canadians.
- Section 7 of the Charter, which provides all Canadians with the right to life, liberty and security of the person.
- Unlike some countries, immunization is NOT mandatory in Canada; it cannot be made mandatory because of the Canadian Constitution.

[https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2016/aspc-phac/HP3-1-23-S4-eng.pdf](https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2016/aspc-phac/HP3-1-23-S4-eng.pdf) (bottom left page 3)

## **3. Violation of Ontario Human Rights Code**

University and college Covid-19 mandates and requirements that coerce students, violate the Ontario Human Rights Code entitlements including:

- Section 2 (1) of the Code on the grounds that every person has the right to equal treatment with respect to occupancy of accommodation, without discrimination because of disability. The term "disability" is defined in section 10 (1) to include physical disability, mental impairment, learning or mental disorders. This definition has been interpreted broadly by the Human Rights Code section 10 (3) and section 11.
- Section 12 of the Code, which states that individual rights are infringed where the discrimination is because of association or dealings with persons identified by a prohibited ground of discrimination.
- Section 13 (1) of the Code, which states that individual rights are infringed on by publishing a representation indicating the intention to infringe.

<https://www.laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/Const/page-12.html>

## **4. Violation of University Non-Discrimination/Harassment Policy**

University and college non-discrimination/harassment policies ensure that working and learning environments allow for full and free participation of all members of the community. Discrimination that imposes burdens, obligations or disadvantages on an

## The Evidence

individual or group not imposed on others or, that withholds or limits access to opportunities, benefits and advantages available to other members of society are not tolerated. Upholding these policy objectives ensures that the fundamental rights, personal dignity and integrity of individuals or groups of individuals are not violated.

<https://www.queensu.ca/secretariat/harassment-discrimination/overview>

### 5. Violation of Policies on Ethical Research

Guidelines that recommend and encourage students to be injected with experimental mRNA gene therapy yet to be approved by Health Canada, not first tested on animals, and still undergoing phase III clinical trials, conflict with standard university policies of ethical research<sup>3,4</sup> and Canada's Tri-Council Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans TCPS2-2018. Chapter 3 The Consent Process [https://ethics.gc.ca/eng/tcps2-eptc2\\_2018\\_chapter3-chapitre3.html](https://ethics.gc.ca/eng/tcps2-eptc2_2018_chapter3-chapitre3.html)

Research ethics require all members to conform to the highest standards of ethical practice in research.<sup>5</sup>

Restrictions for failure to commit to experimental mRNA gene therapy injections still part of a clinical trial, without full disclosure and informed consent, contravene the very basic precepts of ethical research and send an unethical and dangerous message to students who are the researchers of tomorrow.

<https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/science-research/science-advice-decision-making/research-ethics-board/policy-guidelines-resources.html>

### 6. Violation of Academic Rigor, Integrity and Accountability

Canadian universities and colleges have policies that uphold academic values of integrity and accountability.<sup>6,7</sup> Any university decision that recommends and encourages injections based on selective evidence, absent of long-term post-marketing surveillance data veers significantly from the academic rigour, integrity and accountability that Queen's University expects from its students.

Furthermore, such a requirement is not only based on findings of inadequate and incomplete clinical trials,<sup>8-11</sup> it overlooks deceptive efficacy data that cite relative risk reduction (RRR) values of over 95% for experimental mRNA gene therapy injections. Meanwhile, the far more relevant measure of protection that considers absolute risk reduction (ARR) is overlooked. Findings indicate that the ARR of these injections is around 1%. In other words, *the injections do not provide significant protection*.<sup>12-14</sup>

Failure to disclose such information contradicts Queen's University's stated position regarding integrity and accountability.

### 7. Failure to Acknowledge mRNA Injections are Novel and Untested

Arguments presented to justify deviation from established policies of integrity and accountability<sup>6,7</sup> not only violate human rights and academic credibility but also put students at risk. For example, London, Ontario's Chief Medical officer of health, Dr. Mackie, referred to school vaccination policies as justification for enforcing experimental mRNA

## The Evidence

gene therapy injections. However, traditional vaccines are very different from the unprecedented “firsts” associated with experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.

This is the first time mRNA gene therapy technology is being used against an infectious disease, and the first time that researchers are using polyethylene glycol (PEG) and genetically modified polynucleotides despite established concerns such as allergic reactions.<sup>15,16</sup>

This is the first experimental mRNA gene therapy agent given to humans for a coronavirus despite previous catastrophic, deadly failures such as the dengue fever and RSV vaccines.<sup>17-19</sup>

Further troubling is the recurring association of lethal antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE), which halted past coronavirus vaccine trials.<sup>20-23</sup> Of great concern is the fact that this is the first time that Moderna has brought any product to market.<sup>24</sup>

### **8. Lack of Prior Animal Trials and Randomized Control Protocols**

Vaccine manufacturers skipped animal trials and abandoned essential randomized control trial protocols of placebos and blinding, which are essential to determine product safety or efficacy before adequate analysis were completed. It typically takes between 8 and 13 years to properly test a vaccine. The manufacturers claim they did a pre-market trial in only 69 days. Simply put it is not remotely possible to test the safety of an experimental mRNA technology based drug in such a short space of time.<sup>3</sup>

Equally concerning is the failure to complete important bio-distribution studies to determine the means of distribution of spike proteins produced by this mRNA gene therapy injection in the body. Researchers also failed to verify the estimated duration of immune stimulation. These missing findings are essential to the understanding of experimental mRNA gene therapy injection risk and long-term safety.

Several prestigious researchers are now calling for a pause on experimental mRNA gene therapy injections as a result of this and other research gaps.<sup>26-29,72</sup>

### **9. Claims of this Injection’s Benefit and Safety are Premature and Unfounded**

Many experts are demanding investigations to clarify findings suggesting that spike proteins, produced in response to experimental mRNA gene therapy agents, may pose serious harm by binding and interacting with various cells throughout the body and increasing the risk for tissue damage.<sup>30,31</sup>

Furthermore, experimental mRNA gene therapy injections are showing failure to successfully combat inevitable Covid-19 variants.

70 credible experts are also challenging the current narrative in the media that it is the unvaccinated who are fuelling the development of variants.<sup>71</sup>

An August 2021 peer-reviewed research paper examined findings from a large Israeli field study and European Medicine Agency’s Adverse Drug Reaction database, concluded that

## The Evidence

governments should rethink their Covid-19 experimental mRNA gene therapy injection policies due to risks and lack of clear benefit.<sup>32</sup>

Therefore, sweeping claims of mRNA gene therapy injection benefits and safety are utterly premature and unfounded.

### **10.Covid-19 Less Dangerous to Youth than Annual Influenza**

The decision of Queen's University to recommend and encourage experimental mRNA gene therapy injections, is challenged further by findings that show Covid-19 caused only 1/3 of life-years lost to the yearly influenza variants.<sup>33</sup> These influenza variants pose harm to the young, old and vulnerable, killing between 4,000-8,000 yearly with up to 20,000 hospitalizations.<sup>34</sup> Canadian health officials admit this data may be inaccurate due to gaps in standardized measurements and those findings are likely just "the tip of the iceberg".<sup>35</sup> Yet, there is no documentation available to indicate that universities have ever mandated a flu vaccine despite obvious risks. In contrast, Queen's University requires experimental mRNA gene therapy injections for Covid-19 that has been proven harmless to the vast majority of the public<sup>36</sup> and especially to our youth and student population.

According to the CDC, the survival rates for people infected with SARS-CoV-2 (The virus allegedly causing symptoms known as Covid-19) by age and without treatment are:<sup>37</sup>

0 – 19 = 99.997%

20 – 49 = 99.98%

50 – 69 = 99.5%

70 + = 94.6%

This means the infection fatality rate (IFR) for people under the age of 20 is statistically zero. This was for the original Alpha Wuhan strain, which was the most virulent strain. Delta was half as virulent as the original strain and Omicron is less than half of half of that. Statistically, adolescents are five times more likely to be injured by experimental mRNA gene therapy injections than they are to require hospitalization from the original version of this virus.

### **11.Declaration of Helsinki Requirements Not Met**

In 1964, the international medical community working through the World Medical Association, adopted the Declaration of Helsinki, which contains bio-ethical principles designed to restrict human experimentation. It appears the minimum requirements of that Declaration have not been met here.

Based on the information we have seen, Queen's University has not fully disclosed the risks of experimental mRNA gene therapy injections in order to obtain informed consent from the students. As such, these students face participation in a dangerous human trial without meeting the minimum standards required by modern notions of Bio-Ethics.

At some point, those injured by these experimental mRNA gene therapy injections will seek compensation, and it will be very difficult for any institution that recommends, encourages, requires, and mandates these injections to avoid liability for such requirements.

<https://research.wayne.edu/irb/pdf/2-3-declaration-of-helsinki.pdf>

## The Evidence

### **12.mRNA Injections Pose Significant Risk to Youth**

Queen's University administrators are advised to carefully consider known risks that can result from experimental mRNA gene therapy injections before establishing guidelines and policies for a virus that holds such low threat to this age bracket.

The adverse events associated with the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections include ever increasing severe injuries and deaths. Adverse events associated with experimental mRNA gene therapy injections have dwarfed those of other mass vaccination programs such as the influenza vaccine.<sup>38-45</sup>

Between 2019 and 2020, the flu vaccine was administered to approximately 170 million Americans. During this time period, there were 45 deaths associated with this vaccine. That is a death rate of 0.0000265%.

Meanwhile, the death rate for experimental mRNA gene therapy injections is stated as 0.0024%, over 90 times higher than the flu vaccine. Reported adverse events of experimental mRNA gene therapy injections include cardiovascular, vaccine-induced autoimmunity, and neurological harms.<sup>46-49</sup>

Experimental mRNA gene therapy risks specific to younger populations warrant serious investigation. These risks include cardiac conditions including myocarditis, rare in this age group.<sup>50-55</sup>

Recently, both the FDA and CDC advisory committees determined there is a link between heart inflammation after Pfizer and Moderna injections.<sup>56</sup> The committees heard evidence that showed this risk was particularly relevant to adolescents and young males. A troubling report from the CDC found there was over a 200x risk of myocarditis and pericarditis post the second shot in people under the age of 25.<sup>73</sup> In addition, young women are at risk for menses irregularities as well as reproductive deficiencies (for both females and males in the future).<sup>57,58</sup>

Vaccine developers and other physicians have added their voice of concern about such risks.<sup>59,60</sup> As well, vaccine manufacturers are shielded against liability for injury caused by their vaccines.<sup>62</sup> Serious concerns have also been raised by Canadian physicians around worrisome gaps in Canada's vaccine adverse events reporting system.<sup>63</sup> Recurring reports of vaccine adverse events from diverse and concerned citizen groups worldwide warrant further investigation.<sup>64-68</sup>

Recommending, encouraging and requiring experimental mRNA gene therapy injections with proven adverse events set a dangerous precedent for generations to come. Arguments that suggest it is justified to set one student on fire to keep 100 members of the community warm are dangerous. Any decision to restrict students for non compliance with Queen's University guidelines and policies that recommend, encourage and require experimental mRNA gene therapy injections is unconscionable and potentially criminal.

The following are reported USA adverse events from the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.

<https://www.openvaers.com/covid-data> (Under reported by Health Canada).

## The Evidence

A study conducted for Harvard Health found only 11%-13% of vaccine injuries are ever reported.<sup>61</sup>

Legal actions are underway worldwide requesting universities to revoke experimental mRNA gene therapy injection requirements.<sup>69</sup> Decision makers, policy makers, and related influencers can be held personally liable for injuries and deaths from these experimental mRNA gene therapy injections and boosters without full disclosure and informed consent.

