

Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is a cause of anaphylaxis to the Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA COVID-19 vaccine

To the Editor,

On the first day of the UK vaccination campaign with the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine, there were reports of 2 cases of anaphylaxis within minutes of administration of the Pfizer/BioNTech messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccine and a third case of an allergic reaction not requiring adrenaline (epinephrine). This was alarming, as anaphylaxis to vaccines is rare, in the order of 1 case per million doses,¹ and therefore likely to injure public confidence. The UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) issued precautionary advice restricting access to the vaccine,² which was subsequently relaxed in line with the summary of product characteristics. The cause of these vaccine anaphylaxis cases is unclear, but polyethylene glycol (PEG) is a candidate allergen.^{3,4} Here, we demonstrate for the first time that allergy to PEG can cause anaphylaxis to the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine.

Allergy to PEG is rare,^{5,6} but reactions can be severe or even fatal.⁷ PEG is an excipient of many drugs, but hypersensitivity depends on its molecular weight (MW).

A 52-year-old woman developed throat constriction, cough and then loss of consciousness immediately after receiving the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine. She had a respiratory rate of 30/min, tachycardia of 150/min and oxygen saturation of 85%. Her blood pressure became unrecordable although central pulse remained palpable. She was treated with 2 doses of intramuscular adrenaline 0.5 mg, intravenous hydrocortisone 200 mg, chlorphenamine 10 mg, and fluids and oxygen 15 litres/min. Tryptase taken 1.5 hours later was 3.9 ng/ml (normal range 2–14 ng/ml).

She gave a history of allergic reactions to multiple products including drug anaphylaxis. Three years ago, after a first dose of azithromycin containing PEG 6000, she developed facial swelling, widespread urticaria and wheezing. Adrenaline was administered, and she was taken to hospital. She described urticaria with shampoos, conditioners, shower gels containing PEG and immediate burning of the mouth with toothpastes and mouthwash containing PEG. She had never received products such as laxatives, depo-progesterone or depo-methylprednisolone containing PEG 3500. She was investigated in our drug allergy clinic 15 days after vaccination. She was not known to be PEG allergic prior to vaccination, but due to the severity of her reaction and previous history we suspected PEG allergy.

Skin prick testing was undertaken to PEG 400, 600, 2000, 3350, 4000, 6000, 8000 and 20,000 at 0.1% and 1% (w/v in Coca's solution) and polysorbate 80 at 10% and 20% (Thermo Fisher Scientific). We also tested the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine, excipients of the vaccine (1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine and cholesterol; Sigma-Aldrich Co), and the AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine. Vaccine testing used the residual from freshly made-up vials, and therefore, no vaccine dose was wasted.

Skin prick tests were negative to all PEGs at 0.1% concentration and to the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine, its other excipients, polysorbate 80 and the AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine. Testing was positive to PEG 4000 at 1% of concentration (7-mm wheal and 25-mm flare). Twelve minutes after skin testing, and 2 minutes after the skin test became positive, she developed a systemic reaction with widespread pruritus, urticaria and coughing with throat constriction. Systolic blood pressure dropped from 137 mm Hg to 107 mm Hg. She was treated in clinic with intramuscular adrenaline 0.5 mg, intravenous chlorphenamine 10 mg and hydrocortisone 200 mg. Her blood pressure improved but coughing persisted, with a drop in oxygen saturation to 85%. A second dose of intramuscular adrenaline 0.5 mg was administered, and she rapidly improved. Tryptase levels measured immediately, 1 hour and 3 hours after this episode were 5.5, 5.5 and 4 ng/ml, respectively. PEG allergy was diagnosed as the cause of her Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine anaphylaxis.

Although the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine contains a number of excipients, PEG 2000 is the only one reported to cause anaphylaxis. All mRNA vaccines are likely to contain PEG, which is used to stabilize the lipid nanoparticles, and the Moderna COVID-19 vaccine also contains PEG 2000.⁸ These are the first mRNA vaccines to be licensed in UK, so there is no prior information on allergic reactions to mRNA vaccines. Other mRNA COVID-19 vaccines are in development.⁹ As far as we can determine, PEG has not been used as an excipient in vaccines until now. Polysorbate 80 is an excipient in the Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine. There has been a suggestion of cross-reactivity between PEG and polysorbate 80 due to their similar chemical structures. For example, Garvey found 3 of 10 PEG-allergic patients had positive skin prick tests to polysorbate 80 at 20%.¹⁰ It is unclear whether this translates into clinical cross-hypersensitivity. Polysorbate 80 is used as an excipient in many drugs (including some

vaccines such as influenza) and as a food additive and is thus widely tolerated.