### 2022 Court Precedents:

**FRANCE** - Life insurer refuses to cover vaccine death. Insurer's defense is recognized as well-founded and contractually justified, as this publicly known fatal risk is legally considered suicide, since the customer has been notified and has agreed to voluntarily take the risk of death without being obliged or compelled to do so.

<https://freewestmedia.com/2022/01/14/life-insurer-refuses-to-cover-vaccine-death/>

**ITALY** - Historic Decision Against Mandatory Vaccination by Italian Court + Covid Vaccine Risk to Human Genome Now Legally Established (Italy)

<https://childrenshealthdefense.eu/eu-issues/historic-decision-by-italian-court/>

**COSTA RICA** - The Fall of Costa Rica's Vaccine Mandate

<https://worldfreedomalliance.org/au/news/covid-injection-mandates-are-now-illegal-in-costa-rica/>

### References

1. Callaway E. Had COVID? You'll probably make antibodies for a lifetime. Nature. May 27, 2021. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-021-01442-9>
2. Dutta S.S. No point vaccinating those who've had COVID-19: Findings of Cleveland Clinic study. News Medical. June 8, 2021. Accessed June 11, 2021. <https://www.news-medical.net/news/20210608/No-point-vaccinating-those-who've-had-COVID-19-Findings-of-Cleveland-Clinic-study.aspx>
3. Boodman E. Researchers Rush To Test Coronavirus Vaccine In People Without Knowing How Well It Works In Animals. Stat News. March 11, 2020. Accessed June 8, 2021. <https://www.statnews.com/2020/03/11/researchers-rush-to-start-moderna-coronavirus-vaccine-trial-without-usual-animal-testing/>
4. Government of Canada. Interim order respecting the importation, sale and advertising drugs for use in relation to COVID-19. 2021. Accessed June 10, 2021. <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/covid19-industry/drugs-vaccines-treatments/interim-order-import-sale-advertising-drugs.html>
5. Western University. POLICY 7.0 – Academic Integrity in Research Activities, 2013. Accessed June 8, 2021. [https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/policies\\_procedures/section7/mapp70.pdf](https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/policies_procedures/section7/mapp70.pdf)
6. Stoesz B.M., Eaton S.A. Academic integrity policies of publicly funded universities in western Canada. December 23, 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0895904820983032>
7. Eaton S.E., Crossman K, Edino R.I. Academic Integrity in Canada: An Annotated Bibliography. 2019 Calgary: University of Calgary. Accessed June 9, 2021. <http://hdl.handle.net/1880/110130>
8. Doshi P. Will COVID-19 vaccines save lives? Current trials aren't designed to tell us. BMJ 2020; 371. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://www.bmj.com/content/371/bmj.m4037>

## The Evidence

9. Doshi P. Pfizer and Moderna's "95% effective" vaccines—let's be cautious and first see the full data. *BMJ Opinion*. Nov. 26, 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2020/11/26/peter-doshi-pfizer-and-modernas-95-effective-vaccines-lets-be-cautious-and-first-see-the-full-data/>
10. Doshi P., Topol E. These Corona trials don't answer the one question we need to know. *New York Times*. Published Sept. 22, 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/09/22/opinion/covid-vaccine-coronavirus.html>
11. Haseltine W. Beware of COVID-19 vaccine trials. *Washington Post*. Published Sept. 22, 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2020/09/22/beware-covid-19-vaccine-trials-designed-succeed-start/>
12. Olliaro P., Torreele E., Vaillant M. COVID-19 Vaccine Efficacy And Effectiveness—The Elephant Not In The Room. *The Lancet*. Open Access. Published April 20, 2021. Accessed June 11, 2021. <https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanmic/article/PIIS2666-52472100069-0/fulltext>
13. Brown, R. Outcome reporting bias in COVID-19 mRNA vaccine clinical trials. *Medicina* 2021;573:199. Accessed June 10, 2021. <https://www.mdpi.com/1648-9144/57/3/199>
14. Gilani S. Synthetic mRNA Covid vaccines: A risk-benefit analysis. *Principia Scientific*. Published Feb. 26, 2021. Accessed June 11, 2021. <https://principia-scientific.com/mrna-covid-vaccines-a-risk-benefit-analysis/>
15. Wylon K., Sabine Dölle S., Margitta Worm M. 2016. Polyethylene glycol as a cause of anaphylaxis. *Allergy, Asthma & Clinical Immunology*. 2016;121:1-3. Accessed June 11, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13223-016-0172-7>
16. Kozma G.T., Shimizu T., Ishida T., Szebeni J. Anti-PEG antibodies: Properties, formation, testing and role in adverse immune reactions to PEGylated nano-biopharmaceuticals. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*. 2021;154-155:163-175. Accessed June 11, 2021. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169409X20301083>
17. Isoux C. Are Philippine children's deaths linked to dengue vaccine? *Post Magazine*. April 21, 2019. Published April 21, 2021. Accessed June 10, 2021. <https://www.scmp.com/magazines/post-magazine/long-reads/article/3006712/philippines-suspicion-dengue-vaccine-linked>
18. Arkin F. Dengue vaccine fiasco leads to criminal charges for researcher in the Philippines. *Science*. Published April 24, 2019. Accessed June 10, 2021. <https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2019/04/dengue-vaccine-fiasco-leads-criminal-charges-researcher-philippines>
19. Lieser E.K. Four times in history vaccines failed lessons for a Coronavirus vaccine? *The National Interest*. Published June 12, 2021. Accessed June 10, 2021. <https://nationalinterest.org/blog/coronavirus/four-times-history-vaccines-failed-lessons-coronavirus-vaccine-166116>
20. Steenhuisen J. As pressure for coronavirus vaccine mounts, scientists debate risks of accelerated testing. *Healthcare & Pharma*. Published March 11, 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-vaccines-insight-idUSKBN20Y1GZ>
21. Peeples L. Avoiding pitfalls in the pursuit of a COVID-19 vaccine. *PNAS*. 2020;117(15):8218. Accessed June 10, 2021. <https://www.pnas.org/content/117/15/8218>
22. Weingartl H, et al. Immunization with modified vaccinia virus ankara-based recombinant vaccine against severe acute respiratory syndrome is associated with enhanced hepatitis in ferrets. *Journal of Virology*. 2004;7822:12672-12676. Accessed June 10, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.22.12672-12676.2004>
23. Tseng C.T., et al. Immunization with SARS Coronavirus Vaccines Leads to Pulmonary Immunopathology on Challenge with the SARS Virus. *Plos One*. 2012;74:e35421. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3335060/>



## The Evidence

24. Loftus P., Zuckerman G. Inside Moderna The COVID front runner with no track record and an un-sparing CEO. Wall Street Journal. Published July 2, 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://www.wsj.com/articles/inside-moderna-the-covid-vaccine-front-runner-with-no-track-record-and-an-unsparing-ceo-11593615205>
25. Urfan U. The debate about ending the placebo in Covid-19 vaccine trials, explained. Vox. Published January 12, 2021. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://www.vox.com/22202902/covid-vaccine-pfizer-moderna-clinical-trial-placebo>
26. Bridle, B. A parental guide to COVID-19 vaccination. COVID Care Alliance. 2021. Published 2021. Accessed June 15, 2021. [https://www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Guide\\_to\\_COVID19\\_vaccines\\_for\\_parents\\_v5.pdf](https://www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Guide_to_COVID19_vaccines_for_parents_v5.pdf)
27. Bruno R., McCullough P.A., Vila T.F.I., et al. SARS-CoV-2 mass vaccination: Urgent questions on vaccine safety that demand answers from international health agencies, regulatory authorities, governments and vaccine developers. Authorea. Published May 2, 2021. Accessed June 8, 2021. DOI: 10.22541/au.162136772.22862058/v2. <https://europepmc.org/article/PPR/PPR345192>
28. Wastila L., Doshi P., Merchant H., Witczak K. Why we petitioned the FDA to refrain from fully approving any covid-19 vaccine this year. British Medical Journal. Published June 8, 2021. Accessed June 10, 2021. <https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2021/06/08/why-we-petitioned-the-fda-to-refrain-from-fully-approving-any-covid-19-vaccine-this-year/>
29. Tess L. Urgent preliminary report of Yellow Card data up to 26th May 2021. Published June 9, 2021. Accessed June 16, 2021. [https://b3d2650e-e929-4448-a527-4eeb59304c7f.-filesusr.com/ugd/593c4f\\_b2acdef3774b4e9ca06e9fae526fd5cd.pdf](https://b3d2650e-e929-4448-a527-4eeb59304c7f.-filesusr.com/ugd/593c4f_b2acdef3774b4e9ca06e9fae526fd5cd.pdf)
30. Pierson, A. New peer reviewed study on COVID-19 vaccines suggests why heart inflammation, blood clots and other dangerous side effects occur. ON Point with Alex Pierson - omny.fm. Published May 27, 2021. Accessed June 8, 2021. <https://omny.fm/shows/on-point-with-alex-pierson/new-peer-reviewed-study-on-covid-19-vaccines-sugge#description>
31. Kendrick M. COVID19 – the spike protein and blood clotting. Dr. Michael Kendrick. Published June 3, 2021. Accessed June 15, 2021. <https://drmalcolmkendrick.org/2021/06/03/covid19-the-spike-protein-and-blood-clotting/>
32. Harald Walach, Universitat Witten/Herdecke, Rainer J Klement Le Leopoldina Hospital, Schweinfurt Accessed August 2021 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354223836\\_The\\_Safety\\_of\\_COVID-19\\_Vaccinations\\_-\\_Should\\_We\\_Rethink\\_the\\_Policy\\_newly\\_and\\_independently\\_peer-reviewed\\_version](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354223836_The_Safety_of_COVID-19_Vaccinations_-_Should_We_Rethink_the_Policy_newly_and_independently_peer-reviewed_version)
33. Walach H, Klement RJ, Aukema W. The Safety of COVID-19 Vaccinations—We Should Rethink the Policy. Vaccines. 2021; 9(7):693. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9070693>
34. Government of Canada. An advisory committee statement (ACS). National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI)† Statement on Seasonal Influenza Vaccine for 2011–2012. Published 2012. Accessed June 12, 2021. <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/reports-publications/canada-communicable-disease-report-ccdr/monthly-issue/2011-37/canada-communicable-disease-report-acsi-5.html>
35. National Collaborating Center for Infectious Diseases. Ten questions and answers for Canadian public health practitioners. Influenza season 2013-2014. Accessed June 12, 2021. <https://nccid.ca/debrief/influenza-season-2013-2014/>
36. Suarez E.R. Watch: UK Chief Medic confirms again COVID19 harmless to the vast majority. Global Risk Community. Published Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://globalriskcommunity.com/profiles/blogs/watch-uk-chief-medic-confirms-again-covid19-harmless-to-the-vast>
37. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Posted October 20, 2020. Accessed August



## The Evidence

- 24, 2022. <https://www.nbc26.com/news/coronavirus/cdc-estimates-covid-19-fatality-rate-including-asymptomatic-cases> Data Source for article: [CDC](#)
38. Swiss Policy Research SPR. Covid vaccines: Post-vaccination deaths. Published June 2021. Accessed June 19, 2021. <https://swprs.org/covid-rna-vaccines-deaths/>
39. Rush worth, Sebastian. US vaccine deaths increasing rapidly. Swiss Research Policy. Published July 11, 2021. Accessed on July 12, 2021. <https://swprs.org/us-vaccine-deaths-increas-ing-rapidly/>
40. Jones, Will. Deaths from COVID Vaccines Are 407% Higher than All Cumulative Previously Reported Deaths from Other Vaccines. Global Research. Published July 29, 2021. Accessed on July 31, 2021. <https://www.globalresearch.ca/deaths-covid-vaccines-407-higher-than-all-cumulative-previously-reported-deaths-other-vaccines/5751421>
41. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC and Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Open Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System VAERS. Published June 11, 2021. Accessed on June 20, 2021. <https://www.openvaers.com/covid-data>
42. European Medicines Agency. Eudra Vigilance European database of suspected drug reaction reports. 2021. Published 2021. Accessed June 20, 2021. <https://dap.ema.europa.eu/analytics/saw.dll?PortalPages>
43. Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. Coronavirus COVID-19 vaccine adverse reactions. Published June 17, 2021. Accessed June 20, 2021. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-vaccine-adverse-reactions>
44. Government of Canada. Reported effect of side effects of COVID-19 vaccine in Canada. Published June 18, 2021. Accessed June 22, 2021. <https://health-infobase.canada.ca/covid-19/vaccine-safety/>
45. Seneff, S. Nigh, G. Worse than the disease? Reviewing some possible unintended consequences of the mRNA vaccines Against COVID-19. International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research. 2021;21:38–79. <https://ijvtp.com/index.php/IJVTPr/article/view/23>
46. Filesusr.com. Review of COVID-19 vaccines and the risk of chronic adverse events including neurological degeneration. Principia Scientific. Published June 4, 2021. Accessed June 5, 2021. <https://www.scivisionpub.com/pdfs/review-of-covid19-vaccines-and-the-risk-of-chronic-adverse-events-including-neurological-degeneration-1616.pdf>
47. Ndeupen S., Qin Z., Jacobsen S., Estantouli H., Bouteau A., and Igyártó B.Z. The mRNA-LNP platform's lipid nanoparticle component used in preclinical vaccine studies is highly inflammatory. bioRxiv. 2021;34:430128. Accessed June 6, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.04.430128>
48. Vojdani A., Vojdani E., and Kharrazian D. Reaction of human monoclonal antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 proteins with tissue antigens: Implications for autoimmune diseases. Frontiers in Immunology. 2021;11:3679. Accessed June 6, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.617089>
49. Kirby, R. 18 CT teens report heart problems after Coronavirus vaccine. Patch. 2021. Accessed June 6, 2021. Published May 25, 2021. <https://patch.com/connecticut/weston-ct/18-ct-teens-report-heart-problems-after-coronavirus-vaccine>
50. Heller J. Israel sees probable link between Pfizer vaccine and myocarditis cases. Reuters. June 2, 2021. Accessed June 6, 2021. <https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/israel-sees-probable-link-between-pfizer-vaccine-small-number-myocarditis-cases-2021-06-01/>
51. Goldstein S. Blood clots as prevalent with Pfizer and Moderna vaccine as with Astra-Zeneca's: study. MarketWatch. Published April 15, 2021. Accessed on June 7, 2021. <https://www.marketwatch.com/story/blood-clots-as-prevalent-with-pfizer-and-moderna-vaccine-as-with-astrazenecas-report-2021-04-15>
52. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). COVID-19 VaST work group report – May 17, 2021. Accessed June 5,

## The Evidence

2021. [https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/acip/work-groups-vast/report-2021-05-17.html?CDC\\_AA\\_refVal=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cdc.gov%2Fvaccines%2Facip%2Fwork-groups-vast%2Ftechnical-report-2021-05-17.html](https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/acip/work-groups-vast/report-2021-05-17.html?CDC_AA_refVal=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cdc.gov%2Fvaccines%2Facip%2Fwork-groups-vast%2Ftechnical-report-2021-05-17.html)
53. Payne E. Increased risk of heart muscle inflammation linked with mRNA vaccines, seen in Ottawa. Ottawa Citizen. Published June 4, 2021. Accessed June 5, 2021. <https://ottawacitizen.com/news/local-news/increased-risk-of-heart-muscle-inflammation-linked-with-mrna-vaccines-seen-in-ottawa>
54. Phillips, Jack. FDA Adds Warning About Heart Inflammation to COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines. The Epoch Times. Published June 29, 2021. Accessed June 30, 2021. [https://www.theepochtimes.com/mkt\\_breakingnews/fda-adds-warning-about-heart-inflammation-to-covid-19-mrna-vaccines\\_3876245.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/mkt_breakingnews/fda-adds-warning-about-heart-inflammation-to-covid-19-mrna-vaccines_3876245.html)
55. Stieber Z. Heart Inflammation After COVID-19 Vaccination Seen Across US. The Epoch Times. Published May 28, 2021. Accessed June 5, 2021. [https://www.theepochtimes.com/mkt\\_breakingnews/heart-inflammation-after-covid-19-vaccination-seen-across-us\\_3833536.html?utm\\_source=newsnoe&utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_campaign=breaking-2021-05-28-1&mktids=e1a9d855d4bc1bd79602b8e3c966de13&est=NWlneJmBg26xVR-r4](https://www.theepochtimes.com/mkt_breakingnews/heart-inflammation-after-covid-19-vaccination-seen-across-us_3833536.html?utm_source=newsnoe&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=breaking-2021-05-28-1&mktids=e1a9d855d4bc1bd79602b8e3c966de13&est=NWlneJmBg26xVR-r4)
56. Stieber Z, CDC Finds More Cases of Heart Inflammation Than Expected in Vaccinated Young Males. The Epoch Times. Published June 23, 2021. Accessed June 23, 2021. [https://www.theepochtimes.com/cdc-finds-more-cases-of-heart-inflammation-than-expected-connected-to-covid-19-vaccines\\_3870915.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/cdc-finds-more-cases-of-heart-inflammation-than-expected-connected-to-covid-19-vaccines_3870915.html)
57. Efrati I. Some vaccinated Israeli women report irregular menstrual cycles, bleeding. Haaretz. Published Accessed June 6, 2021. <https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/some-vaccinated-israeli-women-report-irregular-menstrual-cycles-bleeding-1.9550291>
58. Stern C. Women say they are having heavier and more painful PERIODS since getting their COVID-19 vaccines - as several claim it has also messed up their monthly cycle. Daily Mail. Published June 26, 2021. Accessed June 7, 2021. <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-9446907/Some-women-report-heavier-painful-PERIODS-getting-COVID-19-vaccine.html>
59. Rights and Freedoms: 57 leading scientists, doctors, and public policy experts call for IMMEDIATE HALT to Covid vaccine programs. NOQ Report. Published May 8, 2021. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://rightsfreedoms.wordpress.com/2021/05/11/57-top-scientists-and-doctors-stop-all-covid-vaccinations/>
60. Doctors for COVID Ethics. Re-issued Press Release: Doctors and Scientists Accuse Medical Regulator of Downplaying COVID-19 Vaccine Dangers. Medium. Published April 7, 2021. Accessed June 8, 2021. <https://childrenshealthdefense.eu/eu-issues/doctors-and-scientists-accuse-medical-regulator-of-downplaying-covid-19-vaccine-dangers/>
61. Lazarus R., Klompas M., Bernstein S. Grant final report. Grant ID: R18 HS 017045. Electronic support for public health–vaccine adverse event reporting system. ESP: VAERS. Rockville, MD: The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Accessed June 8, 2021. <https://digital.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/docs/publication/r18hs017045-lazarus-final-report-2011.pdf>
62. Gilmore R. Coronavirus vaccine makers are shielded from liability. Here's why officials say that's normal. Global News. Published Dec. 14, 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. <https://globalnews.ca/news/7521148/coronavirus-vaccine-safety-liability-government-anand-pfizer/>
63. Ugolini T. Emergency dept. doc: They're rejecting my COVID vax adverse reaction reports. Rebel News. Published May 27, 2021. Accessed June 10, 2021. [https://www.rebelnews.com/emergency\\_dr\\_patrick\\_phillips\\_medical\\_officer\\_of\\_health\\_rejecting\\_covid\\_vaccine\\_adverse\\_reaction\\_reports](https://www.rebelnews.com/emergency_dr_patrick_phillips_medical_officer_of_health_rejecting_covid_vaccine_adverse_reaction_reports)
64. Canadian Deaths and Adverse Reactions Facebook page. Announcements. Accessed June 22, 2021. <https://www.facebook.com/groups/515605132795342/?ref=share>
65. Repository for Vaccine Adverse Effects. Vaccine side effects summary. VaxxTracker.

## The Evidence

Accessed June 22, 2021. <https://www.vaxxtracker.com/VaxViewData.aspx>

66. The Empowerer: empowering people one word at a time. 2021. Available at <https://theempoweror.com/>

67. COVID study 1. COVID-19 study: Understanding COVID-19. 2021. <https://covidstudy1.com/>

68. The Israeli People's Committee. The Israeli people's committee report of adverse events related to the Corona vaccine, April 2021: Never has a vaccine injured so many. Published April 2021. <https://www.the-people-committee.com/english>

69. Olson, W. Request for reconsideration and revocation of mandatory COVID-19 inoculation requirement; notice to preserve documents. June 18, 2021. Available at <https://www.lawandfreedom.com/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Vax-Letter-to-All-Public-Colleges-as-sent.pdf>

70. Swiss Policy Research SPR. The failure of "vaccine passports" Published July 2021. Accessed July 5, 2021. <https://swprs.org/the-failure-of-vaccine-passports/71>.

71. Dr. Gert Vanden Bossche. The chicken and the egg which came first. Accessed July 6, 2021.

<https://www.geertvandenbossche.org/post/the-chicken-and-egg-problem-which-came-first>

72. American Association of Physicians and Surgeons. COVID-19: Is college worth the risk of the shot? Published June 16, 2021. Accessed July 2021. <https://aapsonline.org/covid-19-is-college-worth-the-risk-of-the-shot/>

73. Alex Berenson, COVID-19 Vaccines: Reasons for Concern. Published June 24, 2021. Accessed June 28, 2021. <https://alexberenson.substack.com/p/vaccines-reasons-for-concern-569>

Alex Berenson. This is not OK. Accessed July 5, 2021. <https://twitter.com/AlexBerenson/status/1407721367580053507>



## Appendix C: Pfizer's Post Authorization Adverse Events Report

## **Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report**

Aug 30, 2022

The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) was compelled by **court order** <https://phmpt.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/091621-Complaint.pdf> in response to a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, to release important Pfizer data concerning the safety and efficacy of the COVID-19 (BNT162b2) so called vaccine.

On March 1, 2022, FDA released the Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report document representing the initial three (3) months of the COVID-19 vaccine rollout for 01-Dec-2020 to 28-Feb-2021.

The following summarizes outcomes in the Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report [link below]

Table 7 (pages 16-25) provides a summary review of cumulative cases showing there were 158,893 events that resulted from 42,086 reported cases in the first three months after the vaccines were released to the public during 01-Dec-2020 to 28-Feb-2021. The total number of people in this group was not disclosed. Of the 42,086 case reports:

- 1,223 of the cases list DEATH as the outcome.
- 9,400 of the cases list UNKNOWN as the outcome (that is 22% of the total 42,086 cases).
- 11,361 of the cases were NOT RECOVERED at the time of the report (final outcome is unknown for these).
- Majority of Adverse Events include nervous system disorders (25,957), musculoskeletal/connective tissue disorders (17,283), and gastrointestinal disorders (14,096), in addition to anaphylaxis, facial paralysis, COVID-19 infection, cardiovascular, dermatological, hematological, hepatic and autoimmune conditions.
- Pfizer's post-authorization data emphasizes that "...reports are submitted voluntarily, and the magnitude of underreporting is unknown."
- The Harvard Pilgram Study states "Adverse events from vaccines are common but underreported, with less than 1% reported to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)." Thus, these vaccine injuries and deaths are likely much higher than presented.