To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration that anaphylaxis to the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine can be due to PEG allergy. The case had a large positive skin prick test to PEG 4000 and systemic reaction on skin prick testing, confirming a reproducible response to PEG. Mast cell tryptase level did not increase in the index reaction or in the skin test-induced anaphylaxis. This might be because the severe features of the skin test reaction were predominantly respiratory as in food anaphylaxis where tryptase is often within the normal range, but does not explain the vaccine reaction.¹¹ Diagnosis of PEG allergy is challenging, with most PEG-allergic patients presenting with severe anaphylaxis to multiple unrelated drugs.⁶ Our patient's history of multiple drug allergic reactions with sudden-onset anaphylaxis supported a diagnosis of PEG allergy. She also reacted to PEG in shower gels, shampoos, toothpaste and mouthwash.

It was unusual that skin prick tests were positive only to PEG 4000 and not at higher molecular weights. PEG skin prick tests may become positive slightly later from 10–30 minutes.^{5,6} Thus, it is possible that skin prick tests to the higher MW PEGs may have become positive if read later or if tested at the higher concentration of 10% had anaphylaxis not occurred. Intradermal tests to PEG should be avoided because of the risk of inducing severe anaphylaxis. There is no evidence for an alternative allergen to PEG as skin tests were negative for other excipients of the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine.

This preliminary report confirms PEG as a cause of anaphylaxis to the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine, for the first time. COVID-19 vaccine anaphylaxis and PEG allergy are both rare, so proof of PEG as the cause in one case of vaccine anaphylaxis is important. However, it is important to emphasize that PEG allergy is rare

Key messages

- The Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine can cause severe anaphylaxis of unknown cause
- Here, we show polyethylene glycol allergy caused one of the first cases of anaphylaxis to the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine
- Allergy skin prick testing with polyethylene glycol triggered anaphylaxis, highlighting the importance of safety procedures during investigation

and that COVID-19 vaccines remain safe. It is unclear if all cases of reported Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine anaphylaxis are due to PEG. We have investigated 3 other cases with systemic reactions suspected to be anaphylaxis and treated with adrenaline, in whom skin tests were negative. Further data are needed, including on possible cross-reactivity with polysorbate 80 due to the presence of this excipient in the AstraZeneca vaccine and whether both skin prick and intradermal tests would be required to formally exclude allergy to polysorbate 80. Given our patient's extreme sensitivity to skin testing with PEG, investigation should be approached with caution.

Undiagnosed PEG-allergic patients are at risk of anaphylaxis to mRNA vaccines containing PEG, so should be identified before vaccination. We suggest a guide to detecting PEG allergy based on our clinical experience and review of the literature in Table 1.⁶ In a patient with suspected or proven PEG allergy, mRNA vaccines containing PEG should be avoided. If the patient has tolerated an influenza vaccine or other injected preparation containing polysorbate 80, they can receive the AstraZeneca vaccine available in the UK, and the Janssen/Johnson & Johnson COVID-19 vaccine in the

Topic	Features
History	Multiple drug allergy to unrelated drugs with anaphylaxis or severe systemic reactions
Symptoms	Immediate onset, may include pruritus, erythema, urticaria, angioedema, rhinitis, wheeze, dyspnoea and hypotension
Common drugs	Common drugs containing PEG: laxatives, Gaviscon double action, depot-corticosteroids, for example methylprednisolone, Depo Provera, penicillin
Importance of brand	Depends on brand (checklist of excipients 6.1 on SmPC or contents 6 on PIL). PEG is also called macrogol
PEG Molecular Weight	Higher PEG MWs appear more allergenic, and molecular weight and amount of PEG determines whether an allergic reaction occurs
Topical products	Mild usually cutaneous reactions (pruritus, rhino-conjunctivitis) to cosmetics, toothpaste, mouthwashes, shower gels, moisturizers, hand sanitizers and soaps often with lower PEG molecular weights.
Vaccine reaction	Immediate-onset (minutes) severe systemic allergic reaction to an mRNA COVID-19 vaccine

TABLE 1 The clinical features of a PEG-allergic patient may help identify at-risk patients before vaccination or if a COVID-19 vaccine reaction was likely due to PEG

Abbreviations: MW, molecular weight; PEG, polyethylene glycol; SmPC, summary of product characteristics.