See: Results page 6 <https://openvaers.com/images/r18hs017045-lazarus-final-report-20116.pdf>

### **Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report**

Appendix 1 Page 30 reveals 9 pages of known adverse events.

<https://phmpt.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/5.3.6-postmarketing-experience.pdf>



## Appendix D: Open Letters to seven (7) other Universities and Colleges

Aug 30.22

## **OPEN LETTER**

### **Dr. Patrick Deane**

Principal and Vice-Chancellor of Queen's University  
Office of the Principal Queen's University  
351 Richardson Hall  
74 University Avenue  
Kingston, ON K7L 3N6

Email [principal@queensu.ca](mailto:principal@queensu.ca)

Dear Dr. Deane

We are writing to you in your capacity as Principal and Vice-Chancellor of Queen's University.

This letter is in response to Queen's University's 2022-2023 recommendations and encouragement of Covid-19 experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.  
<https://www.queensu.ca/covidinfo/covid-19-guidelines-ahead-fall-term-start>

We represent various medical, health, rights and freedoms, and parent organizations in Canada. Our unanimous position is that Queen's University is not only violating the most fundamental rights of Canadians but is putting our youth at serious risk of injury and possible death.

The evidence is now clear that some statistically significant number of students receiving the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections will be seriously harmed, and some may die. How can Queen's University's Covid-19 Guidelines that recommend and encourage risk of such harm be consistent with the University's fiduciary duty to protect students?

Queen's University recommendations, encouragement and requirements of experimental mRNA gene therapy injections put students and their parents in an untenable situation. Consequently, they must choose between accepting the significant risk of bodily injury, possible lifelong disability, and death, or continue their education at Queen's University.

### **Are you and the Board of Governors aware of the following?**

- The scientific evidence does not support the claim of Covid-19 vaccine efficacy or safety.
- The Covid-19 injections are not a vaccine as they do not prevent infection or transmission. They are more accurately a medical treatment.
- None of the Covid-19 injections have completed Phase III clinical trials and thus are still regarded as experimental.
- The long-term safety of this injected genetic technology is unknown.
- The short-term safety data has demonstrated the risk of the injections to youth is substantially higher than the risk of Covid-19.

- Tal Zaks, chief medical officer of Moderna, Inc. confirmed mRNA injection for Covid-19 **can** change the genetic code.
- Canada has a criminal ban on anything that affects our GENOME since the HUMAN GENOME has to be protected as specified in the Principles of the Assisted Human Reproduction Act S.C. 2004, c. 2.
- The principle of free and informed consent must be promoted and applied as a fundamental condition of any medical intervention.
- Human individuality and diversity, and the integrity of the HUMAN GENOME, must be preserved and protected.
- Changing anything in the HUMAN GENOME as with the injection of a spike protein via the experimental mRNA gene therapy technology has criminal offence considerations.
- The United States Food and Drug Administration was compelled by court order to release Pfizer data concerning safety and efficacy of Covid-19 (BNT162b2). *The attached Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report includes 9 pages of known adverse events.*
- Canadian National Report on Immunization, 1996 states: "*Unlike some countries, immunization is not mandatory in Canada; it cannot be made mandatory because of the Canadian Constitution.*"
- The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1982 recognizes the inherent legal right of Canadians to exercise free power of choice and thus to refuse medical treatment without disadvantage or prejudice. The Supreme Court of Canada recognized this inherent right under Section 7 of the Charter ii) Right to liberty.
- The COVID-19 INJECTION PHARMACOVIGILANCE REPORT prepared by the World Council for Health, reveals sufficient evidence on all pharmacovigilance databases examined in this report to establish a *concerning safety signal* about experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.
- Negative impact of facemasks [https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1\\_Petty\\_New\\_Hampshire\\_Legislature\\_Presentation\\_January\\_27\\_2022.pdf](https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1_Petty_New_Hampshire_Legislature_Presentation_January_27_2022.pdf)
- Neurosurgeon Russell Blaylock warns that masks create serious health risks to the wearer. <https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/>

Attached is 'The Evidence, a fully referenced document in support of the above information.

### **Violations of Nuremberg Code**

We also find it rather disconcerting that Queen's University recommends and encourages students to receive injections of an experimental mRNA genetic technology, in this the 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary year of the completion of the Nuremberg Trials, which resulted in the Nuremberg Code.

**The moral significance of the Nuremberg Code cannot be overstated:**



- The Nuremberg Code is the most authoritative, internationally recognized document in the history of medical ethics.
- This landmark document was formulated in response to the evidence of medical atrocities committed by Nazi physicians and scientists.
- The Code sets forth moral boundaries for research involving human beings.
- The Nuremberg Code rejects the ideology of Eugenics and **unequivocally** asserts the primacy and dignity of the individual human being – as opposed to “*the greater good of society.*”
- **The Nuremberg Code defined foundational, universal, moral and legal standards;** affirming fundamental human rights. These human rights apply to every human being.
- The Code sets limits on the parameters of permissible medical experiments.
- The objective of the Nuremberg Code is to ensure that medicine never again deviates from the precautionary ethical principle, “*First, do no harm.*”
- The Nuremberg Code has served as a blueprint for subsequent national and international codes of human rights – to ensure that:
  - the rights and dignity of human beings are upheld.
  - to ensure medical doctors never again engage in morally abhorrent experiments.
- The first of 10 ethical principles lays down the foremost ethical requirement – which is spelled out in great detail:

*“The voluntary consent of the human subject is **absolutely essential**”.*

*“This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force... constraint or coercion; **and should have sufficient knowledge & comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.***

*This... requires that before the acceptance... of an affirmative decision by **the experimental subject...** [he] should be [informed of] the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences & hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.*

*The **duty and responsibility** for ascertaining the quality of the consent **rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment.** It is a personal duty & responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.”*

Given the lack of data to allow for fully informed consent of experimental mRNA gene therapy injections recommended and encouraged by Queen’s University, it is clear that those responsible are in violation of the Nuremberg Code.

Given that experimental mRNA gene therapy injections do not prevent infection or transmission, their long term safety profile is unknown, and as these injections are still experimental, it is time to refrain from recommending and encouraging students, faculty and support staff to stay up to date on their Covid-19 vaccinations and boosters

Indeed, it is our view that such recommendations and encouragement are contrary to the science, inconsistent with how other institutions are responding and morally flawed.

It is the expectation of the signatories below that you immediately remove any and all Covid-19 recommendations, encouragement and requirements that place students, faculty and support staff at risk.

We look forward to your considered and timely response.

Sincerely,

Tanya Gaw, Action4Canada  
Kristen Nagle, Canadian Frontline Nurses  
Alan Brough, Canadian Health Alliance  
Amanda Forbes, Children's Health Defence Canada  
John Carpay, Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedom  
Sheldon Munroe, Students Against Mandates  
Ryan Penn, Take Action Canada  
Ted Kuntz, Vaccine Choice Canada  
Eddie Cornell, Veterans4Freedom  
Andrej Litvinjenko, Taking Back Our Freedoms

## **ATTACHMENTS**

1. The Evidence – fully referenced supporting documentation
2. Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report

### **Cc:**

**Stephen Vanner** MSc, MD, FRCPC  
Department of Biomedical and Molecular Sciences  
Queen's University  
Botterell Hall, Room 563,  
18 Stuart Street,  
Kingston ON K7L 3N6  
Email [vanners@queensu.ca](mailto:vanners@queensu.ca)

**Dr. Jane Philpott Dean**, Professor,  
Dean of the Faculty of Health Sciences  
Queen's University  
Faculty of Health Sciences  
70 Barrie Street  
Kingston, ON K7L 3N6

Email [Jane.Philpott@queensu.ca](mailto:Jane.Philpott@queensu.ca)

Manager, Residence Admissions

Queen's University  
70 Barrie Street  
Kingston, ON K7L 3N6

Emails: [reshouse@queensu.ca](mailto:reshouse@queensu.ca)  
[reslife@queensu.ca](mailto:reslife@queensu.ca)

**David Lametti**

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada  
Constituency Office Montréal  
6415 Monk Blvd.  
Montréal, Quebec  
H4E 3H8

Email [david.lametti@parl.gc.ca](mailto:david.lametti@parl.gc.ca)

**Mark Wright**

Mediation Arbitrator  
PO BOX 51585  
2140 A Queen St. E.  
Toronto, ON M4E 3V7

Email [mark@mark-wright.ca](mailto:mark@mark-wright.ca)

**Sept 08 2022**

Steven Murphy  
President and Vice-Chancellor  
Ontario Tech University  
2000 Simcoe Street North  
Oshawa, Ontario L1G 0C5  
Email [president@ontariotechu.ca](mailto:president@ontariotechu.ca)

Take Action Canada  
202 – 115 Danforth Avenue  
Toronto, ON M4K 1N2  
[info@takeactioncananda.ca](mailto:info@takeactioncananda.ca)

Dear Mr. Murphy

**RE: CALL TO ACTION**

We are writing to you in your capacity as President and Vice-Chancellor of Ontario Tech University. This letter is in response to Ontario Tech University's Covid-19 policy.

<https://news.ontariotechu.ca/archives/2022/08/ontario-tech-continues-with-mask-directive.php>?

We represent various medical, health, rights and freedoms, and parent organizations in Canada. Our unanimous position is that Ontario Tech University is not only violating the most fundamental rights of Canadians but is putting our youth at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

The evidence is now clear that a statistically significant number of students receiving the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections will be seriously harmed, and some may die. How can Covid-19 directives that risk such harm be consistent with a university's fiduciary duty to protect students?

Experimental mRNA gene therapy injections put students and their parents in an untenable situation. Consequently, they must choose between accepting the serious risk of bodily injury and or disability, as well as possible death or continue their education.

**Are you and the Board of Governors aware of the following?**

- The scientific evidence does not support the claim of Covid-19 vaccine efficacy or safety.
- The Covid-19 injections are not a vaccine as they do not prevent infection or transmission. They are more accurately a medical injection treatment.
- None of the Covid-19 injections have completed Phase III clinical trials and thus are still regarded as experimental.
- The long-term safety of this injected genetic technology is unknown.
- The short-term safety data has demonstrated the risk of the injections to youth is substantially higher than the risk of Covid-19.
- Tal Zaks, chief medical officer of Moderna, Inc. confirmed mRNA injection for Covid-19 **can** change the genetic code.
- Canada has a criminal ban on anything that affects our GENOME since the HUMAN GENOME has to be protected as specified in the Principles of the Assisted Human Reproduction Act S.C. 2004, c. 2.

- The principle of free and informed consent must be promoted and applied as a fundamental condition of any medical intervention.
- Human individuality and diversity, and the integrity of the HUMAN GENOME, must be preserved and protected.
- Changing anything in the HUMAN GENOME as with the injection of a spike protein via the experimental mRNA gene therapy technology has criminal offence considerations.
- The United States Food and Drug Administration was compelled by court order to release Pfizer data concerning safety and efficacy of Covid-19 (BNT162b2). *The attached Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report includes 9 pages of known adverse events.*
- Canadian National Report on Immunization, 1996 states: *“Unlike some countries, immunization is not mandatory in Canada; it cannot be made mandatory because of the Canadian Constitution.”*
- The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1982 recognizes the inherent legal right of Canadians to exercise free power of choice and thus to refuse medical treatment without disadvantage or prejudice. The Supreme Court of Canada recognized this inherent right under Section 7 of the Charter ii) Right to liberty.
- **Informed consent** cannot technically be provided since the vaccine manufacturers have never provided the actual vaccine contents even to the purchasers.
- The COVID-19 INJECTION PHARMACOVIGILANCE REPORT prepared by the World Council for Health, reveals sufficient evidence on all pharmacovigilance databases examined in this report to establish a *concerning safety signal* about experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.
- Negative impact of facemasks [https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1\\_Petty\\_New\\_Hampshire\\_Legislature\\_Presentation\\_January\\_27\\_2022.pdf](https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1_Petty_New_Hampshire_Legislature_Presentation_January_27_2022.pdf)
- Neurosurgeon Russell Blaylock warns that masks create serious health risks to the wearer. <https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/>

**Attached is ‘The Evidence’, a fully referenced document in support of the above information.**

### **Violations of Nuremberg Code**

We also find it rather disconcerting that universities and colleges endorse and or mandate experimental mRNA genetic technology in this 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary year of the completion of the Nuremberg Trials, which resulted in the Nuremberg Code.

### **The moral significance of the Nuremberg Code cannot be overstated:**

- The Nuremberg Code is the most authoritative, internationally recognized document in the history of medical ethics.
- This landmark document was formulated in response to the evidence of medical atrocities committed by Nazi physicians and scientists.
- The Code sets forth moral boundaries for research involving human beings.
- The Nuremberg Code rejects the ideology of Eugenics and **unequivocally** asserts the primacy and dignity of the individual human being – as opposed to *“the greater good of society.”*

- **The Nuremberg Code defined foundational, universal, moral and legal standards;** affirming fundamental human rights. These human rights apply to every human being.
- The Code sets limits on the parameters of permissible medical experiments.
- The objective of the Nuremberg Code is to ensure that medicine never again deviates from the precautionary ethical principle, “*First, do no harm.*”
- The Nuremberg Code has served as a blueprint for subsequent national and international codes of human rights – to ensure that:
  - the rights and dignity of human beings are upheld.
  - to ensure medical doctors never again engage in morally abhorrent experiments.
- The first of 10 ethical principles lays down the foremost ethical requirement – which is spelled out in great detail:

*“The voluntary consent of the human subject is **absolutely essential**”.*

*“This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force... constraint or coercion; **and should have sufficient knowledge & comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.***

*This... requires that before the acceptance... of an affirmative decision by **the experimental subject...** [he] should be [informed of] the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences & hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.*

*The **duty and responsibility** for ascertaining the quality of the consent **rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment.** It is a personal duty & responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.”*

Given the lack of data to allow for fully informed consent of EXPERIMENTAL mRNA gene therapy injections endorsed and or mandated by universities and colleges, it is clear that those responsible are in violation of the Nuremberg Code.

Given that experimental mRNA gene therapy injections do not prevent infection nor transmission, their long-term safety profile is unknown, and as these injections are still EXPERIMENTAL, it is time to refrain from endorsing and or mandating that students, faculty, and support staff stay up to date on Covid-19 vaccinations and boosters.

Indeed, it is our view that the above directives are contrary to the science, inconsistent with how other institutions are responding and morally flawed.

It is the expectation of signatories below that you avoid and or immediately remove any and all Covid-19 directives KNOWN to place students, faculty, and support staff at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

We look forward to your considered and timely response.

Sincerely,

Tanya Gaw, Action4Canada  
Kristen Nagle, Canadian Frontline Nurses  
Alan Brough, Canadian Health Alliance  
Amanda Forbes, Children's Health Defence Canada  
John Carpay, Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedom  
Sheldon Munroe, Students Against Mandates  
Ryan Penn, Take Action Canada  
Ted Kuntz, Vaccine Choice Canada  
Eddie Cornell, Veterans4Freedom  
Andrej Litvinjenko, Taking Back Our Freedoms

## **ATTACHMENTS**

1. The Evidence – fully referenced supporting documentation
2. Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report

### **Cc:**

#### **Lori Livingston**

Provost and Vice-President, Academic  
Ontario Tech University  
2000 Simcoe Street North  
Oshawa, Ontario L1G 0C5  
Email [provost@ontariotechu.ca](mailto:provost@ontariotechu.ca)

#### **Maureen Calhoun**

Health and Safety Officer  
Ontario Tech University  
2000 Simcoe Street North  
Oshawa, Ontario L1G 0C5  
Email [maureen.calhoun@dc-uoit.ca](mailto:maureen.calhoun@dc-uoit.ca)

#### **Carol Rodgers, PhD**

Dean of Health Sciences  
Ontario Tech University  
2000 Simcoe Street North  
Oshawa, Ontario L1G 0C5  
Email [carol.rodgers@ontariotechu.ca](mailto:carol.rodgers@ontariotechu.ca)

#### **Peter Stoett, PhD**

Dean of Social Science and Humanities  
Ontario Tech University  
2000 Simcoe Street North  
Oshawa, Ontario L1G 0C5  
Email [peter.stoett@ontariotechu.ca](mailto:peter.stoett@ontariotechu.ca)

#### **David Lametti**

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada  
Constituency Office Montréal  
6415 Monk Blvd.  
Montréal, Quebec H4E 3H8  
Email [david.lametti@parl.gc.ca](mailto:david.lametti@parl.gc.ca)

**Sept 08 2022**

**David Agnew**

President, Seneca College  
1750 Finch Avenue East  
Toronto, Ontario M2J 2X5  
Email [david.agnew@senecacollege.ca](mailto:david.agnew@senecacollege.ca)

Take Action Canada  
202 – 115 Danforth Avenue  
Toronto, ON M4K 1N2  
[info@takeactioncananda.ca](mailto:info@takeactioncananda.ca)

Dear Mr. Agnew

**RE: CALL TO ACTION**

We are writing to you in your capacity as President of Seneca College. This letter is in response to Seneca College's Covid-19 policy. <https://www.senecacollege.ca/about/policies/vaccination-policy.html>

We represent various medical, health, rights and freedoms, and parent organizations in Canada. Our unanimous position is that Seneca College is not only violating the most fundamental rights of Canadians but is putting our youth at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

The evidence is now clear that a statistically significant number of students receiving the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections will be seriously harmed, and some may die. How can Covid-19 directives that risk such harm be consistent with a university's fiduciary duty to protect students?

Experimental mRNA gene therapy injections put students and their parents in an untenable situation. Consequently, they must choose between accepting the serious risk of bodily injury and or disability, as well as possible death or continue their education.

**Are you and the Board of Governors aware of the following?**

- The scientific evidence does not support the claim of Covid-19 vaccine efficacy or safety.
- The Covid-19 injections are not a vaccine as they do not prevent infection or transmission. They are more accurately a medical injection treatment.
- None of the Covid-19 injections have completed Phase III clinical trials and thus are still regarded as experimental.
- The long-term safety of this injected genetic technology is unknown.
- The short-term safety data has demonstrated the risk of the injections to youth is substantially higher than the risk of Covid-19.
- Tal Zaks, chief medical officer of Moderna, Inc. confirmed mRNA injection for Covid-19 **can** change the genetic code.
- Canada has a criminal ban on anything that affects our GENOME since the HUMAN GENOME has to be protected as specified in the Principles of the Assisted Human Reproduction Act S.C. 2004, c. 2.



- The principle of free and informed consent must be promoted and applied as a fundamental condition of any medical intervention.
- Human individuality and diversity, and the integrity of the HUMAN GENOME, must be preserved and protected.
- Changing anything in the HUMAN GENOME as with the injection of a spike protein via the experimental mRNA gene therapy technology has criminal offence considerations.
- The United States Food and Drug Administration was compelled by court order to release Pfizer data concerning safety and efficacy of Covid-19 (BNT162b2). *The attached Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report includes 9 pages of known adverse events.*
- Canadian National Report on Immunization, 1996 states: *“Unlike some countries, immunization is not mandatory in Canada; it cannot be made mandatory because of the Canadian Constitution.”*
- The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1982 recognizes the inherent legal right of Canadians to exercise free power of choice and thus to refuse medical treatment without disadvantage or prejudice. The Supreme Court of Canada recognized this inherent right under Section 7 of the Charter ii) Right to liberty.
- **Informed consent** cannot technically be provided since the vaccine manufacturers have never provided the actual vaccine contents even to the purchasers.
- The COVID-19 INJECTION PHARMACOVIGILANCE REPORT prepared by the World Council for Health, reveals sufficient evidence on all pharmacovigilance databases examined in this report to establish a *concerning safety signal* about experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.
- Negative impact of facemasks [https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1\\_Petty\\_New\\_Hampshire\\_Legislature\\_Presentation\\_January\\_27\\_2022.pdf](https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1_Petty_New_Hampshire_Legislature_Presentation_January_27_2022.pdf)
- Neurosurgeon Russell Blaylock warns that masks create serious health risks to the wearer. <https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/>

**Attached is ‘The Evidence’, a fully referenced document in support of the above information.**

### **Violations of Nuremberg Code**

We also find it rather disconcerting that Seneca College requires, and or mandates experimental mRNA genetic technology in this 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary year of the completion of the Nuremberg Trials, which resulted in the Nuremberg Code.

### **The moral significance of the Nuremberg Code cannot be overstated:**

- The Nuremberg Code is the most authoritative, internationally recognized document in the history of medical ethics.
- This landmark document was formulated in response to the evidence of medical atrocities committed by Nazi physicians and scientists.
- The Code sets forth moral boundaries for research involving human beings.
- The Nuremberg Code rejects the ideology of Eugenics and **unequivocally** asserts the primacy and dignity of the individual human being – as opposed to *“the greater good of society.”*

- **The Nuremberg Code defined foundational, universal, moral and legal standards;** affirming fundamental human rights. These human rights apply to every human being.
- The Code sets limits on the parameters of permissible medical experiments.
- The objective of the Nuremberg Code is to ensure that medicine never again deviates from the precautionary ethical principle, “*First, do no harm.*”
- The Nuremberg Code has served as a blueprint for subsequent national and international codes of human rights – to ensure that:
  - the rights and dignity of human beings are upheld.
  - to ensure medical doctors never again engage in morally abhorrent experiments.
- The first of 10 ethical principles lays down the foremost ethical requirement – which is spelled out in great detail:

*“The voluntary consent of the human subject is **absolutely essential**”.*

*“This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force... constraint or coercion; **and should have sufficient knowledge & comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.***

*This... requires that before the acceptance... of an affirmative decision by **the experimental subject...** [he] should be [informed of] the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences & hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.*

*The **duty and responsibility** for ascertaining the quality of the consent **rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment.** It is a personal duty & responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.”*

Given the lack of data to allow for fully informed consent of EXPERIMENTAL mRNA gene therapy injections required and or mandated by Seneca College, it is clear that those responsible are in violation of the Nuremberg Code.

Given that experimental mRNA gene therapy injections do not prevent infection nor transmission, their long-term safety profile is unknown, and as these injections are still EXPERIMENTAL, it is time to refrain from requiring and or mandating that students, faculty, and support staff stay up to date on Covid-19 vaccinations and boosters.

Indeed, it is our view that the above directives are contrary to the science, inconsistent with how other institutions are responding and morally flawed.

It is the expectation of signatories below that you avoid and or immediately remove any and all Covid-19 directives KNOWN to place students, faculty, and support staff at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

We look forward to your considered and timely response.