United States and EU. Investigation of PEG allergy carries a risk of anaphylaxis and should only be undertaken in specialist drug allergy centres with full resuscitation capabilities.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Dr Lene Garvey for advice which informed the development of our original PEG skin test protocol. We thank the allergy nurses at Addenbrookes Hospital; Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust; Royal Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust; Prof Nick Morrell, Department of Medicine; and Prof Duncan Jodrell for their support.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Priya Sellaturay involved in conceptualization, project administration, resources, investigation, data collection, writing original draft, review and editing; Shuaib Nasser involved in conceptualization, project administration, resources, review and editing; Sabita Islam resources involved in review and editing; Padmalal Gurugama resources involved in review and editing; Pamela Ewan involved in conceptualization, project administration, resources, writing original draft, review and editing. All are accountable and gave final manuscript approval.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Priya Sellaturay¹ 

Shuaib Nasser¹ 

Sabita Islam³

Padmalal Gurugama¹

Pamela W. Ewan^{1,2} 

¹Department of Allergy, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

²Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge Clinical School, Cambridge, UK

³Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge (formerly of Department of Medicine), Cambridge, UK

Correspondence

Shuaib Nasser, Allergy Department, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS, Foundation Trust, Box 40, CB2 0QQ, Cambridge, UK.

Email: shuaib.nasser@addenbrookes.nhs.uk

ORCID

Priya Sellaturay  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0974-2214>

Shuaib Nasser  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9924-4616>

Pamela W. Ewan  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1298-4417>

REFERENCES

1. Su JR, Moro PL, Ng CS, Lewis PW, Said MA, Cano MV. Anaphylaxis after vaccination reported to the vaccine adverse event reporting system, 1990-2016. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2019;143(4):1465-1473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2018.12.1003>
2. Mahase E. COVID-19: people with history of significant allergic reactions should not receive Pfizer vaccine, says regulator. *BMJ*. 2020;371:m4780.
3. Garvey LH, Shuaib NS. Anaphylaxis to the first COVID-19 vaccine: is polyethylene glycol (PEG) the culprit? *British J Anaesth*. 2021;126(3):e106-e108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.12.020>
4. Banerji A, Wickner P, Saff R, et al. mRNA vaccines to prevent COVID-19 disease and reported allergic reactions: current evidence and suggested approach. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2020;2213:2198.
5. Wenande E, Garvey LH. Immediate-type hypersensitivity to polyethylene glycols: a review. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2016;46:907-922.
6. Sellaturay P, Nasser S, Ewan P. Polyethylene glycol induced systemic allergic reactions (anaphylaxis). *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2020;9(2):670-675.
7. Roseingrave L. Verdict of medical misadventure in case of fatal allergic reaction. Available from: <https://www.irishtimes.com/news/crime-and-law/courts/coroners-court/verdict-of-medical-misadventure-in-case-of-fatal-allergic-reaction-1.3324937>. Accessed December 12, 2017
8. Nanomedicine and the COVID-19 vaccines. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2020;15:963. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-020-00820-0>
9. Coronavirus Vaccine Tracker. <https://www.nytimes.com/interactiv/e/2020/science/coronavirus-vaccine-tracker>
10. Bruusgaard-Mouritsen MA, Johansen JD, Garvey LH. Clinical manifestations and impact on daily life of allergy to polyethylene glycol (PEG) in ten patients. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2021;51(3):463-470. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.13822>
11. Dua S, Dowey J, Foley L, et al. Diagnostic value of tryptase in food allergic reactions: a prospective study of 160 adult peanut challenges. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2018;6(5):1692-1698.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2018.01.006>