Sincerely,

Tanya Gaw, Action4Canada  
Kristen Nagle, Canadian Frontline Nurses  
Alan Brough, Canadian Health Alliance  
Amanda Forbes, Children's Health Defence Canada  
John Carpay, Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedom  
Sheldon Munroe, Students Against Mandates  
Ryan Penn, Take Action Canada  
Ted Kuntz, Vaccine Choice Canada  
Eddie Cornell, Veterans4Freedom  
Andrej Litvinjenko, Taking Back Our Freedoms

## **ATTACHMENTS**

1. The Evidence – fully referenced supporting documentation
2. Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report

### **Cc:**

#### **Renata D'Innocenzo**

Vice-President, Students and Strategy  
President's Chief of Staff  
Seneca College  
1750 Finch Avenue East  
Toronto, Ontario M2J 2X5  
Email [renata.dinnocenzo@senecacollege.ca](mailto:renata.dinnocenzo@senecacollege.ca)

#### **Ritik Sharma**

President, Seneca Student Federation (SSF)  
Seneca College  
1750 Finch Avenue East  
Toronto, Ontario M2J 2X5  
Email [ritik.sharma@senecacollege.ca](mailto:ritik.sharma@senecacollege.ca)

#### **Kimberly Moran**

CEO, Ontario College of Family Physicians  
2100-400 University Ave,  
Toronto ON M5G 1S5  
Email [kmoran@ocfp.on.ca](mailto:kmoran@ocfp.on.ca)

#### **Christopher Bullen**

Manager, Bylaw & Regulatory Services  
City of Markham, ON  
101 Town Centre Blvd,  
Markham, Ontario, L3R 9W3  
Email [customerservice@markham.ca](mailto:customerservice@markham.ca)

#### **David Lametti**

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada  
Constituency Office Montréal  
6415 Monk Blvd.  
Montréal, Quebec H4E 3H8  
Email [david.lametti@parl.gc.ca](mailto:david.lametti@parl.gc.ca)

#### **Mark Wright**

Mediation Arbitration  
2140 A Queen St. E.  
Toronto, ON M4E 3V7  
Email [mark@mark-wright.ca](mailto:mark@mark-wright.ca)

**Sept 08 2022**

**Dr. Charlotte Yates**

President and Vice-Chancellor  
University of Guelph  
150 Stone Road East  
Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1  
Email [president@uoguelph.ca](mailto:president@uoguelph.ca)

Take Action Canada  
202 – 115 Danforth Avenue  
Toronto, ON M4K 1N2  
[info@takeactioncanada.ca](mailto:info@takeactioncanada.ca)

Dear Dr. Yates

**RE: CALL TO ACTION**

We are writing to you in your capacity as President and Vice-Chancellor of the University of Guelph. This letter is in response to the University of Guelph's Covid-19 policy.  
<https://news.uoguelph.ca/2022/08/covid-19-update-planning-for-september/>

We represent various medical, health, rights and freedoms, and parent organizations in Canada. Our unanimous position is that the University of Guelph is not only violating the most fundamental rights of Canadians but is putting our youth at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

The evidence is now clear that a statistically significant number of students receiving the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections will be seriously harmed, and some may die. How can Covid-19 directives that risk such harm be consistent with a university's fiduciary duty to protect students?

Experimental mRNA gene therapy injections put students and their parents in an untenable situation. Consequently, they must choose between accepting the serious risk of bodily injury and or disability, as well as possible death or continue their education.

**Are you and the Board of Governors aware of the following?**

- The scientific evidence does not support the claim of Covid-19 vaccine efficacy or safety.
- The Covid-19 injections are not a vaccine as they do not prevent infection or transmission. They are more accurately a medical injection treatment.
- None of the Covid-19 injections have completed Phase III clinical trials and thus are still regarded as experimental.
- The long-term safety of this injected genetic technology is unknown.
- The short-term safety data has demonstrated the risk of the injections to youth is substantially higher than the risk of Covid-19.
- Tal Zaks, chief medical officer of Moderna, Inc. confirmed mRNA injection for Covid-19 **can** change the genetic code.

- Canada has a criminal ban on anything that affects our GENOME since the HUMAN GENOME has to be protected as specified in the Principles of the Assisted Human Reproduction Act S.C. 2004, c. 2.
- The principle of free and informed consent must be promoted and applied as a fundamental condition of any medical intervention.
- Human individuality and diversity, and the integrity of the HUMAN GENOME, must be preserved and protected.
- Changing anything in the HUMAN GENOME as with the injection of a spike protein via the experimental mRNA gene therapy technology has criminal offence considerations.
- The United States Food and Drug Administration was compelled by court order to release Pfizer data concerning safety and efficacy of Covid-19 (BNT162b2). *The attached Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report includes 9 pages of known adverse events.*
- Canadian National Report on Immunization, 1996 states: *“Unlike some countries, immunization is not mandatory in Canada; it cannot be made mandatory because of the Canadian Constitution.”*
- The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1982 recognizes the inherent legal right of Canadians to exercise free power of choice and thus to refuse medical treatment without disadvantage or prejudice. The Supreme Court of Canada recognized this inherent right under Section 7 of the Charter ii) Right to liberty.
- **Informed consent** cannot technically be provided since the vaccine manufacturers have never provided the actual vaccine contents even to the purchasers.
- The COVID-19 INJECTION PHARMACOVIGILANCE REPORT prepared by the World Council for Health, reveals sufficient evidence on all pharmacovigilance databases examined in this report to establish a *concerning safety signal* about experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.
- Negative impact of facemasks [https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1\\_Petty\\_New\\_Hampshire\\_Legislature\\_Presentation\\_January\\_27\\_2022.pdf](https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1_Petty_New_Hampshire_Legislature_Presentation_January_27_2022.pdf)
- Neurosurgeon Russell Blaylock warns that masks create serious health risks to the wearer. <https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/>

**Attached is ‘The Evidence’, a fully referenced document in support of the above information.**

### **Violations of Nuremberg Code**

We also find it rather disconcerting that universities and colleges endorse and or mandate experimental mRNA genetic technology in this 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary year of the completion of the Nuremberg Trials, which resulted in the Nuremberg Code.

### **The moral significance of the Nuremberg Code cannot be overstated:**

- The Nuremberg Code is the most authoritative, internationally recognized document in the history of medical ethics.
- This landmark document was formulated in response to the evidence of medical atrocities committed by Nazi physicians and scientists.
- The Code sets forth moral boundaries for research involving human beings.

- The Nuremberg Code rejects the ideology of Eugenics and **unequivocally** asserts the primacy and dignity of the individual human being – as opposed to “*the greater good of society.*”
- **The Nuremberg Code defined foundational, universal, moral and legal standards;** affirming fundamental human rights. These human rights apply to every human being.
- The Code sets limits on the parameters of permissible medical experiments.
- The objective of the Nuremberg Code is to ensure that medicine never again deviates from the precautionary ethical principle, “*First, do no harm.*”
- The Nuremberg Code has served as a blueprint for subsequent national and international codes of human rights – to ensure that:
  - the rights and dignity of human beings are upheld.
  - to ensure medical doctors never again engage in morally abhorrent experiments.
- The first of 10 ethical principles lays down the foremost ethical requirement – which is spelled out in great detail:

*“The voluntary consent of the human subject is **absolutely essential**”.*

*“This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force... constraint or coercion; **and should have sufficient knowledge & comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.***

*This... requires that before the acceptance... of an affirmative decision by **the experimental subject...** [he] should be [informed of] the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences & hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.*

*The **duty and responsibility** for ascertaining the quality of the consent **rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment.** It is a personal duty & responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.”*

Given the lack of data to allow for fully informed consent of EXPERIMENTAL mRNA gene therapy injections endorsed and or mandated by universities and colleges, it is clear that those responsible are in violation of the Nuremberg Code.

Given that experimental mRNA gene therapy injections do not prevent infection nor transmission, their long-term safety profile is unknown, and as these injections are still EXPERIMENTAL, it is time to refrain from endorsing and or mandating that students, faculty, and support staff stay up to date on Covid-19 vaccinations and boosters.

Indeed, it is our view that the above directives are contrary to the science, inconsistent with how other institutions are responding and morally flawed.

It is the expectation of signatories below that you avoid and or immediately remove any and all Covid-19 directives KNOWN to place students, faculty, and support staff at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

We look forward to your considered and timely response.

Sincerely,

Tanya Gaw, Action4Canada  
Kristen Nagle, Canadian Frontline Nurses  
Alan Brough, Canadian Health Alliance  
Amanda Forbes, Children's Health Defence Canada  
John Carpay, Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedom  
Sheldon Munroe, Students Against Mandates  
Ryan Penn, Take Action Canada  
Ted Kuntz, Vaccine Choice Canada  
Eddie Cornell, Veterans4Freedom  
Andrej Litvinjenko, Taking Back Our Freedoms

**2 ATTACHMENTS:**

1. The Evidence.
2. Pfizer's Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report.

**Cc:**

**Gwen Chapman**

Provost and Vice-President [Academic]  
University of Guelph  
150 Stone Road East  
Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1  
Email [provost@uoguelph.ca](mailto:provost@uoguelph.ca)

**Nancy Brown Andison**

Chair of the Board of Governors  
University of Guelph  
150 Stone Road East  
Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1  
Email [universityappts@uoguelph.ca](mailto:universityappts@uoguelph.ca)

**Martha Harley,**

AVP and Chief Human Resources Officer  
University of Guelph  
5th Floor of the University Centre (South Tower)  
150 Stone Road East  
Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1  
Email [mharley@uoguelph.ca](mailto:mharley@uoguelph.ca)

**Georgia Apolonatos,**

Director Human Resources  
(Health, Safety & Wellness)  
University of Guelph  
150 Stone Road East  
Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1  
[gapolona@uoguelph.ca](mailto:gapolona@uoguelph.ca)

**Nicole Walker, President**  
Central Student Association  
University of Guelph  
150 Stone Road East  
Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1  
Email [csapresident@uoguelph.ca](mailto:csapresident@uoguelph.ca)

**David Lametti**  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada  
Constituency Office Montréal  
6415 Monk Blvd.  
Montréal, Quebec H4E 3H8  
Email [david.lametti@parl.gc.ca](mailto:david.lametti@parl.gc.ca)

**Mark Wright**  
Mediation Arbitration  
2140 A Queen St. E.  
Toronto, ON M4E 3V7  
Email [mark@mark-wright.ca](mailto:mark@mark-wright.ca)



**Sept 08 2022**

Professor Meric Gertler  
President, University of Toronto  
27 King's College Circle  
Toronto, Ontario, M5S 1A1  
Email [president@utoronto.ca](mailto:president@utoronto.ca)

Take Action Canada  
202 – 115 Danforth Avenue  
Toronto, ON M4K 1N2  
[info@takeactioncananda.ca](mailto:info@takeactioncananda.ca)

Dear Professor Gertler

**RE: CALL TO ACTION**

We are writing to you in your capacity as President of the University of Toronto. This letter is in response to the University of Toronto's Covid-19 policy. <https://www.viceprovoststudents.utoronto.ca/covid-19/#vaccines>

We represent various medical, health, rights and freedoms, and parent organizations in Canada. Our unanimous position is that the University of Toronto is not only violating the most fundamental rights of Canadians but is putting our youth at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

The evidence is now clear that a statistically significant number of students receiving the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections will be seriously harmed, and some may die. How can Covid-19 directives that risk such harm be consistent with a university's fiduciary duty to protect students?

Experimental mRNA gene therapy injections put students and their parents in an untenable situation. Consequently, they must choose between accepting the serious risk of bodily injury and or disability, as well as possible death or continue their education.

**Are you and the Board of Governors aware of the following?**

- The scientific evidence does not support the claim of Covid-19 vaccine efficacy or safety.
- The Covid-19 injections are not a vaccine as they do not prevent infection or transmission. They are more accurately a medical injection treatment.
- None of the Covid-19 injections have completed Phase III clinical trials and thus are still regarded as experimental.
- The long-term safety of this injected genetic technology is unknown.
- The short-term safety data has demonstrated the risk of the injections to youth is substantially higher than the risk of Covid-19.
- Tal Zaks, chief medical officer of Moderna, Inc. confirmed mRNA injection for Covid-19 **can** change the genetic code.
- Canada has a criminal ban on anything that affects our GENOME since the HUMAN GENOME has to be protected as specified in the Principles of the Assisted Human Reproduction Act S.C. 2004, c. 2.

- The principle of free and informed consent must be promoted and applied as a fundamental condition of any medical intervention.
- Human individuality and diversity, and the integrity of the HUMAN GENOME, must be preserved and protected.
- Changing anything in the HUMAN GENOME as with the injection of a spike protein via the experimental mRNA gene therapy technology has criminal offence considerations.
- The United States Food and Drug Administration was compelled by court order to release Pfizer data concerning safety and efficacy of Covid-19 (BNT162b2). *The attached Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report includes 9 pages of known adverse events.*
- Canadian National Report on Immunization, 1996 states: *“Unlike some countries, immunization is not mandatory in Canada; it cannot be made mandatory because of the Canadian Constitution.”*
- The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1982 recognizes the inherent legal right of Canadians to exercise free power of choice and thus to refuse medical treatment without disadvantage or prejudice. The Supreme Court of Canada recognized this inherent right under Section 7 of the Charter ii) Right to liberty.
- **Informed consent** cannot technically be provided since the vaccine manufacturers have never provided the actual vaccine contents even to the purchasers.
- The COVID-19 INJECTION PHARMACOVIGILANCE REPORT prepared by the World Council for Health, reveals sufficient evidence on all pharmacovigilance databases examined in this report to establish a *concerning safety signal* about experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.
- Negative impact of facemasks [https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1\\_Petty\\_New\\_Hampshire\\_Legislature\\_Presentation\\_January\\_27\\_2022.pdf](https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1_Petty_New_Hampshire_Legislature_Presentation_January_27_2022.pdf)
- Neurosurgeon Russell Blaylock warns that masks create serious health risks to the wearer. <https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/>

**Attached is ‘The Evidence’, a fully referenced document in support of the above information.**

### **Violations of Nuremberg Code**

We also find it rather disconcerting that the University of Toronto mandates experimental mRNA genetic technology for students to live in residence, in this 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary year of the completion of the Nuremberg Trials, which resulted in the Nuremberg Code.

### **The moral significance of the Nuremberg Code cannot be overstated:**

- The Nuremberg Code is the most authoritative, internationally recognized document in the history of medical ethics.
- This landmark document was formulated in response to the evidence of medical atrocities committed by Nazi physicians and scientists.
- The Code sets forth moral boundaries for research involving human beings.
- The Nuremberg Code rejects the ideology of Eugenics and **unequivocally** asserts the primacy and dignity of the individual human being – as opposed to *“the greater good of society.”*

- **The Nuremberg Code defined foundational, universal, moral and legal standards;** affirming fundamental human rights. These human rights apply to every human being.
- The Code sets limits on the parameters of permissible medical experiments.
- The objective of the Nuremberg Code is to ensure that medicine never again deviates from the precautionary ethical principle, “*First, do no harm.*”
- The Nuremberg Code has served as a blueprint for subsequent national and international codes of human rights – to ensure that:
  - the rights and dignity of human beings are upheld.
  - to ensure medical doctors never again engage in morally abhorrent experiments.
- The first of 10 ethical principles lays down the foremost ethical requirement – which is spelled out in great detail:

*“The voluntary consent of the human subject is **absolutely essential**”.*

*“This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force... constraint or coercion; **and should have sufficient knowledge & comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.***

*This... requires that before the acceptance... of an affirmative decision by **the experimental subject...** [he] should be [informed of] the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences & hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.*

*The **duty and responsibility** for ascertaining the quality of the consent **rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment.** It is a personal duty & responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.”*

Given the lack of data to allow for fully informed consent of EXPERIMENTAL mRNA gene therapy injections that the University of Toronto endorses, and mandates for students to live in residence, it is clear that those responsible are in violation of the Nuremberg Code.

Given that experimental mRNA gene therapy injections do not prevent infection nor transmission, their long-term safety profile is unknown, and as these injections are still EXPERIMENTAL, it is time to refrain from endorsing up to date Covid-19 vaccinations and boosters for students, faculty, and or support staff, and mandating experimental mRNA gene therapy injections for students to live in residence.

Indeed, it is our view that the above requirements are contrary to the science, inconsistent with how other institutions are responding and morally flawed.

It is the expectation of signatories below that you avoid and or immediately remove any and all Covid-19 mandates and endorsements KNOWN to place students, faculty, and support staff at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

We look forward to your considered and timely response.

Sincerely,

Tanya Gaw, Action4Canada  
Kristen Nagle, Canadian Frontline Nurses  
Alan Brough, Canadian Health Alliance  
Amanda Forbes, Children's Health Defence Canada  
John Carpay, Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedom  
Sheldon Munroe, Students Against Mandates  
Ryan Penn, Take Action Canada  
Ted Kuntz, Vaccine Choice Canada  
Eddie Cornell, Veterans4Freedom  
Andrej Litvinjenko, Taking Back Our Freedoms

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

1. The Evidence – fully referenced supporting documentation
2. Pfizer's Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report

#### **Cc:**

##### **Cheryl Regehr**

Vice-President and Provost,  
University of Toronto  
Simcoe Hall 27 King's College Circle, Room 225  
Toronto, ON, M5S 1A1  
Email [provost@utoronto.ca](mailto:provost@utoronto.ca)

##### **Gina Trubiani**

Director of occupational health and safety  
University of Toronto  
215 Huron Street, 7th Floor  
Toronto, ON M5S 1A2  
Email [gina.trubiani@utoronto.ca](mailto:gina.trubiani@utoronto.ca)

##### **Terezia Zoric**

President, University of Toronto Faculty Association  
720 Spadina Avenue, Suite #419  
Toronto, ON M5S 2T9  
Email [t.zoric@utoronto.ca](mailto:t.zoric@utoronto.ca)

##### **Omar Gharbiyeh**

President, University of Toronto Students Union  
230 College Street  
Toronto, ON M5T 1R2  
Email [president@utsu.ca](mailto:president@utsu.ca)

##### **David Lametti**

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada  
Constituency Office Montréal  
6415 Monk Blvd.  
Montréal, Quebec H4E 3H8  
Email [david.lametti@parl.gc.ca](mailto:david.lametti@parl.gc.ca)

##### **Mark Wright**

Mediation Arbitration  
2140 A Queen St. E.  
Toronto, ON M4E 3V7  
Email [mark@mark-wright.ca](mailto:mark@mark-wright.ca)

**Sept 08 2022**

Rhonda L. Lenton, PhD  
President & Vice-Chancellor  
York University  
1050 Kaneff Tower  
4700 Keele Street  
Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3  
Email [president@yorku.ca](mailto:president@yorku.ca)

Take Action Canada  
202 – 115 Danforth Avenue  
Toronto, ON M4K 1N2  
[info@takeactioncananda.ca](mailto:info@takeactioncananda.ca)

Dear Dr. Lenton

**RE: CALL TO ACTION**

We are writing to you in your capacity as President & Vice-Chancellor of York University. This letter is in response to York University's Covid-19 policy.

<https://www.yorku.ca/bettertogether/2022/09/06/students-york-universitys-covid-19-update-for-fall-2022/>

We represent various medical, health, rights and freedoms, and parent organizations in Canada. Our unanimous position is that universities and colleges are not only violating the most fundamental rights of Canadians but is putting our youth at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

The evidence is now clear that a statistically significant number of students receiving the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections will be seriously harmed, and some may die. How can Covid-19 directives that risk such harm be consistent with a university's fiduciary duty to protect students?

Experimental mRNA gene therapy injections put students and their parents in an untenable situation. Consequently, they must choose between accepting the serious risk of bodily injury and or disability, as well as possible death or continue their education.

**Are you and the Board of Governors aware of the following?**

- The scientific evidence does not support the claim of Covid-19 vaccine efficacy or safety.
- The Covid-19 injections are not a vaccine as they do not prevent infection or transmission. They are more accurately a medical injection treatment.
- None of the Covid-19 injections have completed Phase III clinical trials and thus are still regarded as experimental.
- The long-term safety of this injected genetic technology is unknown.
- The short-term safety data has demonstrated the risk of the injections to youth is substantially higher than the risk of Covid-19.
- Tal Zaks, chief medical officer of Moderna, Inc. confirmed mRNA injection for Covid-19 **can** change the genetic code.

- Canada has a criminal ban on anything that affects our GENOME since the HUMAN GENOME has to be protected as specified in the Principles of the Assisted Human Reproduction Act S.C. 2004, c. 2.
- The principle of free and informed consent must be promoted and applied as a fundamental condition of any medical intervention.
- Human individuality and diversity, and the integrity of the HUMAN GENOME, must be preserved and protected.
- Changing anything in the HUMAN GENOME as with the injection of a spike protein via the experimental mRNA gene therapy technology has criminal offence considerations.
- The United States Food and Drug Administration was compelled by court order to release Pfizer data concerning safety and efficacy of Covid-19 (BNT162b2). *The attached Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report includes 9 pages of known adverse events.*
- Canadian National Report on Immunization, 1996 states: *“Unlike some countries, immunization is not mandatory in Canada; it cannot be made mandatory because of the Canadian Constitution.”*
- The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1982 recognizes the inherent legal right of Canadians to exercise free power of choice and thus to refuse medical treatment without disadvantage or prejudice. The Supreme Court of Canada recognized this inherent right under Section 7 of the Charter ii) Right to liberty.
- **Informed consent** cannot technically be provided since the vaccine manufacturers have never provided the actual vaccine contents even to the purchasers.
- The COVID-19 INJECTION PHARMACOVIGILANCE REPORT prepared by the World Council for Health, reveals sufficient evidence on all pharmacovigilance databases examined in this report to establish a *concerning safety signal* about experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.
- Negative impact of facemasks [https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1\\_Petty\\_New\\_Hampshire\\_Legislature\\_Presentation\\_January\\_27\\_2022.pdf](https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1_Petty_New_Hampshire_Legislature_Presentation_January_27_2022.pdf)
- Neurosurgeon Russell Blaylock warns that masks create serious health risks to the wearer. <https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/>

**Attached is ‘The Evidence’, a fully referenced document in support of the above information.**

### **Violations of Nuremberg Code**

We also find it rather disconcerting that universities and colleges endorse and or mandate experimental mRNA genetic technology in this 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary year of the completion of the Nuremberg Trials, which resulted in the Nuremberg Code.

### **The moral significance of the Nuremberg Code cannot be overstated:**

- The Nuremberg Code is the most authoritative, internationally recognized document in the history of medical ethics.
- This landmark document was formulated in response to the evidence of medical atrocities committed by Nazi physicians and scientists.
- The Code sets forth moral boundaries for research involving human beings.

- The Nuremberg Code rejects the ideology of Eugenics and **unequivocally** asserts the primacy and dignity of the individual human being – as opposed to “*the greater good of society.*”
- **The Nuremberg Code defined foundational, universal, moral and legal standards;** affirming fundamental human rights. These human rights apply to every human being.
- The Code sets limits on the parameters of permissible medical experiments.
- The objective of the Nuremberg Code is to ensure that medicine never again deviates from the precautionary ethical principle, “*First, do no harm.*”
- The Nuremberg Code has served as a blueprint for subsequent national and international codes of human rights – to ensure that:
  - the rights and dignity of human beings are upheld.
  - to ensure medical doctors never again engage in morally abhorrent experiments.
- The first of 10 ethical principles lays down the foremost ethical requirement – which is spelled out in great detail:

*“The voluntary consent of the human subject is **absolutely essential**”.*

*“This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force... constraint or coercion; **and should have sufficient knowledge & comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.***

*This... requires that before the acceptance... of an affirmative decision by **the experimental subject...** [he] should be [informed of] the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences & hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.*

*The **duty and responsibility** for ascertaining the quality of the consent **rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment.** It is a personal duty & responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.”*

Given the lack of data to allow for fully informed consent of EXPERIMENTAL mRNA gene therapy injections endorsed and or mandated by universities and colleges, it is clear that those responsible are in violation of the Nuremberg Code.

Given that experimental mRNA gene therapy injections do not prevent infection nor transmission, their long-term safety profile is unknown, and as these injections are still EXPERIMENTAL, it is time to refrain from endorsing and or mandating that students, faculty, and support staff stay up to date on Covid-19 vaccinations and boosters.

Indeed, it is our view that the above directives are contrary to the science, inconsistent with how other institutions are responding and morally flawed.

It is the expectation of signatories below that you avoid and or immediately remove any and all Covid-19 directives KNOWN to place students, faculty, and support staff at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

We look forward to your considered and timely response.

Sincerely,

Tanya Gaw, Action4Canada  
Kristen Nagle, Canadian Frontline Nurses  
Alan Brough, Canadian Health Alliance  
Amanda Forbes, Children's Health Defence Canada  
John Carpay, Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedom  
Sheldon Munroe, Students Against Mandates  
Ryan Penn, Take Action Canada  
Ted Kuntz, Vaccine Choice Canada  
Eddie Cornell, Veterans4Freedom  
Andrej Litvinjenko, Taking Back Our Freedoms

## **ATTACHMENTS**

1. The Evidence – fully referenced supporting documentation
2. Pfizer's Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report

### **Cc:**

**Professor Lisa Philipps**

Provost and VP Academic  
York University  
923 Kaneff Tower  
4700 Keele Street  
Toronto, ON M3J 1P3  
Email [provost@yorku.ca](mailto:provost@yorku.ca)

**Mary Catherine Masciangelo**

AVP, Human Resources and CHRO  
York University  
Department of Human Resources  
4700 Keele Street  
8 The Chimneystack Road, Kinsmen Building  
Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3  
Email [mcmasci@yorku.ca](mailto:mcmasci@yorku.ca)

**Paul Tsaparis, Chair**

Board of Governors  
York University  
1050 Kaneff Tower  
4700 Keele Street  
Toronto, ON M3J 1P3  
Email [info.univsec@yorku.ca](mailto:info.univsec@yorku.ca)

**Arthur Hilliker, President**

York University Faculty Association  
York University  
4700 Keele Street  
Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3  
Email [hilliker@yorku.ca](mailto:hilliker@yorku.ca)



**Nathi Zamisa, President**

Graduate Students Association  
York University  
325 Student Centre  
4700 Keele St.  
Toronto, ON M3J 1P3  
Email [president@yugsa.ca](mailto:president@yugsa.ca)

**David Lametti**

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada  
Constituency Office Montréal  
6415 Monk Blvd.  
Montréal, Quebec H4E 3H8  
[david.lametti@parl.gc.ca](mailto:david.lametti@parl.gc.ca)

**Mark Wright Mediation Arbitration**

PO BOX 51585  
2140 A Queen St. E.  
Toronto, ON M4E 3V7  
[mark@mark-wright.ca](mailto:mark@mark-wright.ca)

**Sept 08 2022**

**Dr. Vivek Goel**

President and Vice-Chancellor  
University of Waterloo  
200 University Avenue West  
Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1  
Email [president@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:president@uwaterloo.ca)

Take Action Canada  
202 – 115 Danforth Avenue  
Toronto, ON M4K 1N2  
[info@takeactioncananda.ca](mailto:info@takeactioncananda.ca)

Dear Dr. Goel

**RE: CALL TO ACTION**

We are writing to you in your capacity as President and Vice-Chancellor of the University of Waterloo. This letter is in response to the University of Waterloo's Covid-19 policy. <https://uwaterloo.ca/coronavirus/>

We represent various medical, health, rights and freedoms, and parent organizations in Canada. Our unanimous position is that the University of Waterloo is not only violating the most fundamental rights of Canadians but is putting our youth at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

The evidence is now clear that a statistically significant number of students receiving the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections will be seriously harmed, and some may die. How can Covid-19 endorsement that risks such harm be consistent with a university's fiduciary duty to protect students?

Experimental mRNA gene therapy injections put students and their parents in an untenable situation. Consequently, they must choose between accepting the serious risk of bodily injury and or disability, as well as possible death or continue their education.

**Are you and the Board of Governors aware of the following?**

- The scientific evidence does not support the claim of Covid-19 vaccine efficacy or safety.
- The Covid-19 injections are not a vaccine as they do not prevent infection or transmission. They are more accurately a medical injection treatment.
- None of the Covid-19 injections have completed Phase III clinical trials and thus are still regarded as experimental.
- The long-term safety of this injected genetic technology is unknown.
- The short-term safety data has demonstrated the risk of the injections to youth is substantially higher than the risk of Covid-19.
- Tal Zaks, chief medical officer of Moderna, Inc. confirmed mRNA injection for Covid-19 **can** change the genetic code.
- Canada has a criminal ban on anything that affects our GENOME since the HUMAN GENOME has to be protected as specified in the Principals of the Assisted Human Reproduction Act S.C. 2004, c. 2.

- The principle of free and informed consent must be promoted and applied as a fundamental condition of any medical intervention.
- Human individuality and diversity, and the integrity of the HUMAN GENOME, must be preserved and protected.
- Changing anything in the HUMAN GENOME as with the injection of a spike protein via the experimental mRNA gene therapy technology has criminal offence considerations.
- The United States Food and Drug Administration was compelled by court order to release Pfizer data concerning safety and efficacy of Covid-19 (BNT162b2). *The attached Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report includes 9 pages of known adverse events.*
- Canadian National Report on Immunization, 1996 states: *“Unlike some countries, immunization is not mandatory in Canada; it cannot be made mandatory because of the Canadian Constitution.”*
- The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1982 recognizes the inherent legal right of Canadians to exercise free power of choice and thus to refuse medical treatment without disadvantage or prejudice. The Supreme Court of Canada recognized this inherent right under Section 7 of the Charter ii) Right to liberty.
- **Informed consent** cannot technically be provided since the vaccine manufacturers have never provided the actual vaccine contents even to the purchasers.
- The COVID-19 INJECTION PHARMACOVIGILANCE REPORT prepared by the World Council for Health, reveals sufficient evidence on all pharmacovigilance databases examined in this report to establish a *concerning safety signal* about experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.
- Negative impact of facemasks [https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1\\_Petty\\_New\\_Hampshire\\_Legislature\\_Presentation\\_January\\_27\\_2022.pdf](https://granitegrok.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/1_Petty_New_Hampshire_Legislature_Presentation_January_27_2022.pdf)
- Neurosurgeon Russell Blaylock warns that masks create serious health risks to the wearer. <https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/>

**Attached is ‘The Evidence’, a fully referenced document in support of the above information.**

### **Violations of Nuremberg Code**

We also find it rather disconcerting that universities and colleges endorse and or mandate experimental mRNA genetic technology in this 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary year of the completion of the Nuremberg Trials, which resulted in the Nuremberg Code.

### **The moral significance of the Nuremberg Code cannot be overstated:**

- The Nuremberg Code is the most authoritative, internationally recognized document in the history of medical ethics.
- This landmark document was formulated in response to the evidence of medical atrocities committed by Nazi physicians and scientists.
- The Code sets forth moral boundaries for research involving human beings.
- The Nuremberg Code rejects the ideology of Eugenics and **unequivocally** asserts the primacy and dignity of the individual human being – as opposed to *“the greater good of society.”*

- **The Nuremberg Code defined foundational, universal, moral and legal standards;** affirming fundamental human rights. These human rights apply to every human being.
- The Code sets limits on the parameters of permissible medical experiments.
- The objective of the Nuremberg Code is to ensure that medicine never again deviates from the precautionary ethical principle, “*First, do no harm.*”
- The Nuremberg Code has served as a blueprint for subsequent national and international codes of human rights – to ensure that:
  - the rights and dignity of human beings are upheld.
  - to ensure medical doctors never again engage in morally abhorrent experiments.
- The first of 10 ethical principles lays down the foremost ethical requirement – which is spelled out in great detail:

*“The voluntary consent of the human subject is **absolutely essential**”.*

*“This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force... constraint or coercion; **and should have sufficient knowledge & comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.***

*This... requires that before the acceptance... of an affirmative decision by **the experimental subject...** [he] should be [informed of] the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences & hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.*

*The **duty and responsibility** for ascertaining the quality of the consent **rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment.** It is a personal duty & responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.”*

Given the lack of data to allow for fully informed consent of EXPERIMENTAL mRNA gene therapy injections endorsed and or mandated by universities and colleges, it is clear that those responsible are in violation of the Nuremberg Code.

Given that experimental mRNA gene therapy injections do not prevent infection nor transmission, their long-term safety profile is unknown, and as these injections are still EXPERIMENTAL, it is time to refrain from endorsing and or mandating that students, faculty, and support staff stay up to date on Covid-19 vaccinations and boosters.

Indeed, it is our view that the above directives are contrary to the science, inconsistent with how other institutions are responding and morally flawed.

It is the expectation of signatories below that you avoid and or immediately remove any and all Covid-19 directives KNOWN to place students, faculty, and support staff at serious risk of bodily injury and or disability including possible death.

We look forward to your considered and timely response.

Sincerely,

Tanya Gaw, Action4Canada  
Kristen Nagle, Canadian Frontline Nurses  
Alan Brough, Canadian Health Alliance  
Amanda Forbes, Children's Health Defence Canada  
John Carpay, Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedom  
Sheldon Munroe, Students Against Mandates  
Ryan Penn, Take Action Canada  
Ted Kuntz, Vaccine Choice Canada  
Eddie Cornell, Veterans4Freedom  
Andrej Litvinjenko, Taking Back Our Freedoms

## **ATTACHMENTS**

1. The Evidence – fully referenced supporting documentation
2. Pfizer Post-Authorization of Adverse Event Report

### **Cc:**

#### **Dr. James. E. Rush**

Vice-President Academic & Provost  
University of Waterloo  
200 University Avenue West  
Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1  
Email [jwerush@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:jwerush@uwaterloo.ca)

#### **Professor John Hirdes**

Senior Canadian Fellow and Board Member interRAI  
School of Public Health Sciences  
University of Waterloo  
200 University Avenue West  
Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1  
Email [hirdes@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:hirdes@uwaterloo.ca)

#### **Cindy Forbes**

Chair, Board of Governors  
University of Waterloo  
200 University Avenue West  
Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1  
Email [mjholst@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:mjholst@uwaterloo.ca)

#### **Kate Windsor**

Directory Safety  
University of Waterloo  
COM Room 116 A  
200 University Avenue West  
Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1  
Email [kwindsor@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:kwindsor@uwaterloo.ca)

#### **Matthew Root**

Executive Manager Faculty Association  
University of Waterloo  
200 University Avenue West  
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1  
Email [mroot@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:mroot@uwaterloo.ca)

**Benjamin Easton**

President Graduate Student Association  
University of Waterloo  
200 University Avenue West  
Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3G1  
Email [bpeaston@wusa.ca](mailto:bpeaston@wusa.ca)

**David Lametti**

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada  
Constituency Office Montréal  
6415 Monk Blvd.  
Montréal, Quebec H4E 3H8  
Email [david.lametti@parl.gc.ca](mailto:david.lametti@parl.gc.ca)

**Mark Wright**

Mediation Arbitration  
2140 A Queen St. E.  
Toronto, ON M4E 3V7  
Email [mark@mark-wright.ca](mailto:mark@mark-wright.ca